AGENDA
ESCAMBIA COUNTY PLANNING BOARD
August 8, 2011-8:35 a.m.
Escambia County Central Office Complex 3363 West Park Place, Room 104

1. Call to Order.
2. Invocation/Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.
3. Proof of Publication.
4. Approval of Minutes
A. RECOMMENDATION: That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Summary Minutes of the July 11, 2011 Planning Board Meeting.
B. Planning Board Monthly Action Follow-up Report for August, 2011.
C. Planning Board 6-Month Outlook for August, 2011.
5. Public Hearings.

A Public Hearing - Firearm Regulations
That the Planning Board review and recommend to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) to consider an ordinance amending or repealing various provisions of the Escambia County Code of Ordinances to ensure compliance with Section 790.33, Florida Statutes, as amended by House Bill 45 (2011).
6. Action/Discussion/Info Items.
A. Discussion Item - DSAP Preliminary Plan, presented by Barry Wilcox, VHB, Inc.
B. Discussion Item - Way Finding Signs, presented by Horace Jones, Divison Manager, Planning \& Zoning
7. Public Forum.
8. Director's Review.
9. County Attorney's Report.
10. Scheduling of Future Meetings.
A. The next Regular Planning Board meeting is scheduled for Monday, September 12, 2011 at 8:30 a.m. , in the Escambia County Central Office Complex, Room 104, First Floor, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, Florida.
11. Announcements/Communications.
12. Adjournment.

# Board of County Commissioners Escambia County, Florida 

Al-1070<br>Item \#: 4.<br>Planning Board-Regular<br>Meeting<br>08/08/2011<br>Date:

## Agenda Item:

A. RECOMMENDATION: That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Summary Minutes of the July 11, 2011 Planning Board Meeting.
B. Planning Board Monthly Action Follow-up Report for August, 2011.
C. Planning Board 6-Month Outlook for August, 2011.

## Attachments

Summary Minutes
Monthly Action Follow-up
6 Month Outlook

# SUMMARY OF THE <br> ESCAMBIA COUNTY PLANNING BOARD <br> HELD ON JULY 11, 2011 <br> ESCAMBIA COUNTY CENTRAL OFFICE COMPLEX 3363 WEST PARK PLACE, FIRST FLOOR PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 

## (8:30 A.M. - 1:15 P.M.)

MEMBERS PRESENT: Wayne Briske, Chairman
Steven Barry
Dorothy Davis
Vann Goodloe
Karen Sindel
Alvin Wingate
Stephanie Oram, Navy Representative (non-voting)
MEMBERS ABSENT: Tim Tate, Vice Chair
Patty Hightower, School Board Representative (non-voting)
STAFF PRESENT: Stephen West, Assistant County Attorney
Horace Jones, Division Manager, Planning \& Zoning
Andrew Holmer, Senior Planner, Planning \& Zoning
Allyson Cain, Planner II, Planning \& Zoning
John Fisher, Planner II, Planning \& Zoning
Juan Lemos, Urban Planner I, Planning \& Zoning
Karen Spitsbergen, Board Clerk, Planning \& Zoning

## 8:30 AM Quasi-Judicial Meeting Convened

1. The meeting was called to order at 8:30 a.m. with 6 voting members present.
2. Invocation and pledge was given by Wingate.
3. Proof of Publication was given by the Board Clerk.
4. Rezoning Public Hearings

| A. | Case No.: | Z-2011-10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location: | 10100 Aileron Ave. |  |
| From: | SDD, Special Development District (non- |  |
|  | cumulative) Low Density, (3 du/acre) |  |
| To: | ID-1, Light Industrial District, (cumulative) (no <br> residential uses allowed) |  |
|  | Wequested by: | Watry C. "Buddy" Page, Agent for |
|  | Buddy Page, Agent |  |
| Speakers: | Don Weaver |  |
|  | Lawrence Taylor, Jr. |  |

Motion was made by Barry to accept staff's findings of fact and recommend approval of the ID-1 request, seconded by Sindel and passed unanimously (60 ).
B. Case No.:

Location:
From:
To:
Requested by:
Speakers:

Z-2011-11
4410 N Palafox St
C-1, Retail Commercial District, (cumulative) (25 du/acre)
ID-CP, Commerce Park District, (cumulative) (no residential uses allowed)
Paul Jansen, Owner
Paul Jansen, Owner

Motion was made by Wingate to accept staff's findings of fact and recommend approval of the ID-CP request, seconded by Goodloe and passed unanimously (6-0).
C. Case No.:

Location:
From:
To:
Requested by:
Speakers:

Z-2011-12
1950 Mathison Road
VR-1, Villages Rural Residential District, Gross Density (1 du/4 acres)
VR-2, Villages Rural Residential District, Gross
Density (1 du/. 75 acres)
Bryan Madril, Agent
Peggy Jackson, Owner
Bryan Madril, Agent

Motion was made by Davis to accept staff's findings of fact and recommend approval of the VR-2 request, seconded by Sindel and passed unanimously (60 ).
D. Case No.:

Location:
From:
To:
Requested by:
Speakers:

Z-2011-13
9015 Fowler Road
R-5, Urban Residential/Limited Office District, (cumulative) High Density, (20 du/acre)
C-2, General Commercial and Light
Manufacturing District, (cumulative) (20 du/acre)
Buddy Page, Agent
Charles Holt, Owner
Buddy Page, Agent
Charles Holt, Owner
Clifton Arnold
Gwen Butler

Motion was made by Goodloe to accept staff's findings of fact and recommend denial of the C-2 request, seconded by Sindel and passed (5-1) with Wingate opposing.

## 11:47 AM Regular Meeting Convened

(Davis and Oram left meeting.)
5. Board Minutes
A. RECOMMENDATION: That the Planning Board review and approve the Meeting Summary Minutes of the June 13, 2011 Planning Board Meeting.
Motion was made by Barry to approve the meeting minutes, seconded by Goodloe and passed unanimously (5-0).
B. Planning Board Monthly Action Follow-up Report for July 2011.

No Action Taken.
C. Planning Board 6-Month Outlook for July 2011.

No Action Taken.
6. Public Hearings
A. Comp Plan Text Amendment - Chapter 7, "Future Land Use Element", presented by Lloyd Kerr, Director, Development Services

Motion was made by Barry to recommend to the BCC the proposed Comprehensive Plan Amendment, Future Land Use Element herein, amending Part II of the Escambia County Code of Ordinances, the Escambia County Comprehensive Plan:2030; amending Chapter 7, "The Future Land Use Element," to add Policy 5.4.6, establishing a process for protection and management of regionally significant natural resources within the Optional Sector Plan; amending Policy 5.6.1 to delete certain requirements regarding conservation areas from the detailed specific area plans boundary determination analysis, seconded by Goodloe and passed unanimously (5-0).
7. Action/Discussion/Info Items
A. Discussion Item - Subdivision Rezoning, presented by T. Lloyd Kerr, Director, Development Services.

Mr. Kerr requested the Board give some direction on how this process should be handled through the county. There were three questions staff needed clarification on in order to develop this process; these were: 1) What would be a fair and equitable amount for a subdivision to pay to rezone the entire subdivision?; 2) What would be the notice requirements that would be used? (i.e. everyone within 500' of each individual parcel or those within 500' of the entire subdivision?); 3) What would be the percentage needed for approval of rezoning the entire subdivision?

The Board directed staff to take this request back to the Committee of the Whole for further clarification from the Board of County Commissioners as to what the intent was for this request. Staff was also directed to submit several different proposals with the Pros and Cons to the board.
B. Discussion Item - Perdido Key Neighborhood Plan Update, presented by Annie Griffin, Perdido Key Association

Ms. Griffin presented the findings of the survey that was conducted with all the property owners on Perdido Key both local and out of state owners. Perdido Key Association requested the Perdido Key Neighborhood Plan be incorporated into a Master Plan. This would allow future developers to have a guide to use for future development on Perdido Key.

Motion was made by Barry to transition the Perdido Key Neighborhood Plan into a Master Plan for the Key, seconded by Sindel and passed unanimously (5-0).
7. Bureau Chief's Report

No report.
8. County Attorney's Report

No report.
9. Scheduling of Future Meetings
A. The next Regular Planning Board meeting is scheduled for Monday, August 8, 2011 at 8:30 a.m., in the Escambia County Central Office Complex, Board Meeting Room, Room 104, 3363 West Park Place, Pensacola, Florida.
10. Adjournment

## 1:15 PM - Regular Board Meeting Adjourned

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Planning Board

FROM: Karen Spitsbergen, Clerk to the Board Planning \& Zoning Division

DATE: July 22, 2011
RE: $\quad$ Monthly Action Follow-Up Report for August 2011
Following is a status report of Planning Board (PB) Agenda Items for the Month of August. Some items include information from previous months in cases where final disposition has not yet been determined. Post-monthly actions are included (when known) as of report preparation date. Items are listed in chronological order, beginning with the PB initial hearing on the topic.

## PROJECTS, PLANS, \& PROGRAMS

1. Optional Sector Plan (OSP) Detailed Specific Area Plan (DSAP) 03/17/11 The BCC approved an amended Mid-West Escambia County Optional Sector Plan Detailed Specific Area Plan Boundary.
05/11/11 Staff held a Conceptual Plan Workshop at Ransom Middle School to discuss the preliminary Detailed Specific Area Plan (DSAP)

COMMITTEES \& WORKING GROUP MEETINGS
None

## COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS

1. Comprehensive Plan Amendment 2011-01 - Chapter 7, "Future Land Use Element"-adding Policy 5.4.6, establishing a process for protection and management of regionally significant natural resources with the Optional Sector Plan; amending Policy 5.6.1 to delete certain requirements regarding conservation areas from the detailed specific area plans boundary determination analysis.
07/07/11 PB reviewed and forwarded to the Board of County Commissioners the proposed Comprehensive Plan Text Amendment for approval.

## LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE ORDINANCES

1. Article 6 Motorized Commercial Recreational Uses

03/07/11 PB discussed including motorized commercial recreational uses as a permitted use within the VAG zoning districts
04/11/11 PB directed staff to draft language to be included in the LDC that would allow motorized commercial uses within the VAG zoning districts (with a minimum lot size of 20 acres). In addition, changing golf courses, tennis centers, swimming clubs and customary attendant facilities and accessory buildings from a conditional use to permitted uses in the VAG zoning districts.
05/09/11 PB reviewed and recommended approval of the Ordinance to the BCC; forwarded to 07/07/11 BCC for the first of two public hearings.
07/07/11 BCC held the first of two public hearings.
08/04/11 BCC to hold second of two public hearings.
2. Article 6 Recreational Vehicle as Living Quarters

05/09/11 PB directed staff to draft language to be included in the LDC that would eliminate the language that would allow a conditional use permit to be obtained when an RV is used as living quarters longer than 14 calendar days.
06/13/11 PB reviewed and recommended approval of the Ordinance to the BCC; forwarded to the 08/04/11 BCC for the first of two public hearings.
08/04/11 BCC to hold first of two public hearings.
REZONING CASES

1. Rezoning Case Z-2011-10

07/07/11 PB reviewed and recommended approval of Z-2011-10; forwarded to 08/04/11 BCC for approval
2. Rezoning Case Z-2011-11

07/07/11 PB reviewed and recommended approval of Z-2011-11; forwarded to 08/04/11 BCC for approval
3. Rezoning Case Z-2011-12

07/07/11 PB reviewed and recommended approval of Z-2011-12; forwarded to 08/04/11 BCC for approval
4. Rezoning Case Z-2011-13

07/07/11 PB reviewed and recommended denial of Z-2011-13; forwarded to 08/04/11 BCC for approval

## PLANNING BOARD MONTHLY SCHEDULE 6 MONTH OUTLOOK FOR AUGUST 2011

(Revised 07/12/11)
A.H. = Adoption Hearing T.H. $=$ Transmittal Hearing $\quad$ P.H. $=$ Public Hearing

* Indicates topic/date is estimated-subject to staff availability for project completion and/or citizen liaison

| Planning Board <br> Meeting Date | LDC <br> Changes | Comprehensive <br> Plan <br> Amendments | Rezonings | Reports, Discussion <br> and/or Action Items |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monday, <br> August 8, 2011 | • Firearms <br> Deregulation |  | Z-2011-14 <br> Z-2011-15 | • DSAP - Preliminary <br> Plan-Public Workshop <br> -Way Finding Signs <br> - Subdivision Rezoning <br> Process |
| Monday, <br> September 12, 2011 | *Way Finding <br> Signs | *CPA-2011-02 - <br> Map Amendment - <br> 200 Becks Lake Rd |  | * A.H. - DSAP Final Plan <br> - RVs as Extended Living <br> Facilities |
| Monday, <br> October 10, 2011 |  |  | *PSFE ILA Working Group <br> *CIP Update |  |
| Monday, <br> November 7, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| Monday, <br> December 12, 2011 |  |  |  |  |

Disclaimer: This document is provided for informational purposes only. Schedule is subject to change. Verify all topics on the current meeting agenda one week prior to the meeting date.

# Board of County Commissioners <br> Escambia County, Florida 

Al-1116<br>Item \#: 5.<br>Planning Board-Regular<br>Meeting<br>Date:<br>Issue: A Public Hearing - Firearm Regulations<br>From: T. Lloyd Kerr, AICP<br>Organization: Development Services

## RECOMMENDATION:

That the Planning Board review and recommend to the Board of County Commissioners (BCC) to consider an ordinance amending or repealing various provisions of the Escambia County Code of Ordinances to ensure compliance with Section 790.33, Florida Statutes, as amended by House Bill 45 (2011).

## BACKGROUND:

During its 2011 session, the Florida Legislature approved, and Governor Scott signed, House Bill 45 , which amends Section 790.33 , Florida Statutes. Section 790.33 preempts the regulation of firearm to the state government. Through House Bill 45, the Legislature has confirmed its intent to entirely preempt the field of regulating firearms and ammunition, and has established penalties for local government officials who adopt or enforce any local regulation of firearms and ammunition. In response to House Bill 45, local governments throughout Florida have amended or repealed ordinances regulating the possession, sale, or use of firearms. Accordingly, this ordinance amends or repeals various provisions of the Escambia County Code of Ordinances to ensure compliance with Section 790.33, as amended by House Bill 45.

## BUDGETARY IMPACT:

No budgetary impact is anticipated by the adoption of this Ordinance.

## LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS/SIGN-OFF:

The attached Ordinance has been reviewed and approved for legal sufficiency by Ryan Ross, Assistant County Attorney. Any recommended legal comments are attached herein.

## PERSONNEL:

No additional personnel are required for implementation of this Ordinance.

## POLICYIREQUIREMENT FOR BOARD ACTION:

The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the Board's goal "to increase citizen involvement in, access to, and approval of, County government activities."

## IMPLEMENTATION/COORDINATION:

Implementation of this Ordinance will consist of an amendment to the LDC and distribution of a copy of the adopted Ordinance to interested citizens and staff.

The proposed Ordinance was prepared in cooperation with the Development Services Department, the County Attorney's Office and all interested citizens. The Development Services Department will ensure proper advertisement.

## Attachments

## Legal Review;Ordinance Draft 1B

Legal Review

## LEGAL REVIEW

## (COUNTY DEPARTMENT USE ONLY)

Document: Firearm Ordinance Draft 1A

Date: $\underline{07 / 15 / 11}$

Date requested back by: 07/21/11
Requested by: Allyson Cain
Phone Number:
595-3547
(LEGAL USE ONLY)

Ryan E. Ross, Asst. County Attorney
$\qquad$
Legal Review by 7/19/2011
Date Received: $\qquad$

XXX
Approved as to form and legal sufficiency.
_ Not approved.
_Make subject to legal signoff.

Additional comments:

Firearm Regulation Ordinance

Draft 1A

AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING AND REPEALING CERTAIN LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE SECTIONS TO ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE STATE PREEMPTION OF LOCAL FIREARM REGULATIONS; AMENDING ARTICLE 6, SECTION 6.05.01.D. BY DELETING HUNTING PRESERVES, SHOOTING RANGES, AND GUN AND RIFLE CLUBS AS CONDITIONAL USES IN THE AGRICULTURAL (AG) ZONING DISTRICTS; AMENDING ARTICLE 6, SECTION 6.05.01.B. TO ADD HUNTING PRESERVES, SHOOTING RANGES, AND GUN AND RIFLE CLUBS AS PERMITTED USES IN THE AGRICULTURAL (AG) ZONING DISTRICTS; AMENDING ARTICLE 6, SECTION 6.05.02.D. BY DELETING THE SAME AS CONDITIONAL USES IN THE RURAL RESIDENTIAL (RR) ZONING DISTRICTS; AMENDING ARTICLE 6, SECTION 6.05.22.D. BY DELETING THE SAME IN THE VILLAGES AGRICULTURAL (VAG) ZONING DISTRICTS; AMENDING ARTICLE 6, SECTION 6.05.22.B. TO ADD HUNTING PRESERVES, SHOOTING RANGES, AND GUN AND RIFLE CLUBS AS PERMITTED USES IN THE VAG ZONING DISTRICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; PROVIDING FOR INCLUSION IN THE CODE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the 2011 Florida Legislature has approved, and Governor Scott has
signed, House Bill 45, which amends Section 790.33, Florida Statutes; and
WHEREAS, through House Bill 45, the Legislature has confirmed its intent to
entirely preempt the field of regulating firearms and ammunition; and
WHEREAS, the Legislature has established penalties for local government
officials who adopt or enforce any local regulation of firearms and ammunition; and
WHEREAS, the Escambia County Board of County Commissioners desires to
amend or repeal certain provisions of the Escambia County Land Development Code to
ensure compliance with the Section 790.33, as amended by House Bill 45.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA:

## SECTION 1. AGRICULTURAL (AG) ZONING DISTRICTS.

Article 6, Section 6.05.01. of the Escambia County Land Development Code is hereby amended as follows:
6.05.01. AG agricultural district, low density.

B Permitted Uses.
23. Hunting preserves, shooting ranges, gun and rifle clubs, etc.
D. Conditional uses.

1. Hunting preserve, shooting ranges, gun and rifle clubs, etc.
2. 2. Public buildings for general administrative, executive or studio functions, or for general warehousing or maintenance operations.
1. 3. Wastewater treatment facilities, electric power generation facilities or substations, and solid waste transfer stations or collection points and/or processing facilities.
1. 4. Oil wells/mineral extraction and commercial antenna towers more than 150 feet in height.
1. 5. Hospitals, nursing homes and similar uses, except in the Coastal High Hazard Area (CHHA) future land use categories.
1. 6. The raising of exotic animals and birds.
1. 7. Junkyards, salvage yards, and waste tire processing facilities.
1. 8. Two-family dwellings.
1. 9. Clinics.

## SECTION 2. RURAL RESIDENTIAL (RR) ZONING DISTRICTS.

Article 6, Section 6.05.02. of the Escambia County Land Development Code is hereby amended as follows:
6.05.02. RR rural residential district (cumulative), Iow density.
C. Conditional uses.
6. Shooting ranges, gum and rifle clubs, etc.
6. 7. Country clubs, golf courses and tennis clubs.
7. 8. Any conditional use permitted in the preceding district, except antenna towers.
8. 9. Guest residence for medical care.
9. 10. Borrow pits and reclamation activities thereof (subject to local permit and development review requirements per Escambia County Code of Ordinances, Part I, Chapter 42, article VIII, and performance standards in Part III, the Land Development Code, article 7).
10. 11. Solid waste transfer stations, collection points, and/or processing facilities.

## SECTION 3. VILLAGES AGRICULTURAL (VAG) ZONING DISTRICTS.

Article 6, Section 6.05.22. of the Escambia County Land Development Code is hereby amended as follows:
6.05.22. VAG villages agricultural districts.
B. Permitted uses.
24.Hunting preserves, shooting ranges, gun and rifle clubs, etc.
D. Conditional uses.

1. Hunting preserves, shooting ranges, gun and rifle clubs, etc.
2. Ł. Golf courses, tennis centers, swimming clubs and customary attendant facilities and accessory buildings.
2.3. Public buildings for general administrative, executive or studio functions, or for general warehousing or maintenance operations (see section 6.08.02).
3. 4. Wastewater treatment facilities, electric power generation facilities or substations, and solid waste transfer stations, collection points and/or processing facilities.
4.-5. Oil wells/mineral extraction and commercial antenna towers more than 150 feet in height.
5.6. Hospitals, clinics, nursing homes and similar uses.
6.7. Borrow pits and reclamation activities thereof (subject to local permit and development review requirements per Escambia County Code of Ordinances, Part I, Chapter 42, article VIII, and performance standards in Part III, the Land Development Code, article 7).
7.8. Junkyards, salvage yards, and waste tire processing facilities.

## SECTION 4. SEVERABILITY.

If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by any Court of competent jurisdiction, then said holding shall in no way affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance.

## SECTION 5. INCLUSION IN THE CODE.

It is the intention of the Board of County Commissioners that the provisions of this Ordinance shall be codified as required by Section 125.68, Fla. Stat. (2009); and that the sections, subsections and other provisions of this Ordinance may be renumbered or relettered and the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section", "article", or such other appropriate word or phrase in order to accomplish such intentions.

## SECTION 6. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Ordinance shall become effective upon filing with the Department of State.
DONE AND ENACTED THIS $\qquad$ DAY OF $\qquad$ , 2011.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA

Kevin W. White, Chairman
Clerk of the Circuit Court

## Deputy Clerk

(Seal)

Enacted:
Filed with Department of State:
Effective: $\qquad$

# Board of County Commissioners Escambia County, Florida 

Al-1157<br>Item \#: 6.<br>Planning Board-Regular<br>Meeting<br>08/08/2011<br>Date:

## Agenda Item:

A. Discussion Item - DSAP Preliminary Plan, presented by Barry Wilcox, VHB, Inc.
B. Discussion Item - Way Finding Signs, presented by Horace Jones, Divison Manager, Planning \& Zoning

## Attachments

Preliminary DSAP

# Memorandum <br> To: T. Lloyd Kerr, AICP <br> Date: July 28, 2011 <br> Development Services Director Escambia County <br> Project No.: $\quad 61573.00$ <br> From: Barry Wilcox, AICP <br> Re: Preliminary DSAP <br> Attached to this memorandum are the components of the Mid-west Escambia County Optional Sector Plan's Preliminary Detailed Specific Area Plan (DSAP) as defined by Escambia County Comprehensive Plan Policy FLU 5.6.1. The Preliminary DSAP reflects the third step in the overall sector plan process, and builds upon the previously approved Conceptual Framework Map (Master Plan) and its adopted Goals, Objectives, Policies and development program. The Preliminary DSAP; however, remains a draft plan comprised of the following seven (7) basic components, each of which are respectively described in greater detail below. 

- Statement of Goals and Objectives
- Detailed Land Use Plan
- Detailed Public Facilities Plan
- Detailed Natural Resource Analysis
- Housing Analysis
- Energy Efficiency Analysis; and
- Land Use Need Analysis


## Statement of Goals and Objectives

A statement of goals and objectives was compiled utilizing input from the nearly two dozen community meetings, workshops and stakeholder interviews conducted during both the sector plan visioning process. These goals and objectives are summarized in Exhibit 1.

## Detailed Land Use Plan

Using the adopted long-range Conceptual Framework Map (Master Plan) and its associated Goals, Objectives, Policies as a guide, a refined conceptual land use plan was prepared for the DSAP. This conceptual land use plan was initially prepared as a "sketch" plan for easy review during a series of public meetings and workshops. After receiving and considering all input from the community, development groups and County staff, the plan was revised accordingly.

The preliminary land use plan attached to this memorandum as Exhibit 2 reflects the consensus from all DSAP stakeholders.

The preliminary land use plan is comprised of four basic components; the land use map, development program, design guidelines and circulation plan. The land use map (Exhibit 2-A) identifies the location of employment districts, mixed-use centers and residential neighborhoods, potential park and school sites and mobility improvements. The map is comprised of 132 planning sub-areas; each assigned a specific land use and development program.

Accompanying the land use map is a series of tables containing development programs for each of the sub-areas (Exhibit 2-B). Regional Employment District or Centers were assigned a development program based on the proportionate share of the total maximum non-residential square footage per district. This calculation was guided by the adopted sector plan policies (FLU 5.2.1 and FLU 5.5.1). No changes to the adopted land use mix for these areas have been proposed.

Areas designated as residential neighborhoods were sub-divided into four districts: Traditional Village, Traditional Garden, Suburban Garden and Conservation Community. Each of these districts were then assigned maximum, minimum and median or "target" densities based on adopted sector plan policies (FLU 5.5.2). Development programs for each of the residential planning areas were calculated utilizing the aforementioned districts' respective density range and acreage.

Design guidelines were developed for the various land use districts identified in the plan (Exhibit 2-C). As directed by County staff, the draft guidelines utilize existing zoning district standards as their basis and, where necessary, augment those standards to ensure consistency with the Mid-west Escambia County Optional Sector Plan goals, objectives and policies. They are intended to ensure that development within the DSAP advances the goals of the sector plan as identified by the citizens, staff and Escambia County public officials. In general, these guidelines address things such as density and intensity; land use mix; site and building design; streets; parking and circulation; landscaping; and park/open space provisions.

Finally, a DSAP circulation plan was created to accompany the conceptual land use plan (Exhibit 2-D). This plan identifies conceptual transportation network improvements proposed to enhance the connectivity of the DSAP. Recommended roadway cross-sections have also been created for each of the existing and proposed transportation corridors. These crosssections include multi-modal facilities intended to improve mobility and accessibility for pedestrians, cyclists, transit riders and motorists. In addition, the proposed cross-sections are intended to guide urban form through the graphical representation of building setbacks and onstreet parking.

## Detailed Public Facilities Plan

A detailed public facilities plan that analyzes the impacts of the DSAP development program and addresses potential deficiencies has been prepared and attached as Exhibit 3. This plan is comprised of two primary components; a transportation analysis and a utilities analysis. These items are attached as Exhibits 3-A and 3-B, respectively.

## Detailed Natural Resource Analysis

A detailed natural resource analysis of the DSAP area was conducted by Wetland Sciences, Inc. This analysis included a review for the occurrence of protected plant and wildlife species and an analysis of ecological communities located within the DSAP.

## Housing Analysis

A housing analysis was completed to establish an estimate of affordable housing demand generated by the DSAP development program. This analysis estimates both the total number of households potentially generated by the DSAP employment centers as well as the average income of those households. This analysis is attached as Exhibit 5.

## Energy Efficiency Analysis

An energy efficiency analysis was conducted to evaluate the DSAP land use plan's ability to reduce energy costs and greenhouse gas emissions. This analysis, attached as Exhibit 6, also includes recommendations intended to supplement the above-mentioned design guidelines.

## Land Use Need Analysis

A land use need analysis was conducted to demonstrate that the proposed mixture of land uses within the DSAP is sufficient to accommodate the projected population and their associated employment demands. This analysis is attached as Exhibit 7.

# EXHIBIT 1 STATEMENT OF GOALS AND OBJECTIVES 

## Statement of Community Goals and Objectives

In April 2008, the State of Florida and Escambia County entered into a formal Optional Sector Plan Agreement that allowed the County to initiate this innovative visioning process to more effectively address long-term planning issues of regional significance within a large geographical area. However, as a collaborative effort between the County, the West Central Florida Regional Planning Council and a group of affected property owners and developers, the initial exercise began in 2007 and sought to address key issues in the following manner:

1. Economy - Strengthening the regional economy by providing a more appropriate mix of land uses that result in a balanced relationship between the population and employment opportunities.
2. Physiographic Features - Ensuring a sustainable relationship between growth and significant natural eco-systems/water management functions in the County. This issue also focused on providing better habitat connectivity, including clustered residential development.
3. Land Use Analysis - Creating compatible and sustainable land use patterns by strengthening the County's jobs-to-housing balance, avoiding future blight, protecting conservation and preservation areas, and enhancing important agricultural resources.
4. Public Services/Infrastructure - Maximizing the efficient provision of public services, including central water, wastewater, reclaimed water and reuse, regional stormwater facilities, schools, parks, civic/institutional facilities and public health care facilities.
5. Governmental Land Use and Related Policies - Ensuring consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and applicable Land Development Code, and applicable sections of the Strategic Regional Policy Plan (SRPP).
6. Financial Resource Analysis - Maximizing the County's financial resources, including impact analysis of the agricultural, silvicultural, tourism and military industries.
7. Affordable Housing - Providing affordable housing opportunities and demonstrating the need for the proposed amount of residential development and its affordability mix.
8. Traffic Planning - Addressing transportation and circulation issues including enhanced access management, public transit provision, programmed and proposed capacity improvements and future utility corridors. The focal point of this issue was ensuring the existence of an interconnected, multi-modal transportation system, consisting of regional, county and local roads, bikeways, pathways and transit.

Between September 2007 and January 2011, the County and its partners completed the Escambia County Mid-West Optional Sector Plan (OSP) visioning process which included an extensive development trend analysis and review of various long-term buildout scenarios. Throughout this process the County recognized the importance of public involvement by holding more than twenty (20) stakeholder meetings and public workshops /hearings. This series of public involvement
forums culminated in the adoption of the OSP Conceptual Long-Term Buildout and Overlay (Master Plan), and associated Comprehensive Plan policies.

Through this exercise, the community identified and prioritized four (4) general principles that were adopted into the Comprehensive Plan under Future Land Use Element (FLUE) Goal 5, Objective 5.1, and Policy 5.1.2. These principles are listed below and constitute the community goals and objectives to be accomplished within the two (2) Detailed Specific Area Plans (DSAPs).

Policy FLU 5.1.2 Development within the OSP area shall support and further the following general principles:

## Economic Development

a. Promote economic development and job creation
b. Promote the fiscally efficient use of land and infrastructure
c. Provide adequate retail and service opportunities to meet the needs of the surrounding community

## Transportation

a. Create a highly interconnected, multi-modal transportation system that efficiently links housing to employment and retail opportunities
b. Develop a hierarchy of transportation corridors that would increase mobility and accessibility within the OSP while respecting existing residential development
c. Create an interconnected and accessible pedestrian and bicycle network
d. Reduce vehicle trips (VT) and vehicle miles traveled (VMT) through the use of compact, mixed-use and transit-oriented development patterns

## Environment

a. Establish a "green infrastructure" network of interconnected recreation areas and open space
b. Identify, protect and when impacted by development restore key ecosystems
c. Identify, protect and when impacted by development restore wildlife habitat and corridors
d. Reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions

## Community Design

a. Create a hierarchy of place
b. Promote compact neighborhood design
c. Create neighborhoods that would provide a broad range of housing options varying in size, style, cost and type of ownership
d. Provide neighborhood schools and parks within close proximity to housing consistent with Chapter 16, Public Schools Facilities Element
e. Construct resource-efficient homes and businesses

## EXHIBIT 2 DETAILED LAND USE PLAN

## EXHIBIT 2-A LAND USE MAP

| Legend |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | DSAP Boundary |
|  | Regional Employment |
|  | Town Center |
|  | Village Center |
|  | Neighborhood Center |
|  | Traditional Village |
|  | Traditional Garden |
|  | Suburban Garden |
|  | Conservation Neighborhood |
|  | Public |
|  | Low-Impact Natural Resource Area |
|  | Conservation |
| $17 / \lambda$ | Proposed Bee Line Corridor |
| - | Existing ROW |
| $=$ = = | Proposed ROW |
| +1 | Railroad |
| $P$ | Elementary / Middle School |
|  | High School |
| 2s | Community Park |
| 今全 | Regional Park |

Notes:

1. Location and extent of Low-impact Natural Resource Areas are approximate and subject to change pursuant to permitting through the Northwest Florida Water Management District
2. Proposed roadway alignments are conceptual and subject to further refinement and permitting.
3. The Potential Beeline Corridor is conceptual in nature and not intended to depict a pre-determined alignment. . Public park and school sites have been preliminarily located based upon calculated demand at build-out and proximity to population centers. The specific location proximity to population centers. The specific location
and size of the identified sites are subject to approval by and size of the identified sites are subject to appro
the site owner and acquisition by the appropriate the site owner and acquisition by the appropriate
governing authority and may change accordingly. The preliminary site locations shall not be construed as a requirement for the construction of parks and schools on the identified sites or as otherwise prejudicing the site owner's ability to develop the site.


225 East Robinson Street, Suite 300 Orlando, Florida 32801 | 407.839 .4006

Escambia County DSAP

## EXHIBIT 2-B

## DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

| LAND USE |  | DEV. ACRES | LOW DEN. | MED. DEN. | HIGH DEN. | UNITS |  |  | MAX. NON-RES. SQ. FT. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOW |  |  |  | MEDIUM | HIGH |  |
| Conservation Neighborhood |  |  | 3,855.5 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 385 | 3,855 | 11,566 | 0 |
| Suburban Garden |  | 1,770.6 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 5,311 | 8,853 | 17,706 | 0 |
| Traditional | Garden | 594.9 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 2,974 | 4,164 | 8,923 | 0 |
|  | Village | 248.1 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 1,736 | 2,977 | 4,961 | 0 |
| Village Center* |  | 84.2 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 176 | 378 | 631 | 400,000 |
| Town Center ** |  | 300.0 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 1,200 | 1,800 | 3,000 | 1,200,000 |
| Regional Employment District*** |  | 1,738.2 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 869 | 1,303 | 1,738 | 10,500,000 |
| Neighborhood Center |  | 20.2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 60,000 |
| Utility ${ }^{\text {TOTALS: }}$ |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 8,611.7 |  |  |  | 12,751 | 23,430 | 48,625 | 12,160,000 |

** Density assumptions in the Town Centers are applied to $40 \%$ of developable acreage
*** Density assumptions in the Regional Employment Districts are applied to 5\% of developable acreage
NOTE 1: Differences in the total units from that in the detailed density chart are due to rounding.
NOTE 2: Developable acreage is approximate and is subject to change as a result of final engineering and surveying

${ }_{*}^{*}$ Density assumptions in the Venter Centers are applied to 0 060\% of developable acreage
$* *$ Density assumptions in the Town Centers are applied to $40 \%$ of develoopable acreage
$* * *$ Density assumptions in the Regional Employment Districts are applied to $5 \%$ of devel
NOTE: Developable acreage is approximate and is subject to change as a result of f final engineering and sur

ESCAMBIA COUNTY - DSAP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM CALCULATIONS

| PARCEL <br> NUMBER | NON-RESIDENTIAL LAND USE | DEV. ACRES | MAX. <br> FAR PER SITE | MAX. <br> NON-RES. SQ. FT. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | Neighborhood Center | 5.0 | 0.25 | 15,000 |
| 27 | Village Center | 40.0 | 0.50 | 200,000 |
| 45 | Regional Employment | 6.5 | 0.50 | 57,478 |
| 46 | Regional Employment | 71.9 | 0.50 | 634,999 |
| 47 | Regional Employment | 124.3 | 0.50 | 1,097,740 |
| 48 | Regional Employment | 80.4 | 0.50 | 709,783 |
| 49 | Neighborhood Center | 5.1 | 0.25 | 15,000 |
| 60 | Town Center | 190.2 | 1.00 | 760,578 |
| 62 | Town Center | 32.0 | 1.00 | 128,143 |
| 63 | Town Center | 77.8 | 1.00 | 311,279 |
| 80 | Village Center | 8.5 | 0.50 | 38,587 |
| 81 | Village Center | 35.6 | 0.50 | 161,413 |
| 93 | Neighborhood Center | 5.0 | 0.25 | 15,000 |
| 98 | Regional Employment | 37.8 | 0.50 | 208,569 |
| 99 | Regional Employment | 265.3 | 0.50 | 1,465,786 |
| 100 | Regional Employment | 28.6 | 0.50 | 158,181 |
| 101 | Regional Employment | 19.1 | 0.50 | 105,252 |
| 102 | Regional Employment | 33.3 | 0.50 | 183,762 |
| 103 | Neighborhood Center | 5.0 | 0.50 | 15,000 |
| 104 | Regional Employment | 8.0 | 0.25 | 44,090 |
| 105 | Regional Employment | 48.2 | 0.50 | 266,140 |
| 106 | Regional Employment | 33.1 | 0.50 | 182,712 |
| 107 | Regional Employment | 71.7 | 0.50 | 396,088 |
| 108 | Regional Employment | 5.6 | 0.50 | 30,940 |
| 109 | Regional Employment | 93.6 | 0.50 | 516,865 |
| 110 | Regional Employment | 4.8 | 0.50 | 26,631 |
| 111 | Regional Employment | 13.8 | 0.50 | 76,245 |
| 112 | Regional Employment | 187.8 | 0.50 | 1,037,542 |
| 113 | Regional Employment | 23.3 | 0.50 | 128,622 |
| 114 | Regional Employment | 83.5 | 0.50 | 461,394 |
| 116 | Regional Employment | 1.5 | 0.50 | 8,343 |
| 117 | Regional Employment | 129.8 | 0.50 | 717,257 |
| 118 | Regional Employment | 35.4 | 0.50 | 195,586 |
| 119 | Regional Employment | 311.8 | 0.50 | 1,722,535 |
| 120 | Regional Employment | 10.5 | 0.50 | 57,736 |
| 121 | Regional Employment | 1.8 | 0.50 | 9,724 |
|  | TOTALS: | 2,135.5 | S | 12,160,000 |

NOTE: Developable acreage is approximate and is subject to change as a result of final engineering and surveying.

## EXHIBIT 2-C

## DESIGN GUIDELINES

## RESIDENTIAL GUIDELINES


A. General Description

Neighborhood districts within the DSAP include Conservation Neighborhood, Suburban Garden, Traditional Garden and Traditional Village districts. These neighborhoods are intended to meet the needs of a wide array of Escambia County residents. Permitted housing types include both attached and detached single and multi-family dwellings with a broad range of densities. The most intense neighborhoods are located adjacent to Town, Village and Neighborhoods centers to place the greatest number of residents within close proximity to employment, retail and civic opportunities. Public parks and open space play an integral role in all neighborhoods both as recreation opportunities as well as organizing elements and focal points for the communities.

B. Building Design

1. No more than $40 \%$ of the horizontal dimension of the front of a primary residential structure may consist of an uninterrupted wall or garage door.

2. With the exception of apartments and ancillary dwelling units, every residential structure shall include primary entrances that are visible and accessible from the street and shall have a pedestrian path or walkway from the primary entrance to the sidewalk.
3. The same front façade for detached, single-family units may not be repeated more than five (5) times within one (1) block length for both sides of any street and shall be separated by at least two (2) lots with different facades.
4. Front loaded garages for detached, single-family units shall be recessed a minimum of eight (8) feet from the primary façade of the structure. Front porches are not considered part of the primary structure.

5. Garages for detached or attached housing, on lots less than 50 feet wide, shall be placed at the rear of the property and accessed by alley or side yard driveway.

6. Garages for multi-family dwellings shall be to the rear of the residential building.
7. Lots $50^{\prime}$ or less in width must include a front porch.
8. Minimum porch width is $8^{\prime}$ and shall cover a minimum of $1 / 3$ of the front building façade.
C. Development Block and Lots, except for Conservation Neighborhoods
9. Maximum block length of 600', measured to between two intersection centerlines.

10. Lots $50^{\prime}$ or less in width must be accessed from an alley.
11. Lot sizes should vary within each block to promote variety and diversity of housing.
D. Setbacks
12. Setback shall be per specified applicable zoning category unless otherwise noted.
13. Front yard setback may be reduced to $12^{\prime}$ with the use of front porches.
14. Rear yard setback may be reduced to 10 ' for detached garage.
15. Multi-family dwellings front yard setback may be reduced to $12^{\prime}$ when facing a public right of way and on street parking is provided.
E. Street Design
16. Roadway connections or stub-outs shall be provided between adjacent parcels to enhance connectivity between neighborhoods. Where a site is constrained due to environmental conditions, this requirement may be waived.
17. Cul-de-sacs, T-turnarounds or dead end streets are prohibited unless constrained by environmental conditions. Where cul-de-sacs, T-turnarounds or dead end streets are permitted, pedestrian and bicycle connectivity to the adjacent block(s) shall be provided.
18. All streets shall be in the form of a gridded or curvilinear gridded street network to promote multiple route choices, reduce the distance between uses and to encourage walking and biking.
19. All streets shall incorporate multi-modal facilities accommodating pedestrians, cyclists, automobiles and, where available, transit.
20. Refer to Cross Sections 3, 4, 5, 6 \& 7 for typical road cross sections for Neighborhood districts.
F. Alleys
21. Alleys are required for any block containing any lots with a width of fifty (50) feet or less.
22. Alleys are required for all lots facing a public park or civic use.

## G. Parks and Open Space

1. Neighborhoods shall have public space that should be centrally located

2. Neighborhood parks shall have access from public right-of-way.
3. All residential neighborhoods shall provide a minimum of $5 \%$ of total net acres in the form of civic and/or active recreation space.
H. Landscape Guidelines
4. Frontage trees shall be planted at an average of forty (40) feet on center.
5. Landscape design should emphasize the practical use of plant material which reduce irrigation demands and minimize maintenance.
I. Stormwater
6. Stormwater management facilities should be designed as a neighborhood amenity.
7. A master stormwater plan should be designed for contiguous development parcels.
J. Schools
8. Schools should be centrally located and within walking or biking distance to residential neighborhoods.
[This page intentionally left blank]

## CONSERVATION NEIGHBORHOOD GUIDELINES



A. General Description

Conservation Neighborhoods are located greater than $1 / 2$ mile from Town, Village and Neighborhood Centers and are typically located in more rural areas of the DSAP. They are subdivisions of clustered, single-family dwellings intended to:

- Establish a more efficient use of land and infrastructure, thereby reducing costs to taxpayers, residents and developers.
- Offer landowners alternatives to conventional, large-lot development and incentivize the conservation of natural resources.
- Create usable and accessible open space for use by neighborhood residents.
- Contribute to an overall, interconnected open space system which links individual neighborhoods to parks and other publicly owned lands.

B. Corresponding Escambia County Zoning District

1. VAG-1, VR-1, R-1, V-1, V-2, V-2A, V-5, SDD
C. Permitted Uses
2. Detached housing as well as those uses listed in the specific zoning category, schools, civic use, open space and parks.
D. Density
3. Minimum Density: none
4. Maximum Density: 3 DU/ Net Acre

## E. Development Pattern and Design

1. The developed area of the subject site shall not exceed fifty (50) percent of the gross land area of the site.

## Conventional Development

## Cluster Development

2. Development shall be arranged in compact, neighborhood clusters with a maximum of 30 lots per cluster.
3. Sites may contain multiple neighborhood clusters provided they are separated by open space.
F. Open Space
4. At least fifty (50) percent of the gross land area of the proposed subdivision shall be designated as undivided, permanently protected open space, managed for either agriculture or conservation purposes, and on which the underlying development rights of the open space have been severed through a legal instrument that runs with the land.

5. Open space shall be arranged to preserve the function and integrity of on-site natural resources.
6. Open space shall consist of Primary and Secondary Conservation Areas, Improved Common Open Space, and/or Active Agricultural Areas.
a. Primary Conservation Areas - wetlands, watercourses, waterbodies and associated buffers, and lands conserved for the protection of flora, fauna and habitat. Such lands shall be managed as natural open space and maintained in a natural or restored condition.
b. Secondary Conservation Area - other selected areas which contain attractive spaces that are unique to the character of the site.
c. Improved Common Open Space - open space set aside for passive recreational purposes. These areas may contain accessory buildings and improvements necessary and appropriate for recreational and/or public uses.
d. Active Agricultural Areas - improved land used for bona fide agriculture uses subject to Best Management Practices of the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services including structures and facilities to support bona fide agricultural uses.
7. Up to $1 / 2$ of the required open space area may include stormwater facilities provided such facilities are designed as a community amenity.
8. Open space should be contiguous to greenways, trails, public parks or other open spaces on adjoining parcels in order to promote the creation of larger, interconnected open space system.
9. Required open space should be accessible from the subject sites buildable area, except areas that contain bona fide agricultural activities.
G. Streets
10. Refer to Cross Sections 2,3 and 7 for typical cross sections for Conservation Neighborhoods.
11. Roadways should follow existing contours to minimize the extent of cuts and fills.
[This page intentionally left blank]

## SUBURBAN GARDEN GUIDELINES



A. General Description

Suburban Garden neighborhoods are located greater than $1 / 2$ mile from Town, Village and Neighborhood Centers. These neighborhoods are intended to provide a range of housing types with an emphasis on single-family dwellings. Small single-family detached and attached dwelling units may be developed and will require access from a rear alley. Blocks should be in the form of a curvilinear grid. Parks or other public space should serve as the focal point for these neighborhoods.

B. Corresponding Escambia County Zoning District

1. V-1, V-2, V-2A, V-5, SDD, R-2, R-3, V-3, V-4
C. Density
2. Minimum Density: $3 \mathrm{DU} /$ Net Acre
3. Maximum Density: 10 DU/Net Acre
4. The Suburban Garden district target density is $5 \mathrm{DU} /$ Net Acres.


Typical Block Pattern
D. Lot Size

1. Minimum lot size for single-family, detached dwelling may be 35 feet when alley access is provided.
E. Streets
2. Refer to Cross Sections $4,5,6$ and 7 for typical road cross sections for Suburban Garden.
3. Typical road cross-sections for Suburban Garden include:
4. Encourage on-street parking for visitors for residential lots less than 50 feet.

# TRADITIONAL GARDEN GUIDELINES 



A. General Description

Traditional Garden neighborhoods are typically located within $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ mile from Town, Village and Neighborhood Centers. These neighborhoods are intended to provide a transition between the Suburban Garden and Traditional Village districts. Housing includes a variety of attached and detached residential units with a higher mix of attached products. Blocks should be in the form of a more traditional grid. A curvilinear grid may be used where influenced by environmental conditions. Parks or other public space should serve as the focal point for these neighborhoods.

B. Corresponding Escambia County Zoning District

1. R-2, R-3, V-3, V-4, R-4
C. Density
2. Minimum Density: $5 \mathrm{DU} /$ Net Acres
3. Maximum Density: 15 DU/Net Acres
4. The Traditional Garden District target density is $7 \mathrm{DU} /$ Net Acres.


Typical Block Pattern
D. Streets

1. Refer to Cross Sections 4, 5, 6 and 7 for typical cross section for Traditional Garden Neighborhoods.
2. Encourage on-street parking for visitors for residential lots less than 50 feet.
3. Parking lots for multi-family units shall be located to the rear or side of the building.

## TRADITIONAL VILLAGE GUIDELINES



A. General Description

Traditional Village neighborhoods are located adjacent to the Town and Village Centers. These neighborhoods are primarily comprised of attached, single family and multi-family residential dwellings. Roads are gridded, blocks are short and there is significant connectivity between blocks. Public spaces should serve as the focal point for these neighborhoods and may include civic buildings, community centers and active and/or passive recreation areas.

B. Corresponding Escambia County Zoning District

1. R-2, R-3, V-3, V-4, R-4
C. Density
2. Minimum Density: $7 \mathrm{DU} /$ Net Acres
3. Maximum Density: 20 DU/Net Acres
4. The Traditional Village district target density is $12 \mathrm{DU} /$ Net Acres.

D. Streets
5. Refer to Cross Sections 4,5,6 and 7 for typical street cross sections for Traditional Village.
6. Encourage on-street parking for visitors for residential lots less than 50 feet.
7. Parking lots for multi-family units shall be located to the rear or side of the building.

## CENTERS GUIDELINES




## A. General Description

Town, Village and Neighborhood Centers are urban areas within the DSAP which provide a concentrated mix of uses including commercial, office, civic and residential. Centers should be designed as community focal points and provide opportunities for people to shop, work, live and play. These Centers and the surrounding neighborhoods should be linked together by a highly interconnected, multimodal street network which includes transit, bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Centers should include a strong civic element, such as a community center or park, and should be linked to a regional open space system.

B. Building Design

1. All buildings within Centers shall be oriented to street rights-of-way and have minimal building setbacks. Buildings located on plazas, courtyards and parks and residential uses that front a portion of a parking area or are located interior to a block may be exempt from this requirement.
2. Covered walkways, terraces, balconies, awnings and street trees shall be encouraged to provide shaded walkways for pedestrians.
3. Doorways and windows shall be oriented toward a street or other public space to provide visual interest and to increase security.
4. All trash collection shall be located to the rear of buildings or within parking areas.
C. Development Pattern
5. Single occupant retail uses 50,000 square feet or greater shall provide separate liner buildings oriented toward a street on at least one additional side.

D. Setbacks
6. Building setbacks within Centers shall be reduced to create a strong urban form and encourage pedestrian activity.
7. Recommended building setbacks:
a. Front yard setback: 0 feet minimum, 15 feet maximum
b. Rear yard setback: 5 feet minimum
c. Side yard setback: 0 feet minimum
d. Corner lot side yard setback: 0 feet minimum, 10 feet maximum

8. Variations in the zero setback are permitted to provide greater accommodations for pedestrian circulation, sidewalks, enhanced entries, and dining areas.

## E. Street Design

1. All Centers shall be designed around a gridded or curvilinear gridded street network with a maximum block length of six hundred feet ( $600^{\prime}$ ), measured between two intersection centerlines.


## Typical Bock Pattern

2. Street will be designed with an emphasis on pedestrian and bicycle circulation.
3. Traffic calming measures shall be included in the street design including but not limited to bump-outs, raised crosswalks at intersections, round-a-bouts and on-street parking. Speed bumps are discouraged.
4. All streets shall have sidewalks on both sides of the road right-of-way.
5. All pedestrian crosswalks should be clearly defined by distinct paving material.
6. All streetscapes within Centers shall require street furniture such as planters, trash receptacles and lighting.
7. Refer to Cross Sections 4, 5, 6 and 7 for typical road cross section for the Centers.

## F. Bicycle Circulation

1. All primary roadways within Centers shall provide continuous bicycle facility connections between roadways.
2. Bicycle parking should be provided at a ratio of one (1) space per 3,000 square feet of retail or office use.
3. Bicycle parking shall be provided at all bus/transit stops.
G. Parking \& Circulation
4. Off-street parking shall be minimized, located at the rear or sides of buildings and visually screened in order to promote a walkable, pedestrian friendly environment.

5. Cross access shall be provided between adjacent parcels.
6. Parking structures fronting a primary street shall include ground floor retail and service uses with street access.
7. Pedestrian paths through parking facilities should be clearly delineated.
H. Transit
8. Transit stops should be located at each of the Centers as well as within the adjacent neighborhoods.
9. Transit shelters shall be required and should be consistent with the surrounding architectural theme.
10. Bus pull-ins should be considered during the design of arterial and collector roadway improvements.
I. Recreation and Open Space
11. Each Center shall be organized around a centrally located public park, plaza or civic facility.
12. Recreation and public space standards shall be defined in the respective district guidelines.
J. Civic Space
13. Civic buildings should be located at roadway intersections or at the termini of roads to provide a focal point and/or landmark within the Center.

14. Libraries, police and fire stations, meeting halls, churches, governmental and civic buildings, community centers, amphitheaters, public squares, plazas, parks, and courtyards may count towards meeting the recreation/public requirements for each Center.
K. Landscape Guidelines
15. Frontage trees shall be planted forty (40) feet on center.
16. Landscape design should emphasize the practical use of plant material which reduce irrigation demands and minimize maintenance.
L. Signage
17. Pole signs are prohibited. Ground sign shall be no higher than eight (8) feet from finished grade to the top of the sign, and shall tie in with the architectural style of the development.
M. Stormwater
18. A master stormwater plan should be designed for each Center.
19. Stormwater management facilities should be designed as an open space amenity, unfenced and curvilinear in form.
20. To preserve the urban character of the Centers, stormwater may be conveyed offsite or stored in underground vaults.

# NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER GUIDELINES 



A. General Description

Neighborhood Centers are small, mixed-use centers located central to residential neighborhoods. Neighborhood Centers are intended to provide a limited amount of services to the surrounding neighborhood and create an identity or focal point. Retail or office uses may be in the form of a single building or a cluster of small buildings. Parking should be limited to onstreet parking or to the rear of the building and screened from surrounding residential uses. Residential development may be located above ground floor retail or office. Neighborhood Centers include park facilities intended to provide a gathering place and focal point for surrounding neighborhoods.

B. Corresponding Escambia County Zoning Districts

1. $\mathrm{R}-5, \mathrm{R}-6$
C. Development Standards
2. Maximum Size:

Five net acres
2. Maximum FAR:
. 25
3. Maximum Gross Floor Area: 15,000 square feet
4. Minimum Residential Density: 5.0 DU/Ac

D. Land Use Mix

1. Residential Development:

Above commercial or office only
2. Commercial Development:
$0 \%$ to $35 \%$ of net acres
3. Office Development:
$0 \%$ to $20 \%$ of net acres
4. Recreation/Public:
$20 \%$ of net acres (no maximum)
E. Streets and Parking

1. Refer to Cross Section 6 for typical street cross sections for Neighborhood Centers.
2. Parking should be provided on-street or to the rear of the buildings.

## VILLAGE CENTER GUIDELINES



A. General Description

Village Centers are mid-sized, mixed-use centers intended to serve multiple residential neighborhoods. Village Centers should be located at the intersection of collector and arterial roadways. A typical Village Center may contain a grocery store, small retail services, restaurants, office space, civic building and a village green. Civic or park space should be designed to provide a focal point for the center while also serving the adjacent neighborhoods. Village Centers may contain higher density residential uses and may be mixed both horizontally and vertically with non-residential uses.

B. Corresponding Escambia County Zoning Districts

1. $\mathrm{R}-5, \mathrm{R}-6, \mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{GMD}$
C. Development Standards
2. Maximum Size:
Forty (40) net acres
3. Maximum FAR:
. 50
4. Maximum Gross Floor Area:
200,000 square feet
5. Minimum Residential Density: 7.0 DU/Ac

D. Land Use Mix
6. Residential Development: $20 \%$ to $40 \%$ of net acres
7. Commercial Development: $15 \%$ to $30 \%$ of net acres
8. Office Development: $10 \%$ to $25 \%$ of net acres
9. Recreation/Public: $10 \%$ of net acres (no maximum)
E. Streets and Parking
10. Refer to Cross Section 4 for typical street cross sections for Village Centers.
11. Parking should be provided on-street or to the rear of the buildings.

## TOWN CENTER GUIDELINES



A. General Description

The Town Center is centrally located within the sector plan area and contains the highest concentration of mixed-use development. The Town Center is intended to serve both the sector plan area, as well as surrounding communities. At its core is a traditional, mixed-use urban center built upon small blocks and gridded streets. Adjacent to this traditional core are areas to accommodate larger scale retail, office and residential use. The Town Center is structured around the pedestrian and utilizes plazas, greens and other public spaces to create an attractive walking environment.

B. Corresponding Escambia County Zoning Districts

1. R-5, R-6, C-1, GMD
C. Permitted Uses
2. The uses listed in the R-5, R-6, C-1 and C-2 zoning district except for :
distribution warehouse and mini warehouses, new and used car sales, truck, utility trailer, and RV rental service or facility, building trades or construction office and warehouses with outside on-site storage, marinas, adult entertainment uses and borrow pits and reclamation activities.
D. Development Standards
3. Maximum Size:
4. Maximum FAR:

500 net acres
3. Maximum Gross Floor Area:
1.0
4. Minimum Residential Density:

1,200,000 square feet
10.0 DU/Ac

E. Land Use Mix

1. Residential Development: $30 \%$ to $50 \%$ of net acres
2. Commercial Development: $20 \%$ to $40 \%$ of net acres
3. Office Development: $20 \%$ to $40 \%$ of net acres
4. Recreation/Public:
$15 \%$ of net acres (no maximum)
F. Streets
5. Refer to Cross Section 4 for typical street cross sections for the Town Center.
6. Parking should be provided on-street or to the rear of the buildings.

## REGIONAL EMPLOYMENT GUIDELINES



A. General Description

The intent of these districts is to support economic development and improve the jobs-tohousing balance in Escambia County. These are to be comprised primarily of industrial, distribution and office uses. Limited commercial and residential uses may also be permitted.

B. Corresponding Escambia County Zoning Districts

1. $\mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{GMD}, \mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{ID}-\mathrm{CP}, \mathrm{ID}-1, \mathrm{GBD}, \mathrm{GID}$
C. Development Standards
2. Northern Regional Employment District
a. Maximum Size:
400 net acres
a. Maximum FAR: . 50
b. Maximum Gross Floor Area:
2,500,000 square feet
3. Southern Regional Employment District
a. Maximum Size:
1,600 net acres
b. Maximum FAR:
. 50
c. Maximum Gross Floor Area:
$8,000,000$ square feet
D. Land Use Mix
4. Northern Regional Employment District
a. Residential Development:
$0 \%$ to $10 \%$ of net acres
b. Commercial:
$0 \%$ to 5\% of net acres
c. Office:
$20 \%$ to $60 \%$ of net acres
d. Industrial:
e. Recreation/Park:

20\% to 60\% of net acres
$5 \%$ of net acres (no maximum)
2. Southern Regional Employment District
a. Residential Development: $0 \%$ to $10 \%$ of net acres
b. Commercial: $0 \%$ to $5 \%$ of net acres
c. Office: $20 \%$ to $60 \%$ of net acres
d. Industrial: $20 \%$ to $60 \%$ of net acres
e. Recreation/Park: $5 \%$ of net acres (no maximum)
E. Development Pattern

1. To the greatest extent possible, development shall be clustered to preserve open space and protect significant natural resources.
2. Building form shall complement and preserve the natural landforms and minimize cut and fill to the greatest extent possible.
3. The primary entrance to buildings should be clearly designated and oriented towards a public right-of-way.
F. Residential and Commercial Standards
4. For residential development in the Regional Employment District refer to residential standards for the Traditional Village District.
5. For commercial development in the Regional Employment District refer to the Center Guidelines.
G. Parking
6. There should be no parking between the building and the road right-of-way. Minimum guest parking may be allowing in the front of the building, as long as it does not exceed one bay of parking and $50 \%$ of the building frontage, and should be adequately screened with vegetation from the street right of way.

7. Parking lots which accommodate a significant number of vehicles should be divided into series of smaller connected lots.
8. Site and building design should accommodate the pedestrian by creating designated walkways from parking areas to plazas and open space to the adjoining buildings. Bicycle connectivity should be accommodated from the street right of way to the building site.
9. Adjacent parcels should allow for interconnectivity between connected parking lots so vehicles can travel from one private parking lot to another without having to access the primary street.
10. Parking areas should be screened by buildings, screen wall and/or landscaping and should not dominate the street frontage.
11. Truck and service bay loading and service areas should not be visible from the primary roadway and separated from parking areas.
H. Loading and Service Areas
12. Loading and service areas shall be located at the rear or side of buildings and away from the main building entrance.
13. Loading and service areas shall be screened by buildings, landscaping or decorative fence or wall.
I. Storage and Equipment Areas
14. Exterior spaces for services, mechanical equipment and outside storage shall be screened and integrated with the overall site development and building character.
15. Recycling areas shall be accommodated within trash storage areas.
16. Rooftop equipment shall be completely screened from view.
J. Signage
17. Pole signs are prohibited.
18. Ground sign shall be no higher than eight (8) feet from finished grade to the top of the sign, and shall tie in with the architectural style of the development.
K. Lighting
19. All site lighting must be designed to minimize glare to adjacent properties or streets.
L. Landscape Guidelines
20. Street trees shall be planted at an average of forty (40) feet on center and shall be located in planter strips between the curb and sidewalks.
21. Landscape design should be limited to Florida-friendly plant materials which reduce irrigation demands.
M. Stormwater
22. A master stormwater plan should be designed for each Employment District.
23. Stormwater management facilities shall be designed as an open space amenity, unfenced and curvilinear in form.
N. Streets
24. Refer to Cross Sections 2 and 3 for typical street cross sections for Regional Employment Districts.

## EXHIBIT 2-D

## CIRCULATION PLAN




BEE LINE CORRIDOR

## 1. Bee Line Corridor

R.O.W. WIDTH

FACE OF CURB TO FACE OF CURB TRAFFIC LANES

TRAFFIC LANE WIDTH
PARKING LANES
PARKING LANE WIDTH
PARKWAY WIDTH
$324^{\prime}$
NO CURB
TWO WAY
12'
NO
NA
NA

MEDIAN WIDTH
SIDEWALK WIDTH
CURB RADIUS
BIKE LANES
BIKE LANE WIDTH
STRIPPING
STREET TREE SPACING

80'
NO SIDEWALK
NA
NONE
NA
YES
NA


## 2. Community Collector

R.O.W. WIDTH

FACE OF CURB TO FACE OF CURB
TRAFFIC LANES
TRAFFIC LANE WIDTH
PARKING LANES
PARKING LANE WIDTH
PARKWAY WIDTH
$80^{\prime}$
56
TWO WAY
$11^{\prime}$ \& 12'
NO
NA
7

MEDIAN WIDTH
SIDEWALK WIDTH
CURB RADIUS
BIKE LANES
BIKE LANE WIDTH
STRIPPING
STREET TREE SPACING

NA
5'
25'
YES
5'
YES
40' O.C.


## 3. Community Collector

R.O.W. WIDTH

FACE OF CURB TO FACE OF CURB TRAFFIC LANES

TRAFFIC LANE WIDTH
PARKING LANES
PARKING LANE WIDTH
PARKWAY WIDTH

| $94^{\prime}-100^{\prime}$ | MEDIAN WIDTH | $12^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $70^{\prime}$ | SIDEWALK WIDTH | $5^{\prime}-8^{\prime}$ |
| TWO WAY | CURB RADIUS | $25^{\prime}$ |
| $10^{\prime}$ | BIKE LANES | YES |
| NONE | BIKE LANE WIDTH | $5^{\prime}$ |
| NA | STRIPPING | YES |
| $7^{\prime}$ | STREET TREE SPACING | $40^{\prime}$ O.C. |



VILLAGE-TOWN COLLECTOR

## 4. Village-Town Collector

R.O.W. WIDTH

FACE OF CURB TO FACE OF CURB TRAFFIC LANES
TRAFFIC LANE WIDTH
PARKING LANES
PARKING LANE WIDTH
PARKWAY OR TREE WELL WIDTH
$108^{\prime}-114^{\prime}$
$84^{\prime}$
TWO WAY
$10^{\prime}$
BOTH SIDES
$7^{\prime}$
$7^{\prime}$

MEDIAN WIDTH
SIDEWALK WIDTH
CURB RADIUS
BIKE LANES
BIKE LANE WIDTH 5'
STRIPPING
STREET TREE SPACING

YES
$12^{\prime}$
$5^{\prime}-8^{\prime}$
25'

YES
40' O.C.


REGIONAL ARTERIAL W/BRT

## 5. Regional Arterial w/ BRT

| R.O.W. WIDTH | $138^{\prime}$ | MEDIAN WIDTH | $40^{\prime}$ W/ BRT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FACE OF CURB TO FACE OF CURB | $104^{\prime}$ | SIDEWALK WIDTH | $8^{\prime} \& 12^{\prime}$ |
| TRAFFIC LANES | TWO WAY | CURB RADIUS | $25^{\prime}$ |
| TRAFFIC LANE WIDTH | $11^{\prime} \& 12^{\prime}$ | BIKE LANES | YES |
| PARKING LANES | NONE | BIKE LANE WIDTH | $5^{\prime}$ |
| PARKING LANE WIDTH | NA | STRIPPING | YES |
| PARKWAY WIDTH | $7^{\prime}$ | STREET TREE SPACING | $40^{\prime}$ O.C. |
| BUS RAPID TRANSPORT | YES |  |  |



NEIGHBORHOOD CENTER COLLECTOR
6. Neighborhood Center Collector
R.O.W. WIDTH

FACE OF CURB TO FACE OF CURB
TRAFFIC LANES
TRAFFIC LANE WIDTH
PARKING LANES
PARKING LANE WIDTH
PARKWAY WIDTH

72'
48'
TWO WAY
10'
BOTH SIDES
$7 \prime$
7

MEDIAN WIDTH
SIDEWALK WIDTH
CURB RADIUS
BIKE LANES
BIKE LANE WIDTH
STRIPPING
STREET TREE SPACING

NA
5'
25'
YES
5'
YES
20' - 40' O.C.


## 7. Neighborhood

R.O.W. WIDTH

FACE OF CURB TO FACE OF CURB TRAFFIC LANES

TRAFFIC LANE WIDTH
PARKING LANES
PARKING LANE WIDTH
PARKWAY WIDTH

62'
38'
TWO WAY
12'
NONE
NA
7

| MEDIAN WIDTH | NA |
| :--- | :--- |
| SIDEWALK WIDTH | $5^{\prime}$ |
| CURB RADIUS | $25^{\prime}$ |
| BIKE LANES | YES |
| BIKE LANE WIDTH | $5^{\prime}$ |
| STRIPPING | YES |
| STREET TREE SPACING | $40^{\prime}$ O.C. |

## EXHIBIT 3

## DETAILED PUBLIC FACILITIES PLAN

## EXHIBIT 3-A TRANSPORATION ANALYSIS

# TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT <br> MID-WEST ESCAMBIA COUNTY SECTOR PLAN ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLORIDA 
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This analysis was undertaken in support of the Mid-West Escambia County Sector Plan proposed by Escambia County. The total area within the DSAP is approximately 15,000 acres, with approximately 8,700 developable acres. The analysis was conducted for the 2011 Existing, 2016 Interim, and 2035 Buildout conditions. The findings are summarized as follows:

- The analysis of existing conditions reveals that some existing facilities are currently operating below the adopted LOS, including segments of US 29, Pine Forest Road, and Nine Mile Road.
- A review of the various short and long range transportation plans for the area shows that various transportation improvements are planned near the DSAP, including capacity expansions to US 29, Interstate 10 and Nine Mile Road.
- The DSAP development program includes more than 23,500 residential units and 12 million square feet of commercial and industrial uses. The total trip generation is estimated to be 371,000 daily trips at buildout. Approximately, $55 \%$ of the total trips generated within the DSAP area are projected to remain within the DSAP area and will not impact the external roadway network.
- The transportation element analysis identified roadway improvements recommended to support projected growth within the DSAP and the wider study area:

| Area | 2016 |  | 2035 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Miles of <br> Road | Lane-Miles <br> of Capacity | Miles of <br> Road | Lane-Miles <br> of Capacity |
|  | 11.3 | 22.5 | 35.3 | 99.6 |
| Outside DSAP | 19.1 | 38.2 | 52.1 | 105.8 |

- The Beeline Corridor was analyzed as a limited access expressway and as a controlled access arterial. The corridor is projected to function adequately as a 4-lane expressway, providing capacity for DSAP traffic and sufficient excess capacity to attract traffic from other saturated corridors. Alternatively, a 6-lane arterial will provide similar capacity and movement of traffic as the expressway. If an arterial is constructed, friction from access and intersections should be controlled to maintain the throughput capacity of the arterial.
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Escambia County Mid-West Sector Plan

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This analysis was undertaken in support of the Mid-West Escambia County Sector Plan proposed by Escambia County. The Detailed Specific Area Plan (DSAP) is based on the Specific Area Plan area adopted by the County for the Mid-West Region. The area contemplated by the DSAP is planned to be the County's growth center with policies targeted to encourage the development of regional employment centers supported by residential and commercial development. The total area within the plan is approximately 15,000 acres, with approximately 8,700 developable acres. The general location and DSAP area is illustrated in Figure 1.1. Figure 1.2 provides an aerial view of the study area depicting existing transportation facilities within the DSAP area.

The Transportation Element documents the transportation needs to adequately support the development plan proposed in the DSAP. The Transportation Element identifies the road infrastructure network that is required to support the development plan at buildout, by testing the projected performance of the internal roadway network and assessing the impact of the plan on the external roadway infrastructure. The analysis establishes existing travel characteristics currently on the transportation roadway network, quantifies the project trip generation characteristics, and evaluates the future travel characteristics incorporating the potential impacts and road capacity needs of the DSAP for the 5-year Interim analysis period (2016) and for the buildout of the plan (2035). Based on the analysis, recommendations are developed for the delivery of transportation infrastructure in association with the development plan.
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### 2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

The existing travel characteristics and traffic conditions were evaluated for the transportation network within the study area. The existing analysis establishes a baseline for analysis and informs the development of the projected conditions analysis and transportation needs requirements.

### 2.1 Study Area

The study area was determined based on the influence area of the proposed development, the existing transportation infrastructure, location of activity centers, and geographical and environmental constraints. Generally, the study area for the analysis can be characterized as a 300 square mile area geographically centered on the proposed DSAP area, bounded by the Florida-Alabama State Line to the west, CR 4 to the north, Santa Rosa County Line to the east and the City of Pensacola to the South. Figure 2.1 illustrates the roadway facilities incorporated within the study area.

### 2.2 Roadway Inventory

An inventory was prepared of existing major roadway facilities within the study area. The roadway inventory includes facility characteristics, such as roadway segmentation, facility type, area type, jurisdiction, number of lanes, level of service (LOS) standard, and capacity. For the purpose of this analysis, all service volumes and capacities were obtained from the FDOT's general capacity tables published in the 2009 Q/LOS Handbook. The adopted LOS standard was obtained from the latest LOS report published by Escambia County, which reflects the standards adopted in the County's comprehensive plan. The roadway inventory is provided in Table 2.1.

### 2.3 Level of Service Standard

Transportation professionals rate the performance of a roadway segment using LOS as the measure. LOS on a roadway segment relates the measured traffic volume to the segment's physical capacity. The LOS scale ranges from LOS A, representing low traffic density, high speed operation, to LOS F, representing high traffic density and volumes exceeding capacity, resulting in forced flow, stop-and-go operation. The LOS scale is expressed by volume thresholds established in the 2009 Quality/Level of Service Handbook published by the FDOT.
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Service levels are described according to the following definitions:

- Level of Service A: Represents free flow conditions, with low demand and high speed operation. Vehicles are able to maneuver freely along the roadway segment with minimal conflicts with other vehicles in the traffic stream.
- Level of Service B: Represents stable traffic flow conditions, with operating speeds and ability to maneuver between traffic lanes beginning to feel restricted by the surrounding traffic stream. Operating speeds remain relatively high.
- Level of Service C: Represents higher demand within stable flow conditions. Speeds and maneuverability are influenced by the surrounding traffic stream. Operating speeds are slightly lower than those achieved at LOS B conditions.
- Level of Service D: Represents traffic flow conditions approaching capacity. Operating speeds and freedom to maneuver become restricted by higher density traffic flow conditions.
- Level of Service E: Represents traffic flow conditions at or near capacity. Operating speeds are lower than those achieved at LOS D, and a slight disruption to traffic flow results in unstable operation.
- Level of Service F: Represents forced flow conditions. Traffic flow levels are lower than the capacity of the road because operating speeds fluctuate between stop and go condition.

Within the study area, most of the roadway segments have an adopted LOS standard of "D" and "E". Interstate 10 and Interstate 110, and all limited access facilities have an adopted LOS standard of " $C$ ". The adopted LOS standard for each segment is listed in Table 2.1.
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Table 2.1
Roadway Inventory

| Roadway | Segment | Area Type | Jurisdiction | State <br> Road | Arterial Class | \# of Lanes | Level of Service Std | Daily Service Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 10 | Alabama SL to Beeline Corridor | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| Interstate 10 | Beeline Corridor to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| Interstate 10 | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| Interstate 10 | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| Interstate 10 | Pensacola Blvd (US 29) to I-110/Davis Hwy | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 6 | C | 90,500 |
| Interstate 10 | I-110/Davis Hwy to US 90 | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| Interstate 110 | I-10 to Airport Blvd | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 10 | C | 151,700 |
| Interstate 110 | Airport Blvd to Fairfield Dr | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 8 | C | 120,100 |
| Interstate 110 | Fairfield Dr to Chase St | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 6 | C | 90,500 |
| US 29 | CR 4 to SR 97 | RU | FDOT | Y | UF | 4 | C | 41,100 |
| US 29 | SR 97 to Molino Rd (CR 182) | T | FDOT | Y | UF | 4 | C | 45,400 |
| US 29 | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | T | FDOT | Y | UF | 4 | C | 45,400 |
| US 29 | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) | T | FDOT | Y | UF | 4 | C | 45,400 |
| US 29 | Quintette Rd (CR 184) to Well Line Rd | T | FDOT | Y | UF | 4 | C | 45,400 |
| US 29 | Well Line Rd to Muscogee Rd | U | FDOT | Y | I | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to Kingsfield Rd | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | I-10 to W St | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | W St to Massachusetts/Pace Blvd | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| Molino Rd (CR 182) | CR 99 to US 29 | RU | EC | N | UF | 2 | D | 13,800 |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | CR 97 to Schifko Rd | RU | EC | N | UF | 2 | D | 13,800 |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | Schifko Rd to US 29 | RU | EC | N | UF | 2 | D | 13,800 |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | US 29 to CR 95A | RU | EC | N | UF | 2 | D | 13,800 |
| CR 297A | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to CR 97 | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| CR 297A | CR 97 to Kingsfield Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| CR 297A | Kingsfield Rd to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | CR 297A to Kingsfield Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Kingsfield Rd to Muscogee Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Muscogee Rd to Power Blvd Ext. | T | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 13,680 |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Power Blvd Ext. to River Annex Rd | T | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 13,680 |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | River Annex Rd to Quintette Rd Ext. | T | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 13,680 |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Quintette Rd. Ext. to Schifko Rd | T | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 13,680 |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Schifko Rd to Barrineau Park Rd (CR196) | T | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 13,680 |
| CR 97/CR196 | Barrineau Park Rd (CR196) to CR 99 | T | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 13,680 |
| SR 97 | US 29 TO CR 99 | RU | FDOT | Y | UF | 2 | D | 13,800 |
| CR 99 | CR 97 to CR 182 | RU | FDOT | Y | UF | 2 | D | 13,800 |
| CR 99 | CR 182 to CR 97A | U | EC | N | UF | 2 | D | 22,200 |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to Beeline Corridor | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Beeline Corridor to N-S Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | US 29 to CR 95A | U | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 14,850 |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | CR 95A to County Line | U | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 14,850 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Alabama St. Line to River Annex Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | River Annex Rd to Beeline Corridor | T | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 13,680 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Beeline Corridor to Jack's Branch Rd | T | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 13,680 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd (N) to N-S Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (S) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd (S) to CR 297A | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | CR 297A to US 29 | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Pine Forest Rd | Roberts Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | T | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 13,680 |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | U | EC | N | I | 3 | D | 17,325 |
| Pine Forest Rd | I-10 to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | U | EC | N | I | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | Beulah (CR 99) to N-S Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | Beulah (CR 99) to N-S Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Kingsfield Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 297A | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Kingsfield Rd | CR 297A to US 29 | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Kingsfield Rd | US 29 to SR 292/Chemstrand Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| River Annex Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingsfield Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to I-10 | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
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Table 2.1 (Cont'd) Roadway Inventory

| Roadway | Segment | Area Type | Jurisdiction | State <br> Road | Arterial Class | \# of <br> Lanes | Level of Service Std | Daily Service Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | I-10 to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Nine Mile Rd to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 14,850 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Mobile Hwy (90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 2 | D | 16,500 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to I-10 | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 2 | D | 16,500 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | I-10 to Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 2 | D | 16,500 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 2 | D | 16,500 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | University Pkwy to Davis Hwy | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Alabama St. Line to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | FDOT | Y | UF | 2 | D | 22,200 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 2 | D | 16,500 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 2 | D | 16,500 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Klondike Rd to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 2 | D | 16,500 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Michigan Ave (SR 290) | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Michigan Ave (SR 290) to Edison Dr | U | FDOT | Y | I | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Edison Dr to Fairfield Dr | U | FDOT | Y | 11 | 6 | D | 50,300 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | U | FDOT | Y | II | 4 | D | 33,200 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 2 | D | 16,500 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Saufley Field Rd | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 2 | D | 16,500 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 2 | D | 16,500 |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 14,850 |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 | U | FDOT | Y | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Old Chemstrand Rd to Kingsfield Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Old Chemstrad Rd (CR 297) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | US 29 (Molino) to Molino Rd (CR 182) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Quintette Rd to US 29 (Cantonment) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | US 29 (Cantonment) to Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) to Kingsfield Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | I-10 to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Ten Mile Rd | Stefani Rd to US 29 | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Ten Mile Rd | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to N-S Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Santa Rosa Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Well Line Rd | Santa Rosa Rd to US 29 | U | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 14,850 |
| Santa Rosa Rd | Muscogee Rd to Well Line Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | D | 14,850 |
| Beeline Corridor | US 29 to N-S Rd | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| Beeline Corridor | N-S Rd to Quintette Rd Ext. | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| Beeline Corridor | Quintette Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| Beeline Corridor | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| Beeline Corridor | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingsfield Rd Ext. | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| Beeline Corridor | Kingsfield Rd Ext. to I-10 | U | FDOT | Y | Fwy | 4 | C | 59,800 |
| N-S Rd | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Mathison Rd Ext. | U | EC | N | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| N-S Rd | Mathison Rd Ext. to Quintette Rd Ext. | U | EC | N | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| N-S Rd | Quintette Rd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | U | EC | N | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| N-S Rd | Well Line Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd | U | EC | N | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| N-S Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Kingsfield Rd | U | EC | N | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| N-S Rd | Kingsfield Rd to Jack's Branch Rd/Divine Farm | U | EC | N | 1 | 4 | D | 36,700 |
| Success Rd Ext. | Power Blvd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | U | EC | N | L | 4 | E | 33,030 |
| Power Blvd Ext. | US 29 to N-S Rd | U | EC | N | L | 4 | E | 33,030 |
| Schifko Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 196 | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | Schifko Rd to N-S Rd | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | U | EC | N | L | 2 | E | 14,850 |
| Area Type | Arterial Class |  | Jurisdiction |  |  |  | Source |  |
| U = Urban | I = State Arterial with less than 2.0 intersections per mile |  | EC = Escambia County |  |  |  | FDOT Q/LOS Handbook |  |
| $\mathrm{T}=$ Transitioning | II = State Arterial with 2.0 to 4.5 intersections per mile |  | FDOT = State of Florida DOT |  |  |  | Escambia County LOS Report |  |
| RU = Rural Undeveloped | UF = Uninterrupted Flow Highway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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### 2.4 Existing Conditions

The analysis of existing traffic conditions was conducted using existing traffic volumes published by Escambia County and the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). The majority of the traffic volume counts were obtained in the year 2010. However, some traffic counts date back to 2007. The traffic volume counts are provided in Appendix A. Based on a review of historical growth rates for major facilities in the area, it was found that generally, traffic volumes within the study area have been decreasing or have not grown. The growth trends analysis sheets are provided in Appendix B. Therefore, it was determined that the use of available published traffic counts for the 2011 baseline is acceptable and reflective of existing travel conditions on the roadway network.

The analysis compares the daily traffic volume on each roadway segment to the segment's capacity at the adopted LOS standard to determine whether, currently, the facility operates at an acceptable LOS. Table 2.2 summarizes the capacity analysis for the existing conditions.
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Table 2.2
Existing Roadway Conditions

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \hline A \\ & \mathrm{I} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { of } \\ \text { Lns } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{array}$ | Capacity | Count Year | Existing Daily Volume Source | 2011 Daily Volume | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Existing } \\ \text { v/c } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Existing } \\ \text { Los } \end{gathered}$ | Meets Std? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 10 | Alabama SL to Beeline Corridor | U | 4 | C | 59,800 | 2007 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 23,019 | 0.38 | B | Y |
| Interstate 10 | Beeline Corridor to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | 4 | c | 59,800 | 2007 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 23,019 | 0.38 | B | Y |
| Interstate 10 | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | U | 4 | c | 59,800 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 34,265 | 0.57 | B | Y |
| Interstate 10 | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | U | 4 | c | 59,800 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 46,235 | 0.77 | C | Y |
| Interstate 10 | Pensacola Blvd (US 29) to l-110/Davis Hwy | U | 6 | c | 90,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 64,500 | 0.71 | B | Y |
| Interstate 10 | 1-110/Davis Hwy to US 90 | U | 4 | c | 59,800 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 45,000 | 0.75 | C | Y |
| Interstate 110 | 1-10 to Airport Blvd | U | 10 | C | 151,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 62,000 | 0.41 | B | Y |
| Interstate 110 | Airport Blvd to Fairfield Dr | U | 8 | c | 120,100 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 55,539 | 0.46 | B | Y |
| Interstate 110 | Fairfield Dr to Chase St | U | 6 | c | 90,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 48,500 | 0.54 | B | Y |
| US 29 | CR 4 to SR 97 | RU | 4 | c | 41,100 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 6,911 | 0.17 | B | Y |
| US 29 | SR 97 to Molino Rd (CR 182) | T | 4 | C | 45,400 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 13,700 | 0.30 | B | Y |
| US 29 | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | T | 4 | C | 45,400 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 13,700 | 0.30 | B | Y |
| US 29 | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) | T | 4 | c | 45,400 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 13,700 | 0.30 | B | Y |
| US 29 | Quintette Rd (CR 184) to Well Line Rd | T | 4 | C | 45,400 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 13,700 | 0.30 | B | Y |
| US 29 | Well Line Rd to Muscogee Rd | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 21,500 | 0.59 | B | Y |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to Kingsfield Rd | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 30,500 | 0.83 | C | Y |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 31,535 | 0.86 | C | Y |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 40,000 | 1.09 | F | N |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | 1-10 to W St | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 40,000 | 1.09 | F | N |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | W St to Massachusetts/Pace Blvd | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 26,500 | 0.72 | B | Y |
| Molino Rd (CR 182) | CR 99 to US 29 | RU | 2 | D | 13,800 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 1,700 | 0.12 | B | Y |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | CR 97 to Schifko Rd | RU | 2 | D | 13,800 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 1,400 | 0.10 | B | Y |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | Schifko Rd to US 29 | RU | 2 | D | 13,800 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 1,400 | 0.10 | B | Y |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | US 29 to CR 95A | RU | 2 | D | 13,800 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 1,400 | 0.10 | B | Y |
| CR 297A | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to CR 97 | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 10,500 | 0.71 | C | Y |
| CR 297A | CR 97 to Kingsfield Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 3,500 | 0.24 | B | Y |
| CR 297A | Kingsfield Rd to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 3,500 | 0.24 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | CR 297A to Kingsfield Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 2,400 | 0.16 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Kingsfield Rd to Muscogee Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 2,400 | 0.16 | B | $Y$ |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Muscogee Rd to Power Blvd Ext. | T | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2007 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 2,450 | 0.18 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Power Blvd Ext. to River Annex Rd | T | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2007 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 2,450 | 0.18 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | River Annex Rd to Quintette Rd Ext. | T | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2007 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 2,450 | 0.18 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Quintette Rd. Ext. to Schifko Rd | T | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2007 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 2,450 | 0.18 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Schifko Rd to Barrineau Park Rd (CR196) | T | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2007 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 2,450 | 0.18 | B | Y |
| CR 97/CR196 | Barrineau Park Rd (CR196) to CR 99 | T | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 950 | 0.07 | B | Y |
| SR 97 | US 29 TO CR 99 | RU | 2 | D | 13,800 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 5,600 | 0.41 | C | Y |
| CR 99 | CR 97 to CR 182 | RU | 2 | D | 13,800 | 2005 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 921 | 0.07 | B | Y |
| CR 99 | CR 182 to CR 97A | U | 2 | D | 22,200 | 2005 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 921 | 0.04 | B | Y |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | US 29 to CR 95A | U | 2 | D | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 4,000 | 0.27 | B | Y |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | CR 95A to County Line | U | 2 | D | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 4,000 | 0.27 | B | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Alabama St. Line to River Annex Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2005 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 2,803 | 0.19 | B | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | River Annex Rd to Beeline Corridor | T | 2 | E | 13,680 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 3,100 | 0.23 | B | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Beeline Corridor to Jack's Branch Rd | T | 2 | E | 13,680 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 3,100 | 0.23 | B | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd (N) to N-S Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 6,200 | 0.42 | B | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (S) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 6,200 | 0.42 | B | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd (S) to CR 297A | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 6,200 | 0.42 | B | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | CR 297A to US 29 | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 9,900 | 0.67 | C | Y |
| Pine Forest Rd | Roberts Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | T | 2 | E | 13,680 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 11,500 | 0.84 | C | Y |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | U | 3 | D | 17,325 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 23,500 | 1.36 | F | N |
| Pine Forest Rd | 1-10 to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 29,000 | 0.79 | B | Y |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | Beulah (CR 99) to N -S Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2005 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 1,063 | 0.07 | B | Y |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2005 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 1,063 | 0.07 | B | Y |
| Kingssield Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 297A | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 1,800 | 0.12 | B | Y |
| Kingssield Rd | CR 297A to US 29 | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 1,800 | 0.12 | B | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd | US 29 to SR 292/Chemstrand Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2007 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 5,300 | 0.36 | B | Y |
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Table 2.2 (Cont'd)

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~T} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { Lns } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS } \\ & \text { Std } \end{aligned}$ | Capacity | Count Year | Existing Daily Volume Source | 2011 Daily Volume | Existing VIC | Existing Los | Meets Std? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\text { Beulah Rd ( } \mathrm{CR} 99)}$ | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingsfield Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2009 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 3,5 | 0.24 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to l-10 | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2009 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 3,569 | 0.2 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | I-10 to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 3,600 | 0.24 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Nine Mile Rd to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | U | 2 | D | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 3,60 | 0.2 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Mobile Hwy (90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | U | 2 | D | 16,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 4,200 | 0.25 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to I-10 | U | 2 | D | 16,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 4,200 | 0.25 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | $1-10$ to Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) | U | 2 | D | 16,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 11,200 | 0.68 | C | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | U | 2 | D | 16,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 26,000 | 1.58 | F | N |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 34,500 | 0.94 | C | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 35,500 | 0.97 | D | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | University Pkwy to Davis Hwy | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 13,10 | 0.36 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Alabama St. Line to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | 2 | D | 22,200 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 4,774 | 0.22 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | U | 2 | D | 16,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 1,250 | 0.08 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd | U | 2 | D | 16,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 9,800 | 0.59 | C | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Klondike Rd to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | U | 2 | D | 16,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 9,100 | 0.55 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Michigan Ave (SR 290) | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 23,500 | 0.64 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Michigan Ave (SR 290) to Edison Dr | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 32,000 | 0.87 | C | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Edison Dr to Fairfield Dr | U | 6 | D | 50,300 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 36,000 | 0.72 | C | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | U | 4 | D | 33,200 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 21,500 | 0.65 | c | Y |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | U | 2 | D | 16,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 14,200 | 0.86 | c | Y |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Saufley Field Rd | U | 2 | D | 16,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 16,700 | 1.01 | F | N |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | U | 2 | D | 16,500 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 18,700 | 1.13 | F | N |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | U | 2 | D | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 19,500 | 1.31 | F | N |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 | U | 4 | D | 36,700 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 27,500 | 0.75 | B | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Old Chemstrand Rd to Kingsfield Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 2,500 | 0.17 | B | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 13,000 | 0.88 | C | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 13,000 | 0.88 | C | Y |
| Old Chemstrad Rd (CR 297) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 8,500 | 0.57 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | US 29 (Molino) to Molino Rd (CR 182) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 1,950 | 0.13 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 1,950 | 0.13 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 8,700 | 0.59 | C | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Quintette Rd to US 29 (Cantonment) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 8,700 | 0.59 | C | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | US 29 (Cantonment) to Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 2,000 | 0.13 | B | Y |
| Palatox St (CR 95A) | Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) to Kingsfield Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 8,700 | 0.59 | C | Y |
| Palatox St (CR 95A) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 8,700 | 0.59 | C | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 9,100 | 0.61 | c | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 11,500 | 0.77 | C | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | $1-10$ to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2010 | FDOT 2010 AADT Report | 14,300 | 0.96 | D | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | Stefani Rd to US 29 | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2007 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 3,600 | 0.24 | B | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | U | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2009 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 8,600 | 0.58 | B | Y |
| Well Line Rd | Santa Rosa Rd to US 29 | U | 2 | D | 14,850 | 2009 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 8,609 | 0.58 | B | Y |
| Santa Rosa Rd | Muscogee Rd to Well Line Rd | U | 2 | D | 14,850 | 2009 | Escambia Co. LOS Report | 8,609 | 0.58 | B | Y |

The existing conditions analysis reveals that currently the roadway segments listed in Table 2.3 operate at a deficient LOS:

Table 2.3
Existing Roadway Deficiencies

| Roadway |  | \# of <br> Lns | LOS <br> Std | Existing <br> LOS | Existing <br> VIC |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 4 | D | F | 1.09 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | $\mathrm{I}-10$ to W St | 4 | D | F | 1.09 |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 3 | D | F | 1.36 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | 2 | D | F | 1.58 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Saufley Field Rd | 2 | D | F | 1.01 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | 2 | D | F | 1.13 |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | F | 1.31 |

The existing deficiencies are further illustrated in Figure 2.2.
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### 3.0 PROGRAMMED AND PLANNED IMRPOVEMENTS

The Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization's (FATPO) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), Escambia County's Capital Improvement Element (CIE), the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), and the FDOT's Work Program were reviewed to identify any planned or programmed improvements to the existing transportation facilities in this area. Additionally, roadway improvements incorporated into the adopted 2035 Cost Feasible network of the Northwest Florida Regional Planning Model, were listed as planned improvements.

### 3.1 Programmed Improvements

Programmed improvements are those capital roadway improvements that are funded for construction within the first 3 years of the agency's TIP or the FDOT Work Program. No improvements are programmed in the study area within the next 3 years. However, the section of Interstate 10 from SR 291/Davis Highway to SR 10A/US 90 is funded for construction in year 5 of the TIP. Additionally, the County has set aside $\$ 6$ million to potentially fund a roadway improvement within the DSAP area.

### 3.2 Planned Improvements

Planned improvements are all capital roadway improvements planned within the study's horizon period of 2035. The planned improvements identified within the study area are listed in Table 3.1 and are illustrated in Figure 3.1. Supporting documentation and information is provided in Appendix C.

Table 3.1
Planned Roadway Improvements

| Project Name | Limits | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FATPO Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) - FY 2010/11-2014/15 |  |  |
| Interstate 10 | SR 291/Davis Hwy to SR 10A/US 90 | Widen to 6 Lanes |
| FATPO 2035 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) / Blueprint 2035 |  |  |
| US 29 | Interstate 10 to 9 1/2 Mile Rd | Widen to 6 Lanes |
| Interstate 10 | Escambia Bay Bridge to Avalon Blvd | Widen to 6 Lanes |
| Burgess Road (SR 742) | US 29 (SR 95) to Interstate 110 Overpass | Realign / Widen to 4 Lanes |
| Nine Mile Road (US 90A) | SR 297 (Pine Forest Rd) to US 29 (SR 95) | Widen to 4 Lanes |
| Nine Mile Road (US 90A) | Interstate 10 to SR 297 (Pine Forest Rd) | Widen to 4 Lanes |
| Pinestead-Longleaf Connector | SR 297 (Pine Forest Rd) to US 29 (SR 95) | Widen to 4 Lanes |
| Gulf Beach Highway (SR 292) | SR 172 (Blue Angel Pkwy) to Fairfiled Dr (SR 727) | Widen to 4 Lanes |
| Gulf Beach Highway (SR 292) | Fairfield Drive (SR 727) to Navy Blvd (SR 295) | Widen to 4 Lanes |
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### 4.0 DEVELOPMENT TRIP ANALYSIS

The densities, land uses, and development allocations within the DSAP area were translated into a proposed development program for use in the transportation analysis. The development program is based on planning estimates provided by the project team for the DSAP analysis.

### 4.1 Development Program

The development program for the approximately 8,700 developable acres of the DSAP study area includes a large mixture of land uses including regional employment, commercial retail and office, as well as a significant number of residential areas. The development program assumed for this analysis is based on reasonable density assumptions. Table 4.1 summarizes the total development program.

Table 4.1
Build-out Development Program

| LAND USE | SIZE | UNITS |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENTIAL | $\mathbf{2 3 , 5 2 0}$ | DU |
| Detached Single Family | 18,816 | DU |
| Multi-Family / Apartments | 4,704 | DU |
| NON-RESIDENTIAL | $\mathbf{1 2 , 1 6 0 , 0 0 0}$ | SF |
| Commercial / Retail | $1,660,000$ | SF |
| Employment / Office | $3,875,000$ | SF |
| Employment / Industrial | $6,625,000$ | SF |

A more detailed development program showing land uses by pod is included in Appendix $\mathbf{D}$.

Naturally, the DSAP area is expected to develop over time. For the purpose of the near term analysis, a 5-year development program was estimated as listed in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2
5-Year Development Program

| LAND USE | SIZE | UNITS |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| RESIDENTIAL | $\mathbf{3 , 0 3 0}$ | DU |
| Detached Single Family | 2,424 | DU |
| Multi-Family / Apartments | 606 | DU |
| Commercial / Retail | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | SF |
| Employment / Office | 1200,000 | SF |
| Employment / Industrial | 375,000 | SF |
|  |  |  |

### 4.2 ITE Trip Generation Calculation

The overall trip generation of the proposed development program was estimated using the rates and equations published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) in the latest Trip Generation Report, $8^{\text {th }}$ Edition. The ITE trip generation calculation was used to determine the total projected trip generation of the DSAP area for comparison with the results obtained from the transportation model used for this analysis. The ITE trip generation analysis is summarized in Table 4.3 for the 5-year development program and in Table 4.4 for the buildout program.

It is evident that the DSAP area is estimated to generate 46,941 daily and 4,671 peak hour trips by the year 2016 and a total of 371,471 daily trips and 40,312 peak hour trips at buildout in the year 2035.

Escambia County
Mid-West Sector Plan
Project № 4249
Page 17

Table 4.3
Trip Generation-5-Year Development

| Lande Use | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ITE } \\ & \text { LUC } \end{aligned}$ | Size | Units | Daily |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Formula ${ }^{(1)}$ | Total | Formula ${ }^{(1)}$ | Entering | Exiting | Total |
| Single Family Residential Homes | 210 | 2,424 | DU | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.92 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+2.71$ | 19,530 | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.90 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.51$ | 1,167 | 685 | 1,852 |
| Multi-Family Residential Apartments | 220 | 606 | DU | $\mathrm{T}=6.06(\mathrm{X})+123.56$ | 3,796 | $\mathrm{T}=0.55(\mathrm{X})+17.65$ | 228 | 123 | 351 |
| Commercial/Retail | 820 | 500 | KSF | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.65 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+5.83$ | 19,332 | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.67 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+3.37$ | 916 | 954 | 1,870 |
| General Office Space | 710 | 125 | KSF | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.77 \mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+3.65$ | 1,584 | $\mathrm{T}=1.12(\mathrm{X})+78.81$ | 37 | 182 | 219 |
| Light Industrial | 110 | 375 | KSF | $\mathrm{T}=7.47(\mathrm{X})-101.92$ | 2,699 | $\mathrm{T}=1.43(\mathrm{X})-157.36$ | 45 | 334 | 379 |
| Total Trip Generation |  |  |  |  | 46,941 |  | 2,393 | 2,278 | 4,671 |

(1) Trip Generation Formulas/Rates were obtained from the ITE Trip Generation, 8th Edition.

Table 4.4
Trip Generation - Buildout Development

| Lande Use | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ITE } \\ & \text { LUC } \end{aligned}$ | Size | Units | Daily |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ | Total | Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ | Entering | Exiting | Total |
| Single Family Residential Homes | 210 | 18,816 | DU | T = 9.57(X) | 180,069 | T = 1.01( X ) | 11,973 | 7,031 | 19,004 |
| Multi-Family Residential Apartments | 220 | 4,704 | DU | $\mathrm{T}=6.65(\mathrm{X})$ | 31,282 | $\mathrm{T}=0.62(\mathrm{X})$ | 1,895 | 1,021 | 2,916 |
| Commercial/Retail | 820 | 1,660 | KSF | $\mathrm{T}=42.94$ ( X$)$ | 71,280 | $\mathrm{T}=3.73$ (X) | 3,034 | 3,158 | 6,192 |
| General Office Space | 710 | 3,875 | KSF | $\mathrm{T}=11.01(\mathrm{X})$ | 42,664 | $\mathrm{T}=1.49$ ( X$)$ | 982 | 4,792 | 5,774 |
| Light Industrial | 110 | 6,625 | KSF | $\mathrm{T}=6.97(\mathrm{X})$ | 46,176 | $\mathrm{T}=0.97(\mathrm{X})$ | 771 | 5,655 | 6,426 |
| Total Trip Generation |  |  |  |  | 371,471 |  | 18,655 | 21,657 | 40,312 |

## Notes:

(1) Trip Generation Formulas/Rates were obtained from the ITE Trip Generation, 8th Edition.

### 5.0 TRANSPORTATION MODEL

The latest adopted 2035 Northwest Florida Regional Planning Model (NWFRPM) was employed as the starting base model for this analysis. The adopted model reflects the long range transportation and land use assumptions as approved by the Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization (FATPO) and the West Florida Regional Planning Council (WFRPC). In order to evaluate the transportation needs and impacts associated with the DSAP, transportation models reflecting the 2016 and 2035 future conditions were developed as described below.

### 5.1 NWFRPM - 2016 Existing plus Committed (E+C) Model

In order to conduct a 5-year development scenario, a 2016 model was developed for the NWFRPM. The 2016 model was developed by assuming the Existing plus Committed ( $\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{C}$ ) network in place and interpolating socio-economic data between the adopted 2015 and 2035 socio-economic data sets. As such, the Base 2016 E+C scenario has essentially the same model network as the adopted 2015 E+C model but incorporates the interpolated socioeconomic data for the year 2016. This model was used to analyze the 5 -year development scenario as described hereafter.

### 5.2 Traffic Analysis Zone Modifications

The NWFRPM currently includes 1,850 Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZ) used to express the existing and projected development densities model-wide, of which some 400 are dummy zones. The DSAP boundary area is currently represented in the model by a total of 4 TAZs. To incorporate the proposed DSAP development program with sufficient detail, the existing TAZ structure within the DSAP boundary was revised to include a total of 47 TAZs. Dummy zones number 350 through 396 were utilized for this purpose. Figure 5.1 illustrates the modified TAZ boundaries for the DSAP. The modified TAZ structure was used for both the 2016 and 2035 analyses.
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Figure 5.1

### 5.3 Socio-Economic Data Modifications

The new TAZs inserted into the study area were populated with the corresponding development information to reflect the proposed development program. The conversion of development information to Socio-Economic data was completed using the variables presented below:

- Commercial/Retail 2.5 employees per 1,000 SF
- Office 4.0 employees per 1,000 SF
- Industrial 2.0 employees per $1,000 \mathrm{SF}$


### 5.4 Roadway Network Modifications

The roadway network was modified to include existing and proposed roadways within the DSAP boundary. The modifications to the $2016 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{C}$ Network are illustrated in Figure 5.2. Modifications to the 2035 buildout model are illustrated in Figure 5.3.

### 5.5 Model Trip Generation Calculation

The socio-economic data previously discussed was used by the NWFRPM to generate TAZ traffic and distribute it throughout the model for the 2016 and build-out scenarios. The trip generation of the development was determined in each scenario and compared to the ITE calculation.

In the 2016 model, the 5 -year development program and the conversion factors presented in Section 5.3 were used to load socio-economic data into the following the TAZs: 350-355, 357358, 365-368 and 388-389. These TAZs represent the areas of the DSAP that are anticipated for initial development. Once the model was complete, the loaded network file (HWYLOAD_F16.NET) was utilized to calculate the sum of the centroid connector volumes for all of the development TAZs. The assignment matrix (VEHTRIPS_F16.NET) was also utilized to determine Intrazonal Trips within the individual TAZs of the development. These volumes were added to obtain the total trip generation of the development. The matrices are included in Appendix E.

Using the socio-economic data as previously described, the first iteration of the 2016 model produced a trip generation significantly lower than the ITE calculation. This can be a common occurrence in FSUTMS models as FSUTMS trip generation modules are based on socioeconomic inputs and are not consistent with ITE methodology. The 2016 socio-economic data
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was factored up and rerun in order to obtain a model trip generation within $10 \%$ variance of the ITE trip generation. In the model's $3^{\text {rd }}$ iteration, the socio-economic data was factored by 1.50 to obtain a trip generation of 44,285 daily trips, which is within $6 \%$ variance of the ITE trip generation calculation of 46,941 daily trips.

The loaded network file was also used to determine the development's external trips by drawing a cordon line around the development. The external trips were calculated to be 31,008 daily trips, which yields an internal capture rate of $30 \%$ for the 5-year development program.

Similarly, the trip generation characteristics were calculated for the 2035 build-out scenarios. Socio-economic data, based on the build-out development program and conversion factors presented in Section 5.3, was loaded into the development TAZs (No. 350-396). Model trip generation volumes were calculated as 336,817 daily trips. This trip generation is within $10 \%$ variance of the ITE trip generation calculation of 371,471 daily trips. Therefore, no adjustment to the socio-economic data was necessary for the 2035 model. The external trips for 2035 were calculated to be 152,158 , which yields an internal capture rate of $55 \%$ for the build-out development program.

Plots of the final model runs illustrating the background and DSAP traffic volumes for the year 2016 and 2035 are included in Appendix F.
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### 6.0 INTERIM YEAR 2016 PROJECTED CONDITIONS

Projected roadway conditions were assessed to evaluate the capacity demand and impact of the proposed development within the DSAP in 2016. The 2016 NWFRPM described in Chapter 5 of the report was applied for this analysis. The analysis considers conditions for background traffic resulting from existing traffic and regional growth exterior to the DSAP area, as well as conditions with the traffic from the DSAP development applied to the roadway network.

### 6.1 Roadway Conditions - Background Traffic

The background traffic volumes on the transportation network were extracted from the NWFRPM model for each roadway segment within the analysis area. The projected background volumes were converted from Peak Season Weekly Average Daily Trip (PSWADT) using the Model Output Conversion Factor (MOCF) of 0.98 obtained from the FDOT's Florida Traffic Information DVD.

The 2016 background roadway volumes were compared to each segments service volumes and capacity to obtain a projected operating LOS for the segments. The analysis is summarized in Table 6.1. The results of the analysis reveal that in the year 2016 several roadway segments are projected to operate beyond their adopted LOS standard based on background volume projections. The deficient roadway segments are listed in Table 6.2.

Escambia County Mid-West Sector Plan
Project № 4249
Page 25

2016 Roadway Conditions - Background Traffic


Table 6.1 (Cont'd)
2016 Roadway Conditions - Background Traffic

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{array}$ | Capacity | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Background } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | 2016 V/C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meets } \\ & \text { Std? } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 182 | 0.01 | B | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 297A | 2 | E | 14,850 | 669 | 0.05 | B | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd | CR 297A to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,524 | 0.17 | B | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd | US 29 to SR 292/Chemstrand Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 4,425 | 0.30 | B | Y |
| River Annex Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,812 | 0.19 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to l-10 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,939 | 0.20 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | $1-10$ to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 10,021 | 0.67 | C | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Nine Mile Rd to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 14,850 | 3,513 | 0.24 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Mobile Hwy (90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 4,796 | 0.29 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to l-10 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 15,343 | 0.93 | C | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | $1-10$ to Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 14,756 | 0.89 | C | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 30,778 | 1.87 | F | N |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 31,716 | 0.86 | C | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | 36,700 | 37,702 | 1.03 | F | N |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | University Pkwy to Davis Hwy | 4 | D | 36,700 | 12,801 | 0.35 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Alabama St. Line to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | D | 22,200 | 5,805 | 0.26 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 1,157 | 0.07 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 4,328 | 0.26 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Klondike Rd to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 9,012 | 0.55 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Michigan Ave (SR 290) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 35,586 | 0.97 | D | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Michigan Ave (SR 290) to Edison Dr | 4 | D | 36,700 | 28,670 | 0.78 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Edison Dr to Fairifield Dr | 6 | D | 50,300 | 31,969 | 0.64 | c | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | 4 | D | 33,200 | 31,043 | 0.94 | D | Y |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 14,813 | 0.90 | C | Y |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Saufley Field Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 12,596 | 0.76 | C | Y |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 15,880 | 0.96 | D | Y |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 14,850 | 16,803 | 1.13 | F | N |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 | 4 | D | 36,700 | 30,467 | 0.83 | c | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Old Chemstrand Rd to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,379 | 0.36 | B | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 6,907 | 0.47 | B | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 12,659 | 0.85 | C | Y |
| Old Chemstrad Rd (CR 297) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,211 | 0.49 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | US 29 (Molino) to Molino Rd (CR 182) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,454 | 0.10 | B | Y |
| Palatox Hwy (CR 95A) | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 4,075 | 0.27 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 3,953 | 0.27 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Quintette Rd to US 29 (Cantonment) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 8,788 | 0.59 | C | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | US 29 (Cantonment) to Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 4,463 | 0.30 | B | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,499 | 0.17 | B | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,321 | 0.16 | B | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 10,504 | 0.71 | C | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 16,414 | 1.11 | F | N |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | 1-10 to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 10,687 | 0.72 | C | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | Stefani Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,436 | 0.10 | B | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,050 | 0.47 | B | Y |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Santa Rosa Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Well Line Rd | Santa Rosa Rd to US 29 | 2 | D | 14,850 | 315 | 0.02 | B | Y |
| Santa Rosa Rd | Muscogee Rd to Well Line Rd | 2 | D | 14,850 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Quintette Rd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 2 | D | 16,500 | 319 | 0.02 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Well Line Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 319 | 0.02 | B | Y |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{SRd}$ | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Kingsfield Rd to Jack's Branch Rd/Divine Farm | 2 | D | 16,500 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Schifko Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 196 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 861 | 0.06 | B | Y |

Table 6.2
2016 Roadway Deficiencies - Background Traffic

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { V/C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 10 | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 4 | C | D | 1.01 |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | F | 1.00 |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | D | F | 1.17 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 4 | D | F | 1.09 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | I-10 to W St | 4 | D | F | 1.09 |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 3 | D | F | 1.58 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | 2 | D | F | 1.87 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | F | 1.03 |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | F | 1.13 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 2 | E | F | 1.11 |
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### 6.2 Roadway Conditions - Total Traffic

The roadway network was tested with the addition of traffic volumes generated by development within the DSAP boundary. The analysis detailed in Table 6.3 indicates that several roadway segments will be deficient, in addition to the segments determined to be deficient under background traffic volumes. Table 6.4 summarizes the deficient roadway segments under the proposed conditions in the year 2016. Figure 6.1 illustrates the deficient roadway segments under background and total traffic volumes for the year 2016.

### 6.32016 Roadway Capacity Needs

Based on the analysis of conditions in the year 2016, several roadways will require additional capacity to support the projected background growth of traffic as well as traffic generated by the DSAP development. Capacity needs were anticipated for deficient roadways with V/C ratios greater than 1.05.

The analysis reveals that the 2016 development program requires approximately 20 lane-miles of new or upgraded capacity to support the development program and to interconnect the DSAP development areas. The needed facilities are listed in Table 6.5 with the corresponding improvement, and recommended number of lanes.

Based on existing and projected conditions, various off-site facilities require capacity improvement in the year 2016. These improvements are required to support background growth as well as the additional traffic generated by the DSAP development. Table 6.6 summarizes the needed improvements with the DSAP's proportional demand of the recommended capacity improvement.
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2016 Roadway Conditions - Total Traffic


Table 6.3 (Cont'd)
2016 Roadway Conditions - Total Traffic

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{array}$ | Capacity | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Background } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { DSAP } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | 2016 Total Volume | 2016 V/C | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | Meets Std? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 182 | 2,297 | 2,479 | 0.17 | B | Y |
| Kingssield Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 297A | 2 | E | 14,850 | 669 | 989 | 1,658 | 0.11 | B | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd | CR 297A to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,524 | 952 | 3,476 | 0.23 | B | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd | US 29 to SR 292/Chemstrand Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 4,425 | 293 | 4,718 | 0.32 | B | Y |
| River Annex Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,812 | 1,969 | 4,781 | 0.32 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to I-10 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,939 | 3,034 | 5,973 | 0.40 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | $1-10$ to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 10,021 | 2,228 | 12,249 | 0.82 | C | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Nine Mile Rd to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 14,850 | 3,513 | 1,699 | 5,212 | 0.35 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Mobile Hwy (90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 4,796 | 89 | 4,885 | 0.30 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to l-10 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 15,343 | 287 | 15,630 | 0.95 | D | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | $1-10$ to Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 14,756 | 278 | 15,034 | 0.91 | C | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 30,778 | 769 | 31,547 | 1.91 | F | N |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 31,716 | 2,401 | 34,117 | 0.93 | c | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | 36,700 | 37,702 | 1,937 | 39,639 | 1.08 | F | N |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | University Pkwy to Davis Hwy | 4 | D | 36,700 | 12,801 | 175 | 12,976 | 0.35 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Alabama St. Line to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | D | 22,200 | 5,805 | 89 | 5,894 | 0.27 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 1,157 | 0 | 1,157 | 0.07 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 4,328 | 1,645 | 5,973 | 0.36 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Klondike Rd to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 9,012 | 589 | 9,601 | 0.58 | C | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Michigan Ave (SR 290) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 35,586 | 745 | 36,331 | 0.99 | D | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Michigan Ave (SR 290) to Edison Dr | 4 | D | 36,700 | 28,670 | 647 | 29,317 | 0.80 | C | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Edison Dr to Fairfield Dr | 6 | D | 50,300 | 31,969 | 548 | 32,517 | 0.65 | C | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90 ) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | 4 | D | 33,200 | 31,043 | 51 | 31,094 | 0.94 | D | Y |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 14,813 | 167 | 14,980 | 0.91 | c | Y |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Saufley Field Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 12,596 | 750 | 13,346 | 0.81 | C | Y |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 15,880 | 368 | 16,248 | 0.98 | D | Y |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 14,850 | 16,803 | 15 | 16,818 | 1.13 | F | N |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 | 4 | D | 36,700 | 30,467 | 27 | 30,494 | 0.83 | C | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Old Chemstrand Rd to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,379 | 27 | 5,406 | 0.36 | B | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 6,907 | 171 | 7,078 | 0.48 | B | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 12,659 | 0 | 12,659 | 0.85 | C | Y |
| Old Chemstrad Rd (CR 297) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,211 | 722 | 7,933 | 0.53 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | US 29 (Molino) to Molino Rd (CR 182) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,454 | 0 | 1,454 | 0.10 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 4,075 | 0 | 4,075 | 0.27 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 3,953 | 0 | 3,953 | 0.27 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Quintette Rd to US 29 (Cantonment) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 8,788 | 640 | 9,428 | 0.63 | C | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | US 29 (Cantonment) to Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 4,463 | 39 | 4,502 | 0.30 | B | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,499 | 632 | 3,131 | 0.21 | B | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,321 | 594 | 2,915 | 0.20 | B | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 10,504 | 1,601 | 12,105 | 0.82 | C | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 16,414 | 887 | 17,301 | 1.17 |  | N |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | $1-10$ to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 10,687 | 536 | 11,223 | 0.76 | C | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | Stefani Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,436 | 152 | 1,588 | 0.11 | B | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,050 | 212 | 7,262 | 0.49 | B | Y |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 3,829 | 3,829 | 0.26 | B | Y |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Santa Rosa Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 6,075 | 6,075 | 0.41 | B | Y |
| Well Line Rd | Santa Rosa Rd to US 29 | 2 | D | 14,850 | 315 | 5,863 | 6,178 | 0.42 | B | Y |
| Santa Rosa Rd | Muscogee Rd to Well Line Rd | 2 | D | 14,850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Quintette Rd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 2 | D | 16,500 | 319 | 5,138 | 5,457 | 0.33 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Well Line Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 319 | 5,074 | 5,393 | 0.33 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 0 | 3,199 | 3,199 | 0.19 | B | Y |
| N -S Rd | Kingsfield Rd to Jack's Branch Rd/Divine Farm | 2 | D | 16,500 | 0 | 2,296 | 2,296 | 0.14 | B | Y |
| Schifko Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 196 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 861 | 687 | 1,548 | 0.10 | B | Y |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | Schifko Rd to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |

Table 6.4
2016 Roadway Deficiencies - Total Traffic

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Lns } \end{gathered}$ | LOS Std | $\begin{aligned} & 2016 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { V/C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 10 | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 4 | C | D | 1.04 |
| US 29 | Well Line Rd to Muscogee Rd | 4 | D | F | 1.03 |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | F | 1.33 |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | D | F | 1.39 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | 4 | D | F | 1.23 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | I-10 to W St | 4 | D | F | 1.16 |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 3 | D | F | 1.72 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | 2 | D | F | 1.91 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | F | 1.08 |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | F | 1.13 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 2 | E | F | 1.17 |

Escambia County
Mid-West Sector Plan
Project № 4249
Page 32


Table 6.5
2016 DSAP Roadway Needs

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS } \\ & \text { Std } \end{aligned}$ | Segment Length (mi) | Improvement Needs | Total Lane-Miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to Beeline Corridor | 2 | E | 0.70 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 1.4 |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Beeline Corridor to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 0.60 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 1.2 |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 0.70 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 1.4 |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 2 | E | 0.75 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 1.5 |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 1.00 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 2.0 |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Santa Rosa Rd | 2 | E | 1.10 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 2.2 |
| N-S Rd | Quintette Rd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 2 | D | 1.50 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 3.0 |
| N-S Rd | Well Line Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd | 2 | D | 1.50 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 3.0 |
| N-S Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | D | 2.40 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 4.8 |

Table 6.6
2016 Off-Site Roadway Needs

| Roadway | Segment | \# of Lns | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{gathered}$ | Segment Length (mi) | Improvement Needs | Total Lane-Miles | DSAP <br> Share of Capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | 3.40 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 6.8 | 22\% |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | D | 2.70 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 5.4 | 14\% |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 4 | D | 2.50 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 5.0 | 9\% |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | I-10 to W St | 4 | D | 1.40 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 2.8 | 4\% |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 3 | D | 0.90 | Widen Existing 3 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 1.8 | 7\% |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | 2 | D | 2.15 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 4.3 | 2\% |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | 2.45 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 4.9 | 4\% |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 1.40 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 2.8 | 0\% |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 2 | E | 2.20 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 4.4 | 3\% |
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### 7.02035 PROJECTED CONDITIONS

Projected roadway conditions were assessed to evaluate the capacity demand and impact of the proposed development within the DSAP in 2035, the analysis horizon year. The adopted 2035 NWFRPM, modified as described in Chapter 5 of the report, was applied for this analysis. The analysis considers conditions for background traffic resulting from existing traffic and regional growth exterior to the DSAP area, as well as conditions with the traffic from the DSAP development applied to the roadway network.

### 7.1 2035 Roadway Conditions - Background Traffic

The background traffic volumes on the transportation network were extracted from the NWFRPM model for each roadway segment within the analysis area. The projected background volumes were converted from Peak Season Weekly Average Daily Trip (PSWADT) using the Model Output Conversion Factor (MOCF) of 0.98 obtained from the FDOT's Florida Traffic Information DVD.

The 2035 background roadway volumes were compared to each segments service volumes and capacity to obtain a projected operating LOS for the segments. The analysis is summarized in Table 7.1. The results of the analysis reveal that in the year 2035 several roadway segments are projected to operate beyond their adopted LOS standard based on background volume projections. The deficient roadway segments are listed in Table 7.2.
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2035 Roadway Conditions - Background Traffic


Table 7.1 (Cont'd)
2035 Roadway Conditions - Background Traffic

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Lns } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{array}$ | Capacity | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { Background } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | $2035 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & \text { Los } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meets } \\ & \text { Std? } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,933 | 0.13 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to l-10 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,507 | 0.37 | B | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | $1-10$ to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,215 | 0.35 | B | $Y$ |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Nine Mile Rd to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 14,850 | 5,391 | 0.36 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Mobile Hwy (90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 7,197 | 0.44 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to l-10 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 17,347 | 1.05 | F | N |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | $1-10$ to Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) |  | D | 36,700 | 15,690 | 0.43 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | 4 | D | 36,700 | 25,273 | 0.69 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 28,031 | 0.76 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | 36,700 | 34,271 | 0.93 | C | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | University Pkwy to Davis Hwy | 4 | D | 36,700 | 22,826 | 0.62 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Alabama St. Line to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | D | 22,200 | 7,703 | 0.35 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 1,678 | 0.10 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 14,969 | 0.91 | c | Y |
| Mobile HWy (US 90) | Klondike Rd to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 11,669 | 0.71 | C | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Michigan Ave (SR 290) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 33,196 | 0.90 | c | Y |
| Mobile HWy (US 90) | Michigan Ave (SR 290) to Edison Dr | 4 | D | 36,700 | 36,279 | 0.99 | D | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Edison Dr to Fairifield Dr | 6 | D | 50,300 | 40,916 | 0.81 | D | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | 4 | D | 33,200 | 35,073 | 1.06 | E | N |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 15,457 | 0.94 | D | Y |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Sautley Field Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 13,264 | 0.80 | c |  |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 16,380 | 0.99 | D | Y |
| Sautley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 14,850 | 14,569 | 0.98 | D | Y |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile HWy (US 90) to US 29 | 4 | D | 36,700 | 38,994 | 1.06 | F | N |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Old Chemstrand Rd to Kingssield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 6,262 | 0.42 | B | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,328 | 0.49 | B | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 14,502 | 0.98 | D | Y |
| Old Chemstrad Rd (CR 297) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 6,376 | 0.43 | B | Y |
| Palatox Hwy (CR 95A) | US 29 (Molino) to Molino Rd (CR 182) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,945 | 0.13 | B | Y |
| Palatox Hwy (CR 95A) | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 3,848 | 0.26 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 3,851 | 0.26 | B | Y |
| Palatox Hwy (CR 95A) | Quintette Rd to US 29 (Cantonment) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 11,786 | 0.79 | C | Y |
| Palatox St (CR 95A) | US 29 (Cantonment) to Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,661 | 0.52 | B | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,054 | 0.34 | B | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Kingstield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,257 | 0.49 | B | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 8,974 | 0.60 | c | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 15,933 | 1.07 | F | N |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | $1-10$ to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 11,275 | 0.76 | c | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | Stefani Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,882 | 0.19 | B | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,822 | 0.53 | B | Y |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 36 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Santa Rosa Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Well Line Rd | Santa Rosa Rd to US 29 | 2 | D | 14,850 | 517 | 0.03 | B | Y |
| Santa Rosa Rd | Muscogee Rd to Well Line Rd | 2 | D | 14,850 | 284 | 0.02 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | US 29 to N -S Rd | 4 | c | 59,800 | 4,856 | 0.08 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | N-S Rd to Quintette Rd Ext. | 4 | c | 59,800 | 4,844 | 0.08 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Quintette Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 4 | c | 59,800 | 4,844 | 0.08 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | 4 | c | 59,800 | 5,304 | 0.09 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingssield Rd Ext. | 4 | c | 59,800 | 6,737 | 0.11 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Kingstield Rd Ext. to l-10 | 4 | c | 59,800 | 10,927 | 0.18 | B | Y |
| N-SRd | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Mathison Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 36,700 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| N-SRd | Mathison Rd Ext. to Quintette Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 36,700 | 12 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Quintette Rd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 36,700 | 43 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| N-SRd | Well Line Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 79 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| N-Sd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 239 | 0.01 | B | Y |
| N-SRd | Kingsfield Rd to Jack's Branch Rd/Divine Farm | 4 | D | 36,700 | 376 | 0.01 | B | Y |
| Success Rd Ext. | Power Blvd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 4 | E | 33,030 | 0 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Power Blvd Ext. | US 29 to N -S Rd | 4 | E | 33,030 |  | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Schifko Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 196 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 44 | 0.00 | B | $Y$ |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | Schifko Rd to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 70 | 0.00 | B | Y |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 69 | 0.00 | B | Y |

Table 7.2
2035 Roadway Deficiencies - Background Traffic

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { V/C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 10 | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 4 | C | D | 1.03 |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | D | F | 1.03 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | I-10 to W St | 4 | D | F | 1.19 |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 3 | D | F | 1.19 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to I-10 | 2 | D | F | 1.05 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | 4 | D | E | 1.06 |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 | 4 | D | F | 1.06 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 2 | E | F | 1.07 |
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### 7.2 2035 Roadway Conditions - Total Traffic

The roadway network was tested with the addition of traffic volumes generated by development within the DSAP boundary. The analysis detailed in Table 7.3 indicates that several roadway segments will be deficient, in addition to the segments determined to be deficient under background traffic volumes. Table 7.4 summarizes the deficient roadway segments under the proposed conditions in the year 2016. Figure 7.1 illustrates the deficient roadway segments under background and total traffic volumes for the year 2035.

### 7.3 Beeline Corridor

A major roadway corridor is currently contemplated through the DSAP to connect Interstate 10 in the south to US 29 in the north. The corridor will provide capacity for north south travel through the DSAP area and serve as the transportation backbone for this part of the County. Although the corridor is being contemplated as a possible limited access facility, an alternative analysis was conducted for a possible controlled access arterial. The limited access alternative provides a traditional expressway with grade separated interchanges and no interruptions to traffic on the mainline. The controlled access alternative is for a typical arterial with at grade intersections, where priority is for providing through traffic capacity with limited interruptions and friction from access and side street connections. As established by the Escambia County Comprehensive Plan, a limited access facility would be required to meet a LOS C standard, while the controlled access facility would be required to meet a LOS D standard. The detailed analysis results of the controlled access alternative are provided in Appendix G.

The analysis reveals that it is feasible to serve the projected capacity demands of the area with a controlled access facility. From a capacity perspective, it is evident that a four lane arterial will sufficiently accommodate projected traffic volumes on the corridor. However, a four lane facility would significantly increase the number of long trips on the remaining roadway network within the DSAP. Therefore, in order to maintain the facility's profile as an attractive alternative for longer trips, the controlled access roadway should provide six lanes of through traffic capacity. Furthermore, the Beeline Corridor is recommended to provide excess capacity in order to function as a viable and attractive alternative to the established US 29 corridor. Therefore, based on the analysis, it is recommended that the Beeline Corridor be considered for a 4-lane limited access facility or a 6-lane controlled access arterial.
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Table 7.3
2035 Roadway Conditions - Total Traffic

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c} \text { Los } \\ \text { Std } \end{array}$ | Capacity | $\begin{gathered} \text { Background } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { DSAP } \\ \text { Dolume } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Volume } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $2035 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mets } \\ & \text { Std? } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 10 | Alabama SL to Beeline Corridor | 4 | c | 59,800 | 54,004 | 6,038 | 60,042 | 1.00 | D | N |
| Interstate 10 | Beeline Corridor to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | c | 59,800 | 51,534 | 22,294 | 73,828 | 1.23 | E | N |
| Interstate 10 | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | 4 | c | 59,800 | 58,220 | 21,557 | 79,777 | 1.33 | F | N |
| Interstate 10 | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 4 | c | 59,800 | 61,584 | 16,338 | 77,922 | 1.30 | E | N |
| Interstate 10 | Pensacola Blvd (US 29) to l-110/Davis Hwy | 6 | C | 90,500 | 79,716 | 17,791 | 97,507 | 1.08 | D | N |
| Interstate 10 | 1-110/Davis Hwy to US 90 | 6 | c | 90,500 | 75,520 | 2,499 | 78,019 | 0.86 | C | Y |
| Interstate 110 | $1-10$ to Airport Blvd | 10 | c | 151,700 | 125,036 | 12,836 | 137,872 | 0.91 | c | Y |
| Interstate 110 | Airport Blvd to Fairifild Dr | 8 | c | 120,100 | 88,102 | 6,314 | 94,416 | 0.79 | c | Y |
| Interstate 110 | Fairfield Dr to Chase St | 6 | c | 90,500 | 74,213 | 5,206 | 79,419 | 0.88 | c | Y |
| US 29 | CR 4 to SR 97 | 4 | C | 41,100 | 12,969 | 7,097 | 20,066 | 0.49 | B | Y |
| US 29 | SR 97 to Molino Rd (CR 182) | 4 | C | 45,400 | 16,952 | 8,958 | 25,910 | 0.57 | B | Y |
| US 29 | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barineau Park Rd (CR 196) | 4 | c | 45,400 | 19,581 | 12,292 | 31,873 | 0.70 | C | Y |
| US 29 | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) | 4 | c | 45,400 | 19,351 | 22,152 | 41,503 | 0.91 | c | Y |
| US 29 | Quintette Rd (CR 184) to Well Line Rd | 4 | c | 45,400 | 19,044 | 31,115 | 50,159 | 1.10 | D | N |
| US 29 | Well Line Rd to Muscogee Rd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 30,557 | 34,941 | 65,498 | 1.78 | F | N |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 36,017 | 26,002 | 62,019 | 1.69 | F |  |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 37,689 | 22,957 | 60,646 | 1.65 | F | N |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to $1-10$ | 6 | D | 55,300 | 51,092 | 13,857 | 64,949 | 1.17 | F | N |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | l-10 to W St | 4 | D | 36,700 | 43,656 | 8,870 | 52,526 | 1.43 | F | N |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | W St to Massachusetts/Pace Blvd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 34,316 | 4,794 | 39,110 | 1.07 | F | N |
| Molino Rd (CR 182) | CR 99 to US 29 | 2 | D | 13,800 | 1,377 | 592 | 1,969 | 0.14 | B | Y |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | CR 97 to Schitko Rd | 2 | D | 13,800 | 35 | 110 | 145 | 0.01 | B | Y |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | Schitko Rd to US 29 | 2 | D | 13,800 | 78 | 159 | 237 | 0.02 | B | Y |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | US 29 to CR 95A | 2 | D | 13,800 | 366 | 1,099 | 1,465 | 0.11 | B | Y |
| CR 297A | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to CR 97 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,640 | 11,836 | 17,476 | 1.18 | F | N |
| CR 297A | CR 97 to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 3,827 | 1,214 | 5,041 | 0.34 | B | Y |
| CR 297A | Kingsfield Rd to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,590 | 1,556 | 7,146 | 0.48 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | CR 297A to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 419 | 6,047 | 6,466 | 0.44 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Kingsfield Rd to Muscogee Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 245 | 5,934 | 6,179 | 0.42 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Muscogee Rd to Power Blvd Ext. | 2 | D | 13,680 | 324 | 7,472 | 7,796 | 0.57 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Power Blvd Ext. to River Annex Rd | 2 | D | 13,680 | 362 | 15,142 | 15,504 | 1.13 | F | N |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | River Annex Rd to Quintette Rd Ext. | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2,284 | 5,237 | 7,521 | 0.55 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Quintette Rd. Ext. to Schifko Rd | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2,988 | 5,260 | 8,248 | 0.60 | c | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Schifko Rd to Barrineau Park Rd (CR196) | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2,286 | 4,339 | 6,625 | 0.48 | B | Y |
| CR 97/CR196 | Barrineau Park Rd (CR196) to CR 99 | 2 | D | 13,680 | 1,253 | 1,707 | 2,960 | 0.22 | B | Y |
| SR 97 | US 29 TO CR 99 | 2 | D | 13,800 | 6,032 | 1,861 | 7,893 | 0.57 | c | Y |
| CR 99 | CR 97 to CR 182 | 2 | D | 13,800 | 1,253 | 1,707 | 2,960 | 0.21 | B | Y |
| CR 99 | CR 182 to CR 97A | 2 | D | 22,200 | 1,104 | 1,487 | 2,591 | 0.12 | B | Y |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to Beeline Corridor | 2 | E | 14,850 | 703 | 3,306 | 4,009 | 0.27 | B | Y |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Beeline Corridor to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 703 | 6,449 | 7,152 | 0.48 | B | Y |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 734 | 12,172 | 12,906 | 0.87 | c | Y |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | US 29 to CR 95A | 2 | D | 14,850 | 4,548 | 12,582 | 17,130 | 1.15 | F | N |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | CR 95A to County Line | 2 | D | 14,850 | 6,297 | 7,127 | 13,424 | 0.90 | c | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Alabama St. Line to River Annex Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,454 | 4,610 | 6,064 | 0.41 | B | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | River Annex Rd to Beeline Corridor | 2 | E | 13,680 | 1,029 | 28,367 | 29,396 | 2.15 | F | N |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Beeline Corridor to Jack's Branch Rd | 2 | E | 13,680 | 993 | 23,587 | 24,580 | 1.80 | F | N |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd ( N ) to N -S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,316 | 16,708 | 18,024 | 1.21 | F | N |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (S) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,350 | 17,224 | 18,574 | 1.25 | F | N |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd (S) to CR 297A | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,106 | 13,261 | 14,367 | 0.97 | D | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | CR 297A to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 6,488 | 9,142 | 15,630 | 1.05 | F | N |
| Pine Forest Rd | Roberts Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 13,680 | 6,788 | 1,199 | 7,987 | 0.58 | B | Y |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to $1-10$ | 3 | D | 17,325 | 20,629 | 8,425 | 29,054 | 1.68 | F | N |
| Pine Forest Rd | $1-10$ to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 24,926 | 10,650 | 35,576 | 0.97 | D | Y |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | Beulah (CR 99) to N - S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,559 | 6,811 | 9,370 | 0.63 | c | Y |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,967 | 639 | 2,606 | 0.18 | B | Y |
| Kingstield Rd Ext. | Beulah (CR 99) to N -S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 555 | 12,938 | 13,493 | 0.91 | c | $Y$ |
| Kingssield Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 530 | 7,481 | 8,011 | 0.54 | B | Y |
| Kingsield Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 297A | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,321 | 5,743 | 8,064 | 0.54 | B | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd | CR 297A to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,554 | 6,238 | 11,792 | 0.79 | c | Y |
| Kingsield Rd | US 29 to SR 292/Chemstrand Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,458 | 2,330 | 7,788 | 0.52 | B | Y |
| River Annex Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,508 | 9,403 | 10,911 | 0.73 | c | Y |

Table 7.3 (Cont'd)

| Roadway | Segment | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \# \text { of } \\ \text { ns } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{array}$ | Capacity | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { Background } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { DSAP } \\ \text { Dolume } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ Volume | $2035 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meets } \\ & \text { Std? } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,933 | 12,004 | 13,937 | 0.94 | D |  |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to $\mathrm{l}-10$ | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,507 | 11,876 | 17,383 | 1.17 | F | N |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | $1-10$ to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,215 | 15,054 | 20,269 | 1.36 | F |  |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Nine Mile Rd to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 14,850 | 5,391 | 8,893 | 14,284 | 0.96 | D | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Mobile Hwy (90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 7,197 | 806 | 8,003 | 0.49 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to l-10 |  | D | 16,500 | 17,347 | 1,180 | 18,527 | 1.12 | F | N |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 1-10 to Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 15,690 | 1,513 | 17,20 | 0.47 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 |  | D | 36,700 | 25,273 | 4,781 | 30,054 | 0.82 | c |  |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 28,031 | 8,280 | 36,31 | 0.99 | D | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | 36,700 | 34,271 | 5,360 | 39,631 | 1.08 | F | N |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | University Pkwy to Davis Hwy |  | D | 36,700 | 22,826 | 1,883 | 24,7 | 0.67 | B |  |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Alabama St. Line to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | D | 22,200 | 7,703 | 806 | 8,509 | 0.38 | c | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 1,678 | 0 | 1,678 | 0.10 | B |  |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd |  | D | 16,500 | 14,969 | 5,954 | 20,923 | 1.27 | F | N |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Klondike Rd to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 11,669 | 1,944 | 13,613 | 0.83 | C |  |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Michigan Ave (SR 290) |  | D | 36,700 | 33,196 | 7,137 | 40,3 | 1.10 | F | N |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Michigan Ave (SR 290) to Edison Dr | 4 | D | 36,700 | 36,279 | 3,938 | 40,217 | 1.10 | F | N |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Edison Dr to Fairield Dr |  | D | 50,300 | 40,916 | 2,850 | 43,766 | 0.87 | D |  |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | 4 | D | 33,200 | 35,073 | 100 | 35,173 | 1.06 | F | N |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 15,457 | 2,116 | 17,573 | 1.07 | F |  |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Saufley Field Rd |  | D | 16,500 | 13,264 | 4,760 | 18,024 | 1.09 | F | N |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 16,380 | 3,816 | 20,196 | 1.22 | F | N |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 14,850 | 14,569 | 245 | 14,814 | 1.00 | D | Y |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 |  | D | 36,700 | 38,994 | 308 | 39,302 | 1.07 | F | N |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Old Chemstrand Rd to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 6,262 | 85 | 6,347 | 0.43 | B |  |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,328 | 506 | 7,834 | 0.53 | B |  |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 14,502 | 219 | 14,721 | 0.99 | D | Y |
| Old Chemstrad Rd (CR 297) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 6,376 | 1,694 | 8,070 | 0.54 | B |  |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | US 29 (Molino) to Molino Rd (CR 182) |  | E | 14,850 | 1,945 | 0 | 1,945 | 0.13 | B |  |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 3,848 | 2 | 3,850 | 0.26 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) |  | E | 14,850 | 3,851 | 152 | 4,003 | 0.27 | B |  |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Quintette Rd to US 29 (Cantonment) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 11,786 | 3,523 | 15,309 | 1.03 | F | N |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | US 29 (Cantonment) to Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,661 | 4,169 | 11,830 | 0.80 | C |  |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) to Kingstield Rd |  | E | 14,850 | 5,054 | 3,300 | 8,354 | 0.56 | B |  |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,257 | 5,483 | 12,740 | 0.86 | c | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) |  | E | 14,850 | 8,974 | 3,707 | 12,681 | 0.85 | c |  |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to -10 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 15,933 | 2,265 | 18,198 | 1.23 | F |  |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | $1-10$ to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 11,275 | 863 | 12,138 | 0.82 | c |  |
| Ten Mile Rd | Stefani Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,882 | 559 | 3,441 | 0.23 | B | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,822 | 862 | 8,684 | 0.58 | c | Y |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to N -S Rd |  | E | 14,850 | 36 | 12,042 | 12,078 | 0.81 | c | Y |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Santa Rosa Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 15,881 | 15,881 | 1.07 | F |  |
| Well Line Rd | Santa Rosa Rd to US 29 | 2 | D | 14,850 | 517 | 11,181 | 11,698 | 0.79 | c | $Y$ |
| Santa Rosa Rd | Muscogee Rd to Well Line Rd | 2 | D | 14,850 | 284 | 5,532 | 5,816 | 0.39 | B |  |
| Beeline Corridor | US 29 to N-S Rd | 4 | C | 59,800 | 4,856 | 12,966 | 17,822 | 0.30 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | N-S Rd to Quintette Rd Ext. |  | c | 59,800 | 4.844 | 21,517 | 26,361 | 0.44 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Quintette Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 4 | c | 59,800 | 4,844 | 21,517 | 26,361 | 0.44 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) |  | c | 59,800 | 5,304 | 23,772 | 29,076 | 0.49 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingstield Rd Ext. |  | c | 59,800 | 6,737 | 28,984 | 35,721 | 0.60 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Kingsfield Rd Ext. to $\mathrm{O}-10$ | 4 | c | 59,800 | 10,927 | 28,332 | 39,259 | 0.66 | B | Y |
| N-SRd | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Mathison Rd Ext. |  | D | 36,700 | 0 | 4,772 | 4,772 | 0.13 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Mathison Rd Ext. to Quintette Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 36,700 | 12 | 10,473 | 10,485 | 0.29 | B |  |
| N -S Rd | Quintette Rd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 36,700 | 43 | 16,639 | 16,882 | 0.45 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Well Line Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 79 | 20,006 | 20,085 | 0.55 | B |  |
| N-S Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 239 | 13,842 | 14,081 | 0.38 | B | Y |
| N -S Rd | Kingsfield Rd to Jack's Branch Rd/Divine Farm |  | D | 36,700 | 376 | 11,210 | 11,586 | 0.32 | B | Y |
| Success Rd Ext. | Power Blvd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 4 | E | 33,030 | 0 | 18,208 | 18,208 | 0.55 | B | Y |
| Power Blvd Ext. | US 29 to N-S Rd | 4 | E | 33,030 | 0 | 19,320 | 19,320 | 0.58 | B | Y |
| Schifko Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 196 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 44 | 110 | 154 | 0.01 | B |  |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | Schitko Rd to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 70 | 158 | 228 | 0.02 | B | Y |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 69 | 1,416 | 1,485 | 0.10 | B | Y |

Table 7.4
2035 Roadway Deficiencies - Total Traffic

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { V/C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 10 | Alabama SL to Beeline Corridor | 4 | C | D | 1.00 |
| Interstate 10 | Beeline Corridor to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | C | E | 1.23 |
| Interstate 10 | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | 4 | C | F | 1.33 |
| Interstate 10 | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 4 | C | E | 1.30 |
| Interstate 10 | Pensacola Blvd (US 29) to I-110/Davis Hwy | 6 | C | D | 1.08 |
| US 29 | Quintette Rd (CR 184) to Well Line Rd | 4 | C | D | 1.10 |
| US 29 | Well Line Rd to Muscogee Rd | 4 | D | F | 1.78 |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | F | 1.69 |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | D | F | 1.65 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 6 | D | F | 1.17 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | I-10 to W St | 4 | D | F | 1.43 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | W St to Massachusetts/Pace Blvd | 4 | D | F | 1.07 |
| CR 297A | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to CR 97 | 2 | E | F | 1.18 |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Power Blvd Ext. to River Annex Rd | 2 | D | F | 1.13 |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | US 29 to CR 95A | 2 | D | F | 1.15 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | River Annex Rd to Beeline Corridor | 2 | E | F | 2.15 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Beeline Corridor to Jack's Branch Rd | 2 | E | F | 1.80 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd (N) to N-S Rd | 2 | E | F | 1.21 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (S) | 2 | E | F | 1.25 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | CR 297A to US 29 | 2 | E | F | 1.05 |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 3 | D | F | 1.68 |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to I-10 | 2 | E | F | 1.17 |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | l-10 to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | F | 1.36 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to I-10 | 2 | D | F | 1.12 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | F | 1.08 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd | 2 | D | F | 1.27 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Michigan Ave (SR 290) | 4 | D | F | 1.10 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Michigan Ave (SR 290) to Edison Dr | 4 | D | F | 1.10 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | 4 | D | F | 1.06 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | F | 1.07 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Saufley Field Rd | 2 | D | F | 1.09 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | 2 | D | F | 1.22 |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 | 4 | D | F | 1.07 |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Quintette Rd to US 29 (Cantonment) | 2 | E | F | 1.03 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 2 | E | F | 1.23 |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Santa Rosa Rd | 2 | E | F | 1.07 |
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### 7.4 2035 Roadway Capacity Needs

Based on the analysis of conditions in the horizon year 2035, several roadways will require additional capacity to support the projected background growth in traffic as well as traffic generated by the DSAP development. Capacity needs were anticipated for deficient roadways with V/C ratios greater than 1.05.

The analysis reveals that the 2035 development program requires approximately 120 lane-miles of new or upgraded capacity to support the development program and to interconnect the DSAP development areas. The needed facilities are listed in Table 7.5 with the corresponding type of improvement.

Based on existing and projected conditions, various off-site facilities require capacity improvements in the year 2035. These improvements are required to support background growth as well as the additional traffic generated by the DSAP development. Table 7.6 summarizes the needed improvements with the DSAP's proportional demand of the recommended offsite capacity improvement.
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Table 7.5
2035 DSAP Roadway Needs

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOS } \\ & \text { Std } \end{aligned}$ | Segment Length (mi) | Improvement Needs | Total Lane-Miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Power Blvd Ext. to River Annex Rd | 2 | D | 0.50 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 1.0 |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to Beeline Corridor | 2 | E | 0.70 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 1.4 |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Beeline Corridor to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 0.60 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 1.2 |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 0.70 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 1.4 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | River Annex Rd to Beeline Corridor | 2 | E | 0.65 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 1.3 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Beeline Corridor to Jack's Branch Rd | 2 | E | 1.50 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 3.0 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd (N) to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 0.20 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 0.4 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (S) | 2 | E | 0.20 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 0.4 |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | Beulah (CR 99) to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 1.20 | Upgrade Existing 2 Lane Road | 2.4 |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 2 | E | 1.90 | Upgrade Existing 2 Lane Road | 3.8 |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | Beulah (CR 99) to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 1.50 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 3.0 |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 2 | E | 0.75 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 1.5 |
| River Annex Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184 | 2 | E | 2.60 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 5.2 |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to I-10 | 2 | E | 0.20 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 0.4 |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 1.00 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 2.0 |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Santa Rosa Rd | 2 | E | 1.10 | Widen 2 Lane Road to 4 Lanes | 4.4 |
| Beeline Corridor | US 29 to N-S Rd | 4 | C | 0.70 | Construct New 4 Lane Freeway | 2.8 |
| Beeline Corridor | N-S Rd to Quintette Rd Ext. | 4 | C | 1.20 | Construct New 4 Lane Freeway | 4.8 |
| Beeline Corridor | Quintette Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 4 | C | 1.50 | Construct New 4 Lane Freeway | 6.0 |
| Beeline Corridor | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184 | 4 | C | 2.25 | Construct New 4 Lane Freeway | 9.0 |
| Beeline Corridor | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingsfield Rd Ext. | 4 | C | 1.25 | Construct New 4 Lane Freeway | 5.0 |
| Beeline Corridor | Kingsfield Rd Ext. to I-10 | 4 | C | 1.00 | Construct New 4 Lane Freeway | 4.0 |
| N-S Rd | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Mathison Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 1.00 | Construct New 4 Lane Road | 4.0 |
| N-S Rd | Mathison Rd Ext. to Quintette Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 1.00 | Construct New 4 Lane Road | 4.0 |
| N-S Rd | Quintette Rd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 1.50 | Widen 2 Lane Road to 4 Lanes | 6.0 |
| N-S Rd | Well Line Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd | 4 | D | 1.50 | Widen 2 Lane Road to 4 Lanes | 6.0 |
| N-S Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | 2.40 | Widen 2 Lane Road to 4 Lanes | 9.6 |
| N-S Rd | Kingsfield Rd to Jack's Branch Rd/Divine Farm | 4 | D | 3.50 | Construct New 4 Lane Road | 14.0 |
| Success Rd Ext. | Power Blvd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 4 | E | 1.10 | Construct New 4 Lane Road | 4.4 |
| Power Blvd Ext. | US 29 to N-S Rd | 4 | E | 1.00 | Construct New 4 Lane Road | 4.0 |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | Schifko Rd to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 1.30 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 2.6 |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 0.70 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 1.4 |
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Table 7.6
2035 Off-Site Roadway Needs

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{gathered}$ | Segment Length (mi) | Improvement Needs | Total Lane-Miles | DSAP <br> Share of Capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 10 | Beeline Corridor to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | C | 3.50 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 7.0 | 25\% |
| Interstate 10 | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | 4 | C | 1.50 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 3.0 | 24\% |
| Interstate 10 | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 4 | C | 3.10 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 6.2 | 18\% |
| Interstate 10 | Pensacola Blvd (US 29) to I-110/Davis Hwy | 6 | C | 2.20 | Widen Existing 6 Lanes to 8 Lanes | 4.4 | 15\% |
| US 29 | Quintette Rd (CR 184) to Well Line Rd | 4 | C | 2.50 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 5.0 | 46\% |
| US 29 | Well Line Rd to Muscogee Rd | 4 | D | 0.80 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 8 Lanes | 3.2 | 47\% |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | 3.40 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 8 Lanes | 13.6 | 35\% |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | D | 2.70 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 8 Lanes | 10.8 | 31\% |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 6 | D | 2.50 | Widen Existing 6 Lanes to 8 Lanes | 5.0 | 19\% |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | I-10 to W St | 4 | D | 1.40 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 2.8 | 16\% |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | W St to Massachusetts/Pace Blvd | 4 | D | 2.20 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 4.4 | 9\% |
| CR 297A | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to CR 97 | 2 | E | 1.40 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 2.8 | 36\% |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | US 29 to CR 95A | 2 | D | 1.80 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 3.6 | 38\% |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | CR 297A to US 29 | 2 | E | 0.75 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 1.5 | 28\% |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | 3 | D | 0.90 | Widen Existing 3 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 1.8 | 23\% |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | I-10 to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 2.10 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 4.2 | 46\% |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to I-10 | 2 | D | 2.70 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 5.4 | 3\% |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | 2.45 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 4.9 | 10\% |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd | 2 | D | 3.00 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 6.0 | 16\% |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Michigan Ave (SR 290) | 4 | D | 0.90 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 1.8 | 13\% |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Michigan Ave (SR 290) to Edison Dr | 4 | D | 1.80 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 3.6 | 7\% |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | 4 | D | 2.40 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 4.8 | 0\% |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 0.60 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 1.2 | 6\% |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Saufley Field Rd | 2 | D | 2.00 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 4.0 | 13\% |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | 2 | D | 4.50 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 9.0 | 10\% |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 | 4 | D | 3.55 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 7.1 | 1\% |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 2 | E | 2.20 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 4.4 | 7\% |
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### 8.0 SUMMARY OF TRANSPORTATION NEEDS

The transportation element analysis identified roadway improvements recommended to support projected growth within the study area. Table 8.1 summarizes the improvements.

Table 8.1
Summary of Transportation Needs

| Area | 2016 |  | 2035 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Miles of <br> Road | Lane-Miles <br> of Capacity | Miles of <br> Road | Lane-Miles <br> of Capacity |
| Within DSAP | 11.3 | 22.5 | 35.3 | 99.6 |
| Outside DSAP | 19.1 | 38.2 | 52.1 | 105.8 |

The transportation needs within the DSAP are outlined in Table 8.2. It has been established that the Beeline Corridor may be constructed as a 4-lane limited access expressway. Alternatively, a 6-lane controlled access arterial will provide sufficient capacity to service the projected demand within the DSAP and from the overall transportation network.

Table 8.2
DSAP Transportation Improvements

| Roadway | Segment | Length (mi) | Recommended Capacity Improvement | New <br> Lane <br> Miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to US 29 | 2.0 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 4.0 |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 0.8 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 1.5 |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to US 29 | 3.1 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 6.2 |
| N-S Rd | Quintette Rd Ext. to Kingsfield Rd | 5.4 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 10.8 |
| 2035 |  |  |  |  |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Power Blvd Ext. to River Annex Rd | 0.50 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 1.0 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | River Annex Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (S) | 2.60 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 5.2 |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | Beulah (CR 99) to N-S Rd | 3.10 | Upgrade Existing 2 Lane Road | 6.2 |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | Beulah (CR 99) to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 2.30 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 4.6 |
| River Annex Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | 2.60 | Upgrade/Construct 2 Lane Road | 5.2 |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to I-10 | 0.20 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 0.4 |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | 2.10 | Widen 2 Lane Road to 4 Lanes | 4.2 |
| Beeline Corridor | US 29 to I-10 | 7.90 | Construct New 4 Lane Freeway | 31.6 |
| N-S Rd | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd Ext. | 1.00 | Construct New 4 Lane Road | 4.0 |
|  | Quintette Rd Ext. to Kingsfield Rd | 5.40 | Widen 2 Lane Road to 4 Lanes | 10.8 |
|  | Kingsfield Rd to Jack's Branch Rd/Divine Farm | 3.50 | Construct New 4 Lane Road | 14.0 |
| Success Rd Ext. | Power Blvd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 1.10 | Construct New 4 Lane Road | 4.4 |
| Power Blvd Ext. | US 29 to N-S Rd | 1.00 | Construct New 4 Lane Road | 4.0 |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | Schifko to US 29 | 2.00 | Construct New 2 Lane Road | 4.0 |
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Transportation improvements required outside the DSAP boundary to support the DSAP and other growth in the County were identified based on a $1.05 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ value threshold. Table 8.3 summarizes the recommended improvements and provides the share of the capacity projected to be consumed by traffic generated from DSAP development.

Table 8.3
Off-Site Transportation Improvements

| Roadway | Segment | Length (mi) | Recommended Capacity Improvement | New Lane Miles | DSAP Avg Share of Capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to W St | 10.00 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 20.0 | 14\% |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | 0.90 | Widen Existing 3 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 1.8 | 7\% |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | 2.15 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 4.3 | 2\% |
|  | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 2.45 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 4.9 | 4\% |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 1.40 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 2.8 | 0\% |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | 2.20 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 4.4 | 3\% |
| 2035 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interstate 10 | Beeline Corridor to I-110/Davis Hwy | 10.30 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 20.60 | 20\% |
| US 29 | Quintette Rd (CR 184) to Well Line Rd | 2.50 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 5.0 | 46\% |
|  | Well Line Rd to Muscogee Rd | 0.80 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 8 Lanes | 3.2 | 47\% |
|  | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to I-10 | 8.60 | Widen Existing 6 Lanes to 8 Lanes | 17.2 | 29\% |
|  | W St to Massachusetts/Pace Blvd | 2.20 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 4.4 | 9\% |
| CR 297A | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to CR 97 | 1.40 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 2.8 | 36\% |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | US 29 to CR 95A | 1.80 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 3.6 | 38\% |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | CR 297A to US 29 | 0.75 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 1.5 | 28\% |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2.30 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 4.6 | 45\% |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to I-10 | 2.70 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 5.4 | 3\% |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd | 3.00 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 6.0 | 16\% |
|  | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Edison Dr | 2.70 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 5.4 | 9\% |
|  | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | 2.40 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 4.8 | 0\% |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to US 98 | 7.10 | Widen Existing 2 Lanes to 4 Lanes | 14.2 | 11\% |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 | 3.50 | Widen Existing 4 Lanes to 6 Lanes | 7.1 | 1\% |
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## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

This analysis was undertaken to assess the transportation needs of the proposed Escambia DSAP. The analysis was conducted for the 2011 Existing, 2016 Interim and 2035 Buildout conditions. The findings of the analysis are summarized as follows:

- The analysis of existing conditions reveals that some existing facilities are currently operating below the adopted LOS, including segments of US 29, Pine Forest Road, and Nine Mile Road.
- A review of the various short and long range transportation plans for the area shows that various transportation improvements are planned near the DSAP, including capacity expansions to US 29, Interstate 10 and Nine Mile Road.
- The DSAP development program includes more than 23,500 residential units and 12 million square feet of commercial and industrial uses. The total trip generation is estimated to be 371,000 daily trips at buildout. Approximately, $55 \%$ of the total trips generated within the DSAP area are projected to remain within the DSAP area and will not impact the external roadway network.
- The transportation element analysis identified roadway improvements recommended to support projected growth within the study area.

| Area | 2016 |  | 2035 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Miles of <br> Road | Lane-Miles <br> of Capacity | Miles of <br> Road | Lane-Miles <br> of Capacity |
| Within DSAP | 11.3 | 22.5 | 35.3 | 99.6 |
| Outside DSAP | 19.1 | 38.2 | 52.1 | 105.8 |

- The Beeline Corridor was analyzed as a limited access expressway and as a controlled access arterial. The corridor is projected to function adequately as a 4-lane expressway, providing capacity for DSAP traffic and sufficient excess capacity to attract traffic from other saturated corridors. Alternatively, a 6-lane arterial will provide similar capacity and movement of traffic as the expressway. If an arterial is constructed, friction from access and intersections should be controlled to maintain the throughput capacity of the arterial.
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## APPENDIX A

Traffic Volume Counts

| Road No. | On Street | Roadway Facility | Date Of Count | 2-Way PM PH Counted Volume | Axle Factor | Seas. <br> Factor | 2-Way PM PH Fact. Vol. | Alloc. Trips | Total Trips | Rev. <br> Serv. <br> Vol. | 2-Way PH Serv. Vol | \% Serv. Vol. Used | Avail. <br> Trips | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1\% Serv. } \\ \text { Vol. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 5\% Serv. } \\ \text { Vol. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 110 \% \\ \text { Serv. Vol. } \end{gathered}$ | Hurricane Evac. Rt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) | (21) | (22) | (24) |
| City | 12th Avenue | Fairfield Dr. to Bayou Blvd. | 1/20/2009 | 2043 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 2061 | 0 | 2061 |  | 3120 | 60\% | 1371 | 31 | 156 | 3432 | No |
| City/Co. | 12th Avenue / Tippin Avenue | Bayou Blvd. to Langley Ave. | 1/20/2009 | 2224 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 2244 | 6 | 2250 |  | 3120 | 66\% | 1182 | 31 | 156 | 3432 | No |
| County | 61st Avenue | Jackson St. to Tonawanda Dr. | 5/19/2005 | 126 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 119 | 0 | 119 |  | 1480 | 7\% | 1509 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | 61st Avenue | US 98 to Jackson St. | 5/18/2005 | 124 | 0.95 | 1 | 118 | 0 | 118 |  | 1480 | 7\% | 1510 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Cervantes St. to Bayou Blvd. | 2/26/2009 | 1704 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1653 | 0 | 1653 |  | 3221 | 51\% | 1568 | 32 | 161 | 3543 | from SR295 |
| SR289 | 9 9th Avenue | Chase St. to Cervantes St. | 1/22/2009 | 1378 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1390 | 0 | 1390 |  | 2955 | 43\% | 1861 | 30 | 148 | 3251 | No |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Langley Ave. to Creighton Rd. | 3/4/09 | 2761 | 1 | 1 | 2761 | 17 | 2778 |  | 3110 | 89\% | 332 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | Yes |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Creighton Rd. to Olive Rd. | 1/29/09 | 2332 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 2378 | 48 | 2426 |  | 3110 | 71\% | 995 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | NO |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Bayou Blvd. to Langley Ave | 3/3/09 | 1676 | 1 | 1 | 1676 | 23 | 1699 |  | 3110 | 55\% | 1411 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | Yes |
| SR750 | Airport Blvd. | Pensacola Blvd. to Davis Hwy. | 1/13/2009 | 1855 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1853 | 41 | 1894 |  | 2950 | 58\% | 1351 | 30 | 148 | 3245 | No |
| SR750 | Airport Blvd. | Davis Hwy. to 12th Ave. | 2/26/2009 | 1681 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1614 | 8 | 1622 |  | 2950 | 50\% | 1623 | 30 | 148 | 3245 | No |
| County | Airport Blvd. | W Street to Pensacola Blvd. | 1/13/2009 | 1086 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1085 | 85 | 1170 |  | 2950 | 36\% | 2075 | 30 | 148 | 3245 | No |
| SR291 | Alcaniz Street / MLK Jr. Dr. (SB) | Fairfield Dr. to Wright St. | 5/10/2007 | 390 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 375 | 2 | 377 |  | 950 | 36\% | 668 | 10 | 48 | 1045 | No |
| City | Barrancas Avenue | Pace Blvd. to Garden St. | 1/20/2009 | 1709 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1724 | 40 | 1764 |  | 3120 | 51\% | 1668 | 31 | 156 | 3432 | No |
| SR292 | Barrancas Avenue | Navy Blvd. to Pace Blvd. | 1/20/09 | 2044 | 0.99 | 1.04 | 2105 | 285 | 2390 |  | 3390 | 70\% | 1000 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | Yes |
| CR293 | Bauer Road | Sorrento Rd. to Lillian Hwy / US 98 | 2/11/2009 | 620 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 620 | 110 | 730 |  | 1420 | 47\% | 832 | 14 | 71 | 1562 | No |
| SR196 | Bayfront Pkwy. | Tarragona St. to Gregory St. | 2/5/2009 | 1643 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 1659 | 0 | 1659 |  | 3390 | 44\% | 2070 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | No |
| SR296 | Bayou Blvd. | 9th Ave. to 12th Ave. | 5/28/2008 | 1950 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1892 | 10 | 1902 |  | 3270 | 58\% | 1368 | 33 | 164 | 3597 | YES |
| SR296 | Bayou Blva. / Perry Avenue | 12th Ave. to Cervantes St. | 4/8/2008 | 1003 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 963 | 5 | 968 |  | 1610 | 60\% | 642 | 16 | 81 | 1771 | YES |
| County | Bellview Road | Blue Angel Pkwy. to Mobile Hwy. | 2/3/2009 | 110 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 110 | 0 | 110 |  | 1480 | 7\% | 1518 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR99 | Beulah Road | Nine Mile Rd. to Muscogee Rd. | 1/20/2009 | 326 | 1 | 1.04 | 339 | 193 | 532 |  | 1400 | 35\% | 1008 | 14 | 70 | 1540 | No |
| CR99 | Beulah Road | Mobile Hwy. to Nine Mile Rd. | 6/3/2005 | 234 | 0.99 | 1 | 232 | 82 | 314 |  | 1390 | 21\% | 1215 | 14 | 70 | 1529 | No |
| CR99 | 3eulah Road / Hurst Hammock Rc | Perdido River to Mobile Hwy. | 5/19/2005 | 52 | 0.99 | 1 | 51 | 27 | 78 |  | 1390 | 5\% | 1451 | 14 | 70 | 1529 | No |
| SR173 | Blue Angel Pkwy. | of Sorrento (end of 4 lane) to US98 | 1/21/2009 | 1513 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 1542 | 234 | 1776 |  | 2320 | 77\% | 544 | 23 | 116 | 2552 | YES |
| SR173 | Blue Angel Pkwy. | IAS to N . of Sorrento (end of 4 lane) | 5/21/2007 | 897 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 853 | 217 | 1070 |  | 3410 | 29\% | 2681 | 34 | 171 | 3751 | No |
| SR173 | Blue Angel Pkwy. | US 98 to Saufley Field Rd.* | 2/23/09 | 1621 | 0.99 | 1 | 1605 | 205 | 1810 | AT | 1900 | 95\% | 90 | 19 | 95 | 2090 | Yes |
| SR173 | Blue Angel Pkwy. | Saufley Field Rd. to Pine Forest Rd. | 3/11/09 | 1314 | 0.99 | 1 | 1301 | 65 | 1366 |  | 1560 | 88\% | 194 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | Yes |
| SR296 | Brent Lane | Rawson Lane to Davis Hwy | 2/15/10 | 3353 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 3353 | 15 | 3368 | AT | 4240 | 79\% | 872 | 42 | 212 | 4664 | Yes |
| SR296 | Brent Lane | Pensacola Blvd. to Rawson Lane | 1/13/09 | 2589 | 0.99 | 1 | 2563 | 1 | 2564 | AT | 3340 | 77\% | 776 | 33 | 167 | 3674 | Yes |
| SR296 | Brent Lane | Davis Hwy. to 9th Avenue | 5/28/08 | 1950 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1911 | 57 | 1968 | AT | 3390 | 58\% | 1422 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | Yes |
| County | Bronson Road | Lillian Hwy. (E) to Lillian Hwy. (W) | 5/18/2005 | 11 | 0.99 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 11 |  | 1390 | 1\% | 1518 | 14 | 70 | 1529 | No |
| SR742 | Burgess Road | Pensacola Blvd. to Davis Hwy. | 4/30/2008 | 784 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 753 | 57 | 810 |  | 1560 | 47\% | 906 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | No |
| SR742 | Burgess Road / Lanier Drive | Davis Hwy. to Creighton Rd. | 5/22/2007 | 185 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 178 | 2 | 180 |  | 1560 | 10\% | 1536 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | No |
| UWF | Campus Drive | University Pkwy. to Davis Hwy. | 5/3/2007 | 410 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 394 | 4 | 398 |  | 3120 | 12\% | 3034 | 31 | 156 | 3432 | No |
| CR296A/34 | Cerny Road/Marlane Drive/CR34. | Blue Angel Pkwy. to Mobile Hwy. | 4/30/2007 | 542 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 521 | 0 | 521 |  | 1480 | 32\% | 1107 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| SR30 | Chase Street / US 98 (EB) | I-110/9th Ave. to Bayfront Pkwy. | 5/15/2007 | 1716 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1648 | 0 | 1648 |  | 3058 | 49\% | 1716 | 31 | 153 | 3364 | No |
| CR749 | Chemstrand Road | Nine Mile Rd. to Old Chemstrand Rd. * | 3/3/09 | 1402 | 0.99 | 1 | 1388 | 119 | 1507 | AP | 2110 | 65\% | 814 | 21 | 106 | 2321 | NO |
| SR742 | Creighton Road | 9th Ave. to Scenic Hwy. | 4/22/2008 | 874 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 839 | 59 | 898 |  | 1560 | 58\% | 662 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | YES |
| SR742 | Creighton Road | Davis Hwy. to 9th Ave. | 2/10/2009 | 1888 | 0.99 | 1.01 | 1888 | 25 | 1913 |  | 3390 | 51\% | 1816 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | No |
| SR291 | Davis Hwy. | University Pkwy. to Nine Mile Rd. | 4/3/2008 | 1986 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1907 | 145 | 2052 |  | 3390 | 61\% | 1338 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | YES |
| SR291 | Davis Hwy. | Fairfield Dr. to Brent Ln | 8/6/2007 | 1671 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1605 | 33 | 1638 |  | 3390 | 44\% | 2091 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | No |
| SR291 | Davis Hwy. | Burgess Rd to University Pkwy | 1/29/09 | 4024 | 1 | 1.03 | 4145 | 36 | 4181 |  | 4240 | 99\% | 59 | 42 | 212 | 4664 | Yes |
| SR291 | Davis Hwy. | Brent Ln to Burgess Rd | 1/13/09 | 2284 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 2282 | 66 | 2348 |  | 3390 | 69\% | 1042 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | Yes |
| SR1O | Davis Hwy. | Nine Mile Rd. to Santa Rosa County Line | 2/9/09 | 2345 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 2297 | 8 | 2305 |  | 3390 | 68\% | 1085 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | Yes |
| SR291 | Davis Hwy. (NB) | Wright St. to Fairfield Dr. | 5/21/2007 | 432 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 415 | 6 | 421 |  | 2034 | 19\% | 1816 | 20 | 102 | 2237 | No |
| CR465 | Detroit Blvd. | Pine Forest Rd. to US 29 | 4/30/2008 | 562 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 545 | 327 | 872 |  | 1480 | 54\% | 756 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR297 | Dog Track Road | Blue Angel Pkwy. to US 98 | 4/30/2007 | 441 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 428 | 79 | 507 |  | 1480 | 31\% | 1121 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR297 | Dog Track Road | Sorrento Rd. to Blue Angel Pkwy. | 5/3/2007 | 293 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 281 | 87 | 368 |  | 1480 | 23\% | 1260 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Doug Ford Road | Perdido Bay Ctry Club to Sorrento Rq | 5/19/2005 | 304 | 0.99 | 1 | 301 | 1 | 302 |  | 1390 | 20\% | 1227 | 14 | 70 | 1529 | No |
| CR443 | E Street | Cervantes St. to Texar Dr. | 5/15/2007 | 727 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 698 | 27 | 725 |  | 1480 | 45\% | 903 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |


| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Cervantes St. to Bayou Blvd. | 2/26/2009 | 1704 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1653 | 0 | 1653 |  | 3221 | 51\% | 1568 | 32 | 161 | 3543 | from SR295 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Chase St. to Cervantes St. | 1/22/2009 | 1378 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1390 | 0 | 1390 |  | 2955 | 43\% | 1861 | 30 | 148 | 3251 | No |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Langley Ave. to Creighton Rd. | 3/4/09 | 2761 | 1 | 1 | 2761 | 17 | 2778 |  | 3110 | 89\% | 332 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | Yes |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Creighton Rd. to Olive Rd. | 1/29/09 | 2332 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 2378 | 48 | 2426 |  | 3110 | 71\% | 995 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | NO |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Bayou Blvd. to Langley Ave | 3/3/09 | 1676 | 1 | 1 | 1676 | 23 | 1699 |  | 3110 | 55\% | 1411 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | Yes |
| SR727 | Fairfield Drive | Lillian Hwy. to Mobile Hwy. | 1/15/2009 | 1543 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 1604 | 38 | 1642 |  | 1560 | 96\% | 74 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | No |
| SR295 | Fairfield Drive | $\mathrm{l}-110$ to 12th Ave. | 3/23/2009 | 1708 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1640 | 2 | 1642 |  | 2750 | 60\% | 1108 | 28 | 138 | 3025 | to 9th Ave. |
| SR727 | Fairfield Drive | Mobile Hwy. to New Warrington Rd. | 5/17/2007 | 1944 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 1829 | 96 | 1925 |  | 3390 | 52\% | 1804 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | No |
| SR727 | Fairfield Drive | Gulf Beach Hwy. to US 98 | 1/21/2009 | 490 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 490 | 34 | 524 |  | 1560 | 31\% | 1192 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | No |
| SR295 | Fairfield Drive | New Warrington Rd. to Pace Blvd. | 1/15/09 | 3016 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 3044 | 65 | 3109 |  | 3390 | 92\% | 281 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | Yes |
| SR295 | Fairfield Drive | Pace Blvd. to 1-110 | 1/13/09 | 2142 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 2227 | 31 | 2258 |  | 3110 | 73\% | 852 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | Yes |
| SR727 | Fairfield Drive | US 98 to Lillian Hwy. | 2/3/09 | 1172 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 1183 | 60 | 1243 |  | 1560 | 72\% | 473 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | NO |
| CR399 | Fort Pickens Road | t. Pickens to Pensacola Beach Blvd | 5/17/2006 | 515 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 510 | 44 | 554 |  | 1480 | 34\% | 1074 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| SR30/295 | Garden Street / US 98 / US 98B | A St. to Gregory St. | 5/8/2007 | 1922 | 0.99 | 1 | 1903 | 0 | 1903 |  | 3110 | 56\% | 1518 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | No |
| SR30/295 | Garden Street / US 98/ US 98B | Pace Blvd. to A St. | 5/14/2007 | 1705 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1637 | 7 | 1644 |  | 2954 | 51\% | 1605 | 30 | 148 | 3249 | No |
| SR30 | Gregory Street (WB) / US 98 | 17th Ave. to 9th Ave./I-110 | 5/10/2007 | 1276 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1225 | 0 | 1225 |  | 3048 | 40\% | 1823 | 30 | 152 | 3353 | YES |
| CR292A | Gulf Beach Hwy. | Sorrento Rd. (W) to Blue Angel Pkwy | 2/2/2009 | 388 | 0.98 | 1 | 380 | 397 | 777 |  | 1480 | 48\% | 851 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR292A | Gulf Beach Hwy. | Blue Angel Pkwy. to Sorrento Rd. (E | 5/1/2007 | 642 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 617 | 0 | 617 |  | 1480 | 38\% | 1011 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| SR292 | Gulf Beach Hwy. / Sorrento Rd. | Blue Angel Pkwy. to Fairfield Dr. | 3/3/2009 | 1263 | 0.99 | 1 | 1250 | 313 | 1563 |  | 3130 | 50\% | 1567 | 31 | 157 | 3443 | YES |
| SR292 | Gulf Beach Hwy. / Sorrento Rd. | Fairfield Dr. to Navy Blvd.* | 1/22/09 | 1574 | 1 | 1 | 1574 | 179 | 1753 | AT | 2000 | 88\% | 247 | 20 | 100 | 2200 | Yes |
| County | Hancock Ln. / Sarah Dr. | Palafox St. to Burgess Rd. | 5/5/2005 | 67 | 0.99 | 1 | 66 | 27 | 93 |  | 1480 | 6\% | 1535 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR164 | Highway 164 | SR 97 to US 29 | 4/27/2005 | 42 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 39 | 5 | 44 |  | 1920 | 2\% | 2068 | 19 | 96 | 2112 | No |
| CR168 | Highway 168 | CR 99 to CR 4A | 4/30/2007 | 62 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 60 | 0 | 60 |  | 1190 | 5\% | 1249 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | No |
| CR182 | Highway 182 / Molino Road | CR 99 to US 29 | 4/27/2005 | 82 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 76 | 6 | 82 |  | 1190 | 6\% | 1227 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | No |
| CR196 | Highway 196 / Barrineau Park Rd | CR 97 to US 29 | 4/27/2005 | 106 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 104 | 37 | 141 |  | 1190 | 11\% | 1168 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | No |
| CR196 | Highway 196 / Barrineau Park Rd | US 29 to CR 95A | 3/10/1999 | 56 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 55 | 26 | 81 |  | 1190 | 6\% | 1228 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | No |
| CR297A | Highway 297A | Pine Forest Rd. to CR 97 | 8/20/2008 | 900 | 0.97 | 1 | 873 | 123 | 996 |  | 1480 | 61\% | 632 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR297A | Highway 297A | CR 97 to Muscogee Rd. / CR 184 | 12/3/2008 | 437 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 420 | 88 | 508 |  | 1480 | 31\% | 1120 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR4 | Highway 4 | SR 97 to US 29 | 4/27/2005 | 142 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 134 | 9 | 143 |  | 1190 | 11\% | 1166 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | No |
| SR4 | Highway 4 (Century) | US 29 to Santa Rosa County Line | 4/30/2007 | 424 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 411 | 1 | 412 |  | 1350 | 28\% | 1073 | 14 | 68 | 1485 | No |
| CR4A | Highway 4A | US 29 (S) to CR 168 | 4/27/2005 | 48 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 45 | 0 | 45 |  | 1190 | 3\% | 1264 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | No |
| CR4A | Highway 4A (Century) | CR 168 to US 29 (N) | 4/27/2005 | 101 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 98 | 0 | 98 |  | 1500 | 6\% | 1552 | 15 | 75 | 1650 | No |
| CR97 | Highway 97 / Jack's Branch Rd. | Muscogee Rd. to Barrineau Park | 4/30/2007 | 245 | 0.95 | 1 | 233 | 0 | 233 |  | 1300 | 16\% | 1197 | 13 | 65 | 1430 | No |
| SR97 | Highway 97 / SR 97 | US 29 to Alabama State Line | 5/23/2007 | 379 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 368 | 15 | 383 |  | 1190 | 32\% | 807 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | YES |
| CR97 | Highway 97(S) | CR 297A to Muscogee Rd. | 11/18/08 | 334 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 340 | 177 | 517 |  | 1480 | 32\% | 1111 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | NO |
| CR97A | Highway 97A | CR 99 to CR 99A | 2/11/2009 | 43 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 41 | 3 | 44 |  | 1190 | 3\% | 1265 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | No |
| CR99 | Highway 99 (N) | SR 97 to Alabama State Line | 4/28/1999 | 98.5 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 93 | 5 | 98 |  | 1190 | 7\% | 1211 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | No |
| CR99 | Highway 99 (S) | CR 97 to SR 97 | 4/27/2005 | 93 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 87 | 3 | 90 |  | 1190 | 7\% | 1219 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | No |
| CR99A | Highway 99A | Pineville to CR 164 | 4/27/2005 | 78 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 73 | 4 | 77 |  | 1190 | 6\% | 1232 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | No |
| County | Hillview Road | Nine Mile Rd. to University Blvd. | 6/9/2005 | 59 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 58 | 2 | 60 |  | 1480 | 4\% | 1568 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Hollywood Avenue | Fairfield Dr. to Massachusetts Ave. | 5/10/2007 | 380 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 365 | 3 | 368 |  | 1480 | 23\% | 1260 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| SR8 | $\mathrm{l}-10$ (FIHS) | Nine Mile Rd. to Pensacola Blvd. | 3/23/2009 | 3104 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 3041 | 646 | 3687 |  | 4840 | 76\% | 1153 | 48 | 242 | 5324 | YES |
| SR8 | $\mathrm{l}-10$ (FIHS) | Pensacola Blvd. to Davis Hwy. | 3/23/2009 | 5064 | 0.86 | 0.99 | 4311 | 191 | 4502 |  | 7600 | 59\% | 3098 | 76 | 380 | 8360 | YES |
| SR8 | $\mathrm{l}-10$ (FIHS) | pavis Hwy. to Santa Rosa County Lir | 3/12/2009 | 2621 | 0.97 | 1.01 | 2568 | 39 | 2607 |  | 4840 | 54\% | 2233 | 48 | 242 | 5324 | YES |
| SR8 | I-10 (FIHS) | Alabama State Line to Nine Mile Rd | 4/17/2007 | 2596 | 0.85 | 0.99 | 2185 | 15 | 2200 |  | 5350 | 41\% | 3150 | 54 | 268 | 5885 | YES |
| SR8A | I-110 (FIHS) | Airport Blvd. to $\mathrm{l}-10$ | 5/8/2003 | 5120 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 4867 | 26 | 4893 |  | 7600 | 64\% | 2707 | 76 | 380 | 8360 | YES |
| SR8A | I-110 (FIHS) | Gregory/Chase St. to Fairfield Dr. | 4/9/2003 | 5141 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 4887 | 5 | 4892 |  | 7600 | 64\% | 2708 | 76 | 380 | 8360 | YES |
| SR8A | I-110 (FIHS) | Fairfield Dr. to Airport Blvd. | 3/3/2003 | 4969 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 4675 | 22 | 4697 |  | 7600 | 62\% | 2903 | 76 | 380 | 8360 | YES |
| CR297 | Innerarity Point Road | Innerarity Pt. to Sorrento Rd. | 4/28/2009 | 560 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 532 | 311 | 843 |  | 1480 | 52\% | 785 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Interstate Circle / Wymart Road | Pine Forest Rd. to Longleaf Dr. | 5/3/2005 | 86 | 0.99 | 1 | 85 | 3 | 88 |  | 1480 | 5\% | 1540 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR298A | Jackson Street | lew Warrington Rd. to T St. (city limi | 5/15/2007 | 696 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 668 | 16 | 684 |  | 1480 | 42\% | 944 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR298A | Jackson Street | Fairfield Dr. to New Warrington Rd. | 5/16/2007 | 643 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 611 | 31 | 642 |  | 1480 | 39\% | 986 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Jernigan Road | Johnson Ave. to Nine Mile Rd. | 5/10/2007 | 591 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 579 | 24 | 603 |  | 1480 | 37\% | 1025 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Johnson Avenue | Pensacola Blvd. to Davis Hwy. | 4/23/2008 | 447 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 429 | 199 | 628 |  | 1480 | 39\% | 1000 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Johnson Avenue | Davis Hwy. to Olive Rd. | 2/9/2009 | 479 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 460 | 92 | 552 |  | 1480 | 34\% | 1076 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Johnson Beach Road | Perdido Key Dr. to Gulf Island N.S. | 3/31/1999 | 142 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 139 | 84 | 223 |  | 1390 | 15\% | 1306 | 14 | 70 | 1529 | No |
| County | Jordan Street | W St. to A St. | 5/15/2007 | 649 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 623 | 0 | 623 |  | 1480 | 38\% | 1005 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |


| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Cervantes St. to Bayou Blvd. | 2/26/2009 | 1704 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1653 | 0 | 1653 |  | 3221 | 51\% | 1568 | 32 | 161 | 3543 | from SR295 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Chase St. to Cervantes St. | 1/22/2009 | 1378 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1390 | 0 | 1390 |  | 2955 | 43\% | 1861 | 30 | 148 | 3251 | No |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Langley Ave. to Creighton Rd. | 3/4/09 | 2761 | 1 | 1 | 2761 | 17 | 2778 |  | 3110 | 89\% | 332 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | Yes |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Creighton Rd. to Olive Rd. | 1/29/09 | 2332 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 2378 | 48 | 2426 |  | 3110 | 71\% | 995 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | NO |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Bayou Blvd. to Langley Ave | 3/3/09 | 1676 | 1 | 1 | 1676 | 23 | 1699 |  | 3110 | 55\% | 1411 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | Yes |
| CR186 | Kingsfield Road | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd. | 4/25/2007 | 582 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 565 | 97 | 662 |  | 1480 | 41\% | 966 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR186 | Kingsfield Road | CR 97 to US 29 | 11/18/2008 | 404 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 388 | 178 | 566 |  | 1480 | 35\% | 1062 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR186 | Kingsfield Road | Beulah Rd./CR 99 to CR 97 | 5/3/2005 | 102 | 0.99 | 1 | 101 | 0 | 101 |  | 1480 | 6\% | 1527 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Langley Avenue | Davis Hwy. to 9th Ave. | 5/8/2007 | 522 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 501 | 3 | 504 |  | 1480 | 31\% | 1124 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Langley Avenue | 9th Avenue to Scenic Hwy. | 1/20/09 | 998 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 997 | 18 | 1015 |  | 1480 | 62\% | 613 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | NO |
| CR480 | Leonard St. / St. Mary St. | Pace Blvd. to Palafox St. | 5/10/2007 | 397 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 381 | 9 | 390 |  | 3120 | 11\% | 3042 | 31 | 156 | 3432 | No |
| SR298 | Lillian Highway | ue Angel Pkwy to New Warrington F | 2/23/2009 | 977 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 938 | 81 | 1019 |  | 1560 | 59\% | 697 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | No |
| SR298 | Lillian Highway | US 98 to Blue Angel Pkwy. | 1/21/2009 | 783 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 760 | 56 | 816 |  | 1560 | 48\% | 900 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | No |
| County | Longleaf / Kemp / Diamond Dairy | Pine Forest Rd. to Pensacola Blvd. | 1/27/2009 | 686 | 0.99 | 1.02 | 693 | 45 | 738 |  | 1390 | 48\% | 791 | 14 | 70 | 1529 | No |
| City | Main Street | A St. to Baylen St. | 5/8/2007 | 1707 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1639 | 22 | 1661 |  | 3120 | 48\% | 1771 | 31 | 156 | 3432 | No |
| County | Massachusetts Avenue | Mobile Hwy to Pace Blvd. | 2/5/2009 | 790 | 0.97 | 1.02 | 782 | 190 | 972 |  | 1480 | 60\% | 656 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| SR296 | Michigan Ave. / Beverly | Mobile Hwy. to Pensacola | 2/25/09 | 2440 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 2391 | 77 | 2468 |  | 3390 | 73\% | 922 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | Yes |
| CR184 | Muscogee Road | CR 97 to US 29 | 4/30/2007 | 801 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 769 | 56 | 825 |  | 1480 | 51\% | 803 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR184 | Muscogee Road | Alabama State Line to CR 97 | 5/3/2005 | 269 | 0.99 | 1 | 266 | 0 | 266 |  | 1400 | 17\% | 1274 | 14 | 70 | 1540 | No |
| CR399 | Navarre Beach | ulf Island N.S. to Santa Rosa Co. Lir | 3/10/1999 | 223 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 219 | 0 | 219 |  | 1920 | 10\% | 1893 | 19 | 96 | 2112 | No |
| SR295 | Navy Blvd | NAS to Gulf Beach Hwy. | 3/26/2008 | 2712 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 2605 | 145 | 2750 |  | 4095 | 61\% | 1755 | 41 | 205 | 4505 | No |
| SR295 | Navy Blvd. | ulf Beach Hwy to New Warrington P | 5/19/2008 | 2499 | 0.98 | 0.97 | 2376 | 39 | 2415 |  | 4680 | 52\% | 2265 | 47 | 234 | 5148 | YES |
| SR30 | Navy Blvd. / US 98 | New Warrington Rd to Pace Blvd | 5/20/2008 | 1711 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1643 | 0 | 1643 |  | 3110 | 48\% | 1778 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | No |
| SR295 | New Warrington Road | Navy Blvd to Fairfield Dr. | 3/11/2009 | 2450 | 0.97 | 1 | 2377 | 154 | 2531 |  | 3390 | 75\% | 860 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | YES |
| SR295 | New Warrington Road / Leg C | New Warrington Rd. to Lillian Hwy. | 5/15/2007 | 498 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 478 | 8 | 486 |  | 1460 | 30\% | 1120 | 15 | 73 | 1606 | No |
| SR295 | New Warrington Road / Leg C | Lillian Hwy. to Mobile Hwy. | 5/15/2007 | 498 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 488 | 2 | 490 |  | 2750 | 16\% | 2535 | 28 | 138 | 3025 | No |
| SR10 | Nine Mile Road / US 90A | University Pkwy to Davis Hwy | 5/23/2007 | 1394 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1352 | 45 | 1397 |  | 3390 | 41\% | 1993 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | YES |
| SR1O | Nine Mile Road / US 90A | Pine Forest Rd. to US 29 | 1/29/09 | 1807 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 1878 | 585 | 2463 | AT | 2730 | 90\% | 267 | 27 | 137 | 3003 | Yes |
| SR10 | Nine Mile Road / US 90A | Mobile Hwy. to 1-10 | 1/20/09 | 422 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 426 | 1027 | 1453 | HP | 2010 | 72\% | 557 | 20 | 101 | 2211 | Yes |
| SR1O | Nine Mile Road / US 90A | US 29 to University Pkwy | 3/5/09 | 2968 | 0.98 | 1 | 2909 | 284 | 3193 | AT | 4560 | 70\% | 1367 | 46 | 228 | 5016 | Yes |
| SR1O | Nine Mile Road / US 90A | 1-10 to Pine Forest Rd. | 2/23/09 | 986 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 947 | 144 | 1091 |  | 1560 | 70\% | 469 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | Yes |
| CR297 | Old Chemstand Road | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd. | 4/26/2007 | 493 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 478 | 56 | 534 |  | 1480 | 33\% | 1094 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR295A | Old Corry Field Road | Navy Blvd. to Lillian Hwy. | 5/15/2007 | 801 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 777 | 11 | 788 |  | 1480 | 48\% | 840 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR295A | Old Corry Field Road | Barrancas Ave. to Navy Blvd. | 5/17/2007 | 704 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 676 | 26 | 702 |  | 1480 | 43\% | 926 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| SR290 | Olive Road | 9th Ave. to Scenic Hwy. | 4/23/2008 | 991 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 961 | 47 | 1008 |  | 1560 | 59\% | 708 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | No |
| SR290 | Olive Road | Palafox Hwy to Davis Hwy. | 1/29/09 | 1272 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 1297 | 125 | 1422 |  | 1610 | 80\% | 349 | 16 | 81 | 1771 | NO |
| SR290 | Olive Road | Davis Hwy. to 9th Ave. | 2009 | 1181 | 1 | 1.03 | 1216 | 83 | 1299 |  | 1610 | 73\% | 472 | 16 | 81 | 1771 | NO |
| SR292 | Pace Blvd. | Cervantes St. to Palafox St. | 5/23/2007 | 1816 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1762 | 20 | 1782 |  | 3390 | 53\% | 1608 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | YES |
| SR292 | Pace Blvd. | Garden St. to Cervantes St. | 5/14/2007 | 1600 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1568 | 7 | 1575 |  | 3270 | 48\% | 1695 | 33 | 164 | 3597 | YES |
| SR292 | Pace Blvd. | Barrancas Ave. to Garden St. | 5/13/2007 | 1133 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1099 | 23 | 1122 |  | 3270 | 34\% | 2148 | 33 | 164 | 3597 | YES |
| CR95A | Palafox Highway | US 29 (Cantonment) to US 29 (Molino) | 5/5/08 | 483 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 473 | 350 | 823 |  | 1400 | 53\% | 717 | 14 | 70 | 1540 | NO |
| CR95A | Palafox Street | Pensacola Blvd. to Nine Mile Rd. | 1/27/2009 | 1415 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1427 | 49 | 1476 |  | 1480 | 91\% | 152 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | NO |
| CR95A | Palafox Street / Hwy 95A | Nine Mile Rd. to US 29 (Cantonment | 3/19/2008 | 600 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 582 | 54 | 636 |  | 1480 | 39\% | 992 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Patricia Drive | Fairfield Dr. to Cerny Rd. | 2/3/2009 | 839 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 838 | 0 | 838 |  | 1480 | 51\% | 790 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR399 | Pensacola Beach Blvd. | Jia De Luna to Gulf Breeze City Lim | 7/21/2009 | 1966 | 0.98 | 1 | 1927 | 89 | 2016 |  | 3120 | 65\% | 1104 | 31 | 156 | 3432 | YES |
| SR292 | Perdido Key Drive | Vest End of State Park to River Roa | 4/17/2008 | 884 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 840 | 388 | 1228 |  | 1850 | 60\% | 807 | 19 | 93 | 2035 | No |
| SR292 | Perdido Key Drive | State Line to West End of State Pa | 3/2/2009 | 672 | 0.99 | 1 | 665 | 334 | 999 |  | 1771 | 51\% | 949 | 18 | 89 | 1948 | No |
| SR 292 | Perdido Key Drive | River Road to Innerarity Point Road | 3/2/09 | 1094 | 0.99 | 1 | 1083 | 1574 | 2657 | AP | 2530 | 95\% | 126 | 25 | 127 | 2783 | NO |
| SR297 | Pine Forest Road | I-10 to Nine Mile Rd. | 1/29/2009 | 2091 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 2111 | 88 | 2199 |  | 2210 | 90\% | 232 | 22 | 111 | 2431 | No |
| SR297 | Pine Forest Road | Mobile Hwy. to I-10 | 2/5/2009 | 2009 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 2007 | 386 | 2393 |  | 3390 | 71\% | 997 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | YES |
| CR297 | Pine Forest Road | Nine Mile Rd. to West Roberts Rd. | 1/27/09 | 1430 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1429 | 182 | 1611 |  | 1480 | 99\% | 17 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | NO |
| CR184 | Quintette Road | US 29 to Santa Rosa County Line | 1/20/2009 | 430 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 434 | 692 | 1126 |  | 1480 | 69\% | 502 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| CR296 | Saufley Field Road | Saufley Field to Mobile Hwy. * | 5/5/2008 | 1164 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1129 | 44 | 1173 |  | 2442 | 48\% | 1269 | 24 | 122 | 2686 | YES |
| SR292 | Sorrento Road | Innerarity Pt. Rd. to Blue Angel Pkwy. * | 2/11/09 | 1074 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1042 | 223 | 1265 | AP | 2320 | 55\% | 1055 | 23 | 116 | 2552 | Yes |


| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Cervantes St. to Bayou Blvd. | 2/26/2009 | 1704 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 1653 | 0 | 1653 |  | 3221 | 51\% | 1568 | 32 | 161 | 3543 | from SR295 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Chase St. to Cervantes St. | 1/22/2009 | 1378 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1390 | 0 | 1390 |  | 2955 | 43\% | 1861 | 30 | 148 | 3251 | No |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Langley Ave. to Creighton Rd. | 3/4/09 | 2761 | 1 | 1 | 2761 | 17 | 2778 |  | 3110 | 89\% | 332 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | Yes |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Creighton Rd. to Olive Rd. | 1/29/09 | 2332 | 0.99 | 1.03 | 2378 | 48 | 2426 |  | 3110 | 71\% | 995 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | NO |
| SR289 | 9th Avenue | Bayou Blvd. to Langley Ave | 3/3/09 | 1676 | 1 | 1 | 1676 | 23 | 1699 |  | 3110 | 55\% | 1411 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | Yes |
| County | Ten Mile Road | US 29 to UWF Boundary | 2/11/2009 | 843 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 818 | 212 | 1030 |  | 1480 | 63\% | 598 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Ten Mile Road | Stephani Rd. to US 29 | 4/25/2007 | 466 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 448 | 48 | 496 |  | 1480 | 30\% | 1132 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| SR752 | Texar Drive | Fairfield Dr. to 9th Ave. | 5/21/2007 | 846 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 812 | 5 | 817 |  | 3110 | 24\% | 2604 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | No |
| County | Tonawanda Drive | 61st Ave. to Mobile Hwy. | 4/30/2007 | 374 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 359 | 0 | 359 |  | 1480 | 22\% | 1269 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | Underwood Avenue | Langley Ave. to 9th Ave. | 4/19/2007 | 478 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 459 | 0 | 459 |  | 1480 | 28\% | 1169 | 15 | 74 | 1628 | No |
| County | University Pkwy. | Davis Hwy . to Nine Mile Rd. | 1/29/2009 | 2093 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 2133 | 53 | 2186 |  | 3120 | 64\% | 1246 | 31 | 156 | 3432 | No |
| County | University Pkwy. | Nine Mile Rd. to Campus Dr. | 5/3/2007 | 1219 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1171 | 32 | 1203 |  | 3120 | 35\% | 2229 | 31 | 156 | 3432 | No |
| SR95 | US 29 | Nell Line Rd. (Cantonment) to SR 9- | 10/21/2009 | 1211 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1163 | 553 | 1716 |  | 4190 | 41\% | 2474 | 42 | 210 | 4609 | YES |
| SR95 | US 29 | CR 4 to Alabama State Line | 5/22/2007 | 951 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 885 | 13 | 898 |  | 2470 | 36\% | 1572 | 25 | 124 | 2717 | YES |
| SR95 | US 29 | SR 97 to CR 4 | 4/21/2007 | 548 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 510 | 2 | 512 |  | 5140 | 10\% | 4628 | 51 | 257 | 5654 | YES |
| SR95 | US 29 | Nine Mile Rd. to Well Line Rd. | 1/20/09 | 2041 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 1999 | 434 | 2433 |  | 3390 | 72\% | 957 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | Yes |
| SR95 | US 29 / Palafox St. | Leonard St. to Massachusetts Ave. | 5/7/2007 | 1554 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1492 | 36 | 1528 |  | 3390 | 45\% | 1862 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | Fairfield |
| SR95 | US 29 / Palafox St. | Cervantes St. to Leonard St. | 5/21/2007 | 900 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 864 | 12 | 876 |  | 2955 | 27\% | 2375 | 30 | 148 | 3251 | No |
| SR95 | US 29 / Pensacola Blvd. | W Street to l-10 | 3/2/2009 | 3825 | 0.98 | 1 | 3749 | 136 | 3885 |  | 5080 | 76\% | 1196 | 51 | 254 | 5588 | YES |
| SR95 | US 29 / Pensacola Blvd. | hassachusetts Av / Pace Blvd to W $\$$ | 1/12/2009 | 3053 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 3050 | 233 | 3283 |  | 5080 | 65\% | 1797 | 51 | 254 | 5588 | YES |
| SR95 | US $29 /$ Pensacola Blvd. | $\mathrm{l}-10$ to Nine Mile Rd. | 4/3/2008 | 3384 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 3250 | 56 | 3306 |  | 5640 | 59\% | 2334 | 56 | 282 | 6204 | YES |
| SR10A | US 90 / Cervantes St. | $\mathrm{l}-110$ to DeSoto St. | 5/12/2008 | 1918 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 1842 | 1 | 1843 |  | 3110 | 59\% | 1267 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | YES |
| SR10A | US 90 / Mobile Hwy. | Edison Dr. to Fairfield Dr. | 2/5/2009 | 3221 | 0.98 | 1.02 | 3220 | 132 | 3352 |  | 4680 | 72\% | 1328 | 47 | 234 | 5148 | YES |
| SR10 | US 90 / Mobile Hwy. | Alabama State Line to Nine Mile Rd | 5/5/2005 | 499 | 1 | 0.11 | 55 | 0 | 55 |  | 1190 | 5\% | 1135 | 12 | 60 | 1309 | YES |
| SR1OA | US 90 / Mobile Hwy. | Pine Forest Rd. to Edison Dr. | 2/20/09 | 2490 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 2465 | 293 | 2758 |  | 3390 | 81\% | 632 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | Yes |
| SR1OA | US 90 / Mobile Hwy. | Nine Mile Rd. to Pine Fores Rd. | 2/3/09 | 580 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 579 | 432 | 1011 |  | 1490 | 68\% | 479 | 15 | 75 | 1639 | Yes |
| SR1OA | US 90 / Mobile Hwy. | Fairfield Dr to Pace Blvd. | 3/3/09 | 1797 | 0.99 | 1 | 1779 | 3 | 1782 |  | 3110 | 57\% | 1328 | 31 | 156 | 3421 | Yes |
| SR10A | US 90 / Scenic Hwy. | DeSoto St. to I-10 | 1/20/2009 | 1380 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 1339 | 1 | 1340 |  | 1560 | 86\% | 220 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | YES |
| SR1OA | US 90 / Scenic Hwy. | 1-10 to Davis Hwy. | 2/9/09 | 1436 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1435 | 86 | 1521 | AP | 1650 | 92\% | 129 | 17 | 83 | 1815 | Yes |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SR30/298 } \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | US 98 | Alabama State Line to Blue Angel Pkwy. | 1/21/09 | 928 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 936 | 190 | 1126 |  | 1560 | 72\% | 434 | 16 | 78 | 1716 | Yes |
| SR30/298B | US 98 / Dr. Farin Drive | Blue Angel Pkwy. to Navy Blvd. | 1/22/2009 | 1951 | 0.97 | 1.03 | 1949 | 161 | 2110 |  | 3390 | 62\% | 1280 | 34 | 170 | 3729 | YES |
| CR399 | Via De Luna | Pensacola Beach Blvd. to Gulf Island NS | 3/12/09 | 1153 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 1163 | 293 | 1456 |  | 3120 | 42\% | 1976 | 15 | 74 | 3432 | NO |
| CR453 | W Street | Cervantes St. to Fairfield Dr. | 1/15/2009 | 1247 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 1259 | 37 | 1296 |  | 2950 | 40\% | 1949 | 30 | 148 | 3245 | No |
| CR453 | W Street | Navy Blva. to Cervantes St. | 5/14/2007 | 1006 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 937 | 0 | 937 |  | 3120 | 27\% | 2495 | 31 | 156 | 3432 | No |
| County | Well Line Rd. / Santa Rosa Blvd. | Muscogee Rd. to US 29 | 5/5/2005 | 163 | 0.95 | 1 | 155 | 0 | 155 |  | 1390 | 10\% | 1374 | 14 | 70 | 1529 | No |
| CR453 | WStreet | Fairfield Dr to Pensacola Blvd. | 1/27/09 | 2054 | 0.98 | 1.03 | 2073 | 151 | 2224 |  | 2950 | 69\% | 1021 | 30 | 148 | 3245 | NO |
|  |  | Escambia County Office of Transportation \& Traffic Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | TRAFFIC VOLUME \& LEVEL OF SERVICE REPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Updated 10/11/10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Peak Hour Between 4 and 6 P.M. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX B

Growth Trends Analysis

TRAFFIC TRENDS
I-10 -- W SR 297 at State Line

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 156 |
| Highway: | $\mathrm{l}-10$ |



|  | ** Annual Trend Increase: | 80 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trend R-squared: | $5.2 \%$ |  |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | $0.30 \%$ |  |
| Trend Growth Rate (2010 to Design Year): | $0.23 \%$ |  |
| Printed: | 21-Jul-11 |  |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |  |


*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
I-10 -- E of SR 291

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 2015 |
| Highway: | $\mathrm{I}-10$ |




| Year | Traffic (ADTIAADT) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count* | Trend** |
| 2006 | 45000 | 43400 |
| 2007 | 44000 | 42700 |
| 2008 | 39000 | 41900 |
| 2009 | 36500 | 41200 |
| 2010 | 45000 | 40400 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2021 Opening Year Trend |  |  |
| 2021 | N/A | 32200 |
| 2022 Mid-Year Trend |  |  |
| 2022 | N/A | 31400 |
| 2023 Design Year Trend |  |  |
| 2023 | N/A | 30700 |
| TRANPLAN Forecasts/Trends |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
I-110 -- South of I-10


| ** Annual Trend Increase: | 100 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trend R-squared: | $50.0 \%$ |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | $0.16 \%$ |
| Trend Growth Rate (2010 to Design Year): | $0.16 \%$ |
| Printed: | $21-J u l-11$ |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |


*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
I-110 -- South of Maxwell St

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 2017 |
| Highway: | $\mathrm{I}-110$ |




| Year | Traffic (ADTIAADT) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count* | Trend** |
| 2006 | 52000 | 53300 |
| 2007 | 53000 | 52400 |
| 2008 | 53000 | 51500 |
| 2009 | 51000 | 50600 |
| 2010 | 48500 | 49700 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2021 Opening Year Trend |  |  |
| 2021 | N/A | 39800 |
| 2022 Mid-Year Trend |  |  |
| 2022 | N/A | 38900 |
| 2023 Design Year Trend |  |  |
| 2023 | N/A | 38000 |
| TRANPLAN Forecasts/Trends |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
US 29 -- N of US 90A



*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
US 29 -- S of l-10

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 4037 |
| Highway: | US 29 |




*Axle-Adjusted

## TRAFFIC TRENDS

US 29 -- S of SR 296

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 4038 |
| Highway: | US 29 |




*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
SR 297 -- South of I-10

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 4063 |
| Highway: | SR 297 |




| Year | Traffic (ADTIAADT) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count* | Trend** |
| 2006 | 30000 | 31900 |
| 2007 | 34500 | 31100 |
| 2008 | 29500 | 30300 |
| 2009 | 28500 | 29500 |
| 2010 | 29000 | 28700 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2021 Opening Year Trend |  |  |
| 2021 | N/A | 19900 |
| 2022 Mid-Year Trend |  |  |
| 2022 | N/A | 19100 |
| 2023 Design Year Trend |  |  |
| 2023 | N/A | 18300 |
| TRANPLAN Forecasts/Trends |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
SR 10 -- East of SR 10A

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 145 |
| Highway: | SR 10 |



| ** Annual Trend Increase: | 100 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trend R-squared: | $18.1 \%$ |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | $2.44 \%$ |
| Trend Growth Rate (2010 to Design Year): | $2.22 \%$ |
| Printed: | $21-\mathrm{Jul}-11$ |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |


*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
SR 10 -- East of C95A

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 4052 |
| Highway: | SR 10 |




| Year | Traffic (ADTIAADT) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count* | Trend** |
| 2006 | 44500 | 42400 |
| 2007 | 38500 | 40200 |
| 2008 | 36000 | 37900 |
| 2009 | 36000 | 35700 |
| 2010 | 34500 | 33400 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2021 Opening Year Trend |  |  |
| 2021 | N/A | 8700 |
| 2022 Mid-Year Trend |  |  |
| 2022 | N/A | 6400 |
| 2023 Design Year Trend |  |  |
| 2023 | N/A | 4200 |
| TRANPLAN Forecasts/Trends |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Axle-Adjusted

## TRAFFIC TRENDS

SR 10 -- East of DOT Maintenance Yard

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 4046 |
| Highway: | SR 10 |




| Year | Traffic (ADTIAADT) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count* | Trend** |
| 2006 | 41000 | 42600 |
| 2007 | 43000 | 41100 |
| 2008 | 39500 | 39600 |
| 2009 | 39000 | 38100 |
| 2010 | 35500 | 36600 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2021 Opening Year Trend |  |  |
| 2021 | N/A | 20100 |
| 2022 Mid-Year Trend |  |  |
| 2022 | N/A | 18600 |
| 2023 Design Year Trend |  |  |
| 2023 | N/A | 17100 |
| TRANPLAN Forecasts/Trends |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
SR 10A -- West of CR 297

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 105 |
| Highway: | SR 10A |



|  | ** Annual Trend Increase: | -80 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trend R-squared: | $57.1 \%$ |  |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | $-0.75 \%$ |  |
| Trend Growth Rate (2010 to Design Year): | $-0.79 \%$ |  |
| Printed: | $21-\mathrm{Jul}-11$ |  |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |  |


*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
SR 10A -- SE of SR 297

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 4002 |
| Highway: | SR 10A |



|  | ** Annual Trend Increase: | -800 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trend R-squared: | $80.0 \%$ |  |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | $-3.01 \%$ |  |
| Trend Growth Rate (2010 to Design Year): | $-3.42 \%$ |  |
| Printed: | $21-\mathrm{Jul}-11$ |  |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |  |


*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
SR 10A -- NW of SR 727

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 5062 |
| Highway: | SR 10A |




*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
SR 173 -- West of SR 297

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 5316 |
| Highway: | SR 173 |




*Axle-Adjusted

## TRAFFIC TRENDS

CR 297A -- South End of 11-Mile Creek Bridge

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 4060 |
| Highway: | CR 297A |



| ** Annual Trend Increase: | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trend R-squared: | $0.0 \%$ |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | $0.00 \%$ |
| Trend Growth Rate (2010 to Design Year): | $0.00 \%$ |
| Printed: | 21-Jul-11 |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |


*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
CR 297A -- South of CR 184

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 418 |
| Highway: | CR 297A |



|  | ** Annual Trend Increase: | -40 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trend R-squared: | $4.8 \%$ |  |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | $-0.66 \%$ |  |
| Trend Growth Rate (2010 to Design Year): | $-1.25 \%$ |  |
| Printed: | $21-\mathrm{Jul}-11$ |  |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |  |


*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
CR 97 -- South of CR 184

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 419 |
| Highway: | CR 97 |




*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
CR 97 -- NW of SR 95

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 447 |
| Highway: | CR 97 |




*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
CR 184 -- SW of CR 97

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 501 |
| Highway: | CR 184 |



| ** Annual Trend Increase: | -70 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trend R-squared: | $55.7 \%$ |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | $-2.27 \%$ |
| Trend Growth Rate (2010 to Design Year): | $-2.31 \%$ |
| Printed: | $21-J u l-11$ |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |


| Year | Traffic (ADTIAADT) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count* | Trend** |
| 2006 | 3300 | 3300 |
| 2007 | 3200 | 3200 |
| 2008 | 3100 | 3100 |
| 2009 | 2900 | 3100 |
| 2010 | 3100 | 3000 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2021 Opening Year Trend |  |  |
| 2021 | N/A | 2200 |
| 2022 Mid-Year Trend |  |  |
| 2022 | N/A | 2100 |
| 2023 Design Year Trend |  |  |
| 2023 | N/A | 2100 |
| TRANPLAN Forecasts/Trends |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
CR 184 -- West of CR 297A

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 436 |
| Highway: | CR 184 |



|  | ** Annual Trend Increase: | 50 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trend R-squared: | $9.9 \%$ |  |
| Trend Annual Historic Growth Rate: | $0.79 \%$ |  |
| Trend Growth Rate (2010 to Design Year): | $0.71 \%$ |  |
| Printed: | $21-\mathrm{Jul}-11$ |  |
| Straight Line Growth Option |  |  |


*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
CR 184 -- West of US 29

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 435 |
| Highway: | CR 184 |




*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
C95A -- North of Airport Blvd

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 5072 |
| Highway: | C95A |




*Axle-Adjusted

TRAFFIC TRENDS
c95A -- South of SR 10

| County: | Escambia |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station \#: | 4051 |
| Highway: | C95A |




*Axle-Adjusted

|  | State Roads |  | County Roads |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trends | R-square | Trends | R -square |
|  | 0.30\% | 5.2\% | 0.00\% | 0.0\% |
|  | -1.73\% | 9.2\% | -0.66\% | 4.8\% |
|  | 16.00\% | 50.0\% | -1.92\% | 90.0\% |
|  | -1.69\% | 57.9\% | 4.79\% | 49.4\% |
|  | -2.08\% | 63.4\% | -2.27\% | 55.7\% |
|  | -2.60\% | 38.4\% | 0.79\% | 9.9\% |
|  | -2.50\% | 26.4\% | -3.73\% | 53.0\% |
|  | -2.51\% | 27.5\% | -2.87\% | 40.0\% |
|  | 2.44\% | 18.1\% | -6.51\% | 79.1\% |
|  | -5.31\% | 80.7\% |  |  |
|  | -3.52\% | 73.3\% |  |  |
|  | -0.75\% | 57.1\% |  |  |
|  | -3.01\% | 80.0\% |  |  |
|  | -2.25\% | 12.6\% |  |  |
|  | -1.64\% | 92.9\% |  |  |
| Average All | -0.72\% |  | -1.55\% |  |
| Average w/R-square > 50\% | -0.25\% |  | -1.93\% |  |

## APPENDIX C

Planned and Programmed Improvements

## Cost Feasible Plan Report

# FLORIDA-ALABAMA 2035 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN OR BLUEPRINT 2035 

## Prepared for

# Florida-Alabama Transportation Planning Organization and The Florida Department of Transportation, District Three 

Prepared by

West Florida Regional Planning Council<br>Staff to the Florida-Alabama<br>Transportation Planning Organization

February 2011

This report was financed in part by the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, the Florida Department of Transportation, and local participating governments, in partial fulfillment of UPWP Work Task C. 2

## Adopted Cost Feasible Plan





Florida Alabama TPO Transportation Improvement Program - FY 2010/11-2014/15

Section 2-Capacity

## 2224771 <br> SR 8 (I-10)



| Work Summary: |  <br> RECONSTRUCT |
| :--- | :--- |
| LRTP Number: | 13 (page 7-9) |
| Lead Agency: | FDOT |


| From: | FROM SR 291 DAVIS HIGHWAY |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | TO SR 10A (US 90) SCENIC |
| Length: | 2.886 |


| Phase | Fund Source | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PE (31) | DIH | 54,883 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54,883 |
| PDE (21) | DIH | 996 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 996 |
| ROW (41) | ACNH | 0 | 0 | 308,798 | 0 | 0 | 308,798 |
| ROW (43) | ACNH | 0 | 0 | 10,896,122 | 2,953,897 | 0 | 13,850,019 |
| ROW (4B) | ACNH | 0 | 0 | 889,696 | 0 | 0 | 889,696 |
| ROW (45) | ACNH | 0 | 0 | 343,815 | 90,376 | 0 | 434,191 |
| CST (52) | ACNH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,347,682 | 25,347,682 |
| CEI (62) | GMR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,753,715 | 4,753,715 |
| CST (52) | GMR | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,189,471 | 22,189,471 |
| CEI (61) | ACNH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 385,526 | 385,526 |
| Total |  | 55,879 | 0 | 12,438,431 | 3,044,273 | 52,676,394 | 68,214,977 |

Project Description: SIS Project Priority \#2


APPENDIX D
Detailed Development Program


MillerSellen
225 East Robinson Street, Suite 300 Orlando, Florida 32801 | 407.839 .4006

| Legend |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | DSAP Boundary |
|  | Regional Employment |
|  | Town Center |
|  | Village Center |
|  | Neighborhood Center |
|  | Traditional Village |
|  | Traditional Garden |
|  | Suburban Garden |
|  | Conservation Neighborhood |
|  | Public |
|  | Low-Impact Natural Resource Area |
|  | Conservation |
| $17 / \pi$ | Proposed Bee Line Corridor |
| = | Existing ROW |
| $=$ = = = | Proposed ROW |
| +1 | Railroad |
| P | Elementary / Middle School |
|  | High School |
| 己 | Community Park |
| 令 | Regional Park |

Notes:

1. Location and extent of Low-impact Natural Resource Areas are approximate and subject to change pursuant to permitting through the Northwest Florida Water Management District.
2. Proposed roadway alignments are conceptual and subject to further refinement and permitting
The Potential Beeline Corridor is conceptual in nature and not intended to depict a pre-determined alignment.
3. Public park and school sites have been preliminarily located base upon calculated demand at build-out and proximity to population centers. The identified sites are subject to approval and acquisition by the appropriate governing authority and may change accordingly.

| LAND USE | DEV. ACRES | LOW DEN. | MED. DEN. | HIGH DEN. | UNITS |  |  | MAX. NON-RES. SQ. FT. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | LOW | MEDIUM | HIGH |  |
| Conservation Neighborhood | 3,934.8 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 393 | 3,934 | 11,804 | 0 |
| Suburban Garden | 1,772.9 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 5,318 | 8,864 | 17,729 | 0 |
| Traditional Garden | 594.9 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 2,974 | 4,164 | 8,923 | 0 |
| Traditional Village | 248.1 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 1,736 | 2,977 | 4,961 | 0 |
| Village Center* | 84.2 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 176 | 378 | 631 | 400,000 |
| Town Center ** | 300.0 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 1,200 | 1,800 | 3,000 | 1,200,000 |
| Regional Employment District*** | 1,738.2 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 869 | 1,303 | 1,738 | 10,500,000 |
| Neighborhood Center | 20.2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 60,000 |
| Utility | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS: | 8,693.3 |  |  | , | 12,766 | 23,520 | 48,886 | 12,160,000 |

** Density assumptions in the Venter Centers are applied to $30 \%$ of developable acreage
*** Density assumptions in the Regional Employment Districts are applied to $5 \%$ of developable acreage
NOTE: DIFFERENCES IN TOTAL UNITS FROM THAT IN DETAILD DENSITY CHART ARE DUE TO ROUNDING.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PARCEL } \\ & \text { NUMBER } \end{aligned}$ | residental land use | dev. Acres | Low den. | med. den. | High den. | Low | UNTT | HIGH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Conservation Neighborhood | 190.5 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 19 | 190 | 571 |
| 2 | Conseration Neighborhood | 94.9 | ${ }_{0} 0.1$ | 1 | 3 | 9 | ${ }_{94}$ | 284 |
| 3 | Suburban Garden | ${ }_{62.5}$ | 3 | 5 | 10 | ${ }_{187}$ | ${ }_{312}$ | ${ }_{624}$ |
| 4 | Suburban Garden | 29.8 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 89 | 149 | 298 |
| 5 | Suburban Garden | 12.1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 36 | 60 | 120 |
| ${ }^{6}$ | Suburban Garden | 11.6 | 3 | 5 | 10 | ${ }^{34}$ | 57 | 115 |
| 7 | Traditional Garden | ${ }^{6} .8$ | 5 | 7 | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | ${ }^{47}$ | 102 |
| ${ }^{8}$ | Conseration Neighborhood | 178.9 | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 1 | 3 | 17 | 178 | 536 |
| 9 | Conseration Neighborhood | 79.7 | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 1 | 3 | 7 | 79 | 239 |
| 10 | Suburban Garden | 65.3 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 195 | 326 | 652 |
| 11 | Conseration Neighborhood | 60.7 | ${ }_{0} 0.1$ | 1 |  | 6 | ${ }_{60}$ | 181 |
| 12 | Conseration Neighborhood | 83.2 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 83 | 249 |
| 13 | Conseration Neighborhood | 10.2 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 30 |
| 14 | Conseration Neighborhood | 276.7 | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 1 | 3 | 27 | 276 | 830 |
| 15 | Suburban Garden | 57.0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 171 | 285 | 570 |
| 16 | Suburban Garden | 25.3 | 3 | 5 | 10 | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{126}$ | 253 |
| 17 | Suburban Garden | 3.5 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 17 | ${ }^{34}$ |
| 18 | Neighbortood Center | 5.0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{25}$ | 25 | 25 |
| 19 | Suburban Garden | 5.9 | 3 | 5 | 10 | ${ }^{17}$ | 29 | 58 |
| 20 | Suburban Garden | 8.5 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 42 | 84 |
| 21 | Suburban Garden | 114.1 | 3 | 5 | 10 | ${ }_{342}$ | 570 | $\stackrel{1,140}{1}$ |
| 22 | Conseration Neighborhood | 139.5 | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 1 | 3 | 13 | 139 | 418 |
| ${ }^{23}$ | Suburban Garden | 38.2 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 114 | 190 | 381 |
| 24 | Suburban Garden | 74.6 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 223 | 372 | 745 |
| 25 | Suburban Garden | 26.4 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 79 | 131 | 263 |
| ${ }^{26}$ | Traditional Garden | 58.1 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 290 | 406 | ${ }^{871}$ |
| 27 | village Center* | 40.0 |  | 15 | 25 | 84 | 180 | 300 |
| 28 | Traditional Garden | 31.8 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 159 | 222 | 477 |
| 29 | Conseration Neighborhood | 279.9 | ${ }^{0} 1$ | 1 | 3 | ${ }_{27}$ | 279 | 839 |
| 30 | Conseration Neighborthood | 301.3 | ${ }_{0} 0.1$ | 1 | 3 | 30 | 301 | ${ }_{903}$ |
| ${ }^{31}$ | Suburban Garden | 73.6 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 220 | 367 | 735 |
| 32 | Suburban Garden | 61.4 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 184 | 307 | 614 |
| 33 | Suburban Garden | 74.9 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 224 | 374 | 748 |
| ${ }^{34}$ | Traditional Garden | ${ }^{23.7}$ | 5 | 7 | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }_{118}^{118}$ | ${ }^{166}$ | 355 |
| 35 | Suburban Garden | 36.3 <br> 384 | 3 | 5 | 10 | ${ }^{108}$ | ${ }^{181}$ | 362 <br> 304 |
| ${ }_{3}^{36}$ | Suburban Garden | 38.4 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 115 | ${ }^{192}$ | ${ }^{384}$ |
| ${ }^{37}$ | Conservation Neieghbortood | ${ }_{9} 94.7$ | ${ }_{0} 0.1$ | 1 | 3 | 9 | 94 | 283 |
| 38 | Conseration Neighborhood | 68.7 | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 1 |  | ${ }^{6}$ | 68 | 206 |
| 39 | Suburban Garden | 57.4 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 172 | 286 | 573 |
| 40 | Traditional Garden | 63.2 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 316 | 442 | 948 |
| 41 | Traditional Village | 99.5 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 696 | 1,194 | 1.990 |
| 42 | Suburban Garden | 36.0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 108 | 180 | 360 |
| 43 <br> 44 | Suburba Garden | 104.7 <br> 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 314 | ${ }^{523}$ | ${ }^{1,046}$ |
| $\stackrel{44}{45}$ | Suburban Garden | ${ }^{2.3}$ | 3 | 5 | ${ }^{10}$ | 6 | 11 | 22 |
| $\stackrel{45}{46}$ |  | ${ }_{6}^{6.5}$ | 10 | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| ${ }_{46}^{46}$ | ${ }_{\text {Regional Employment*** }}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | $\begin{array}{r}71.9 \\ \hline 129\end{array}$ | 10 | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{35}$ | ${ }_{5}^{53}$ | ${ }_{11} 71$ |
| 47 | Regional Employment*** | 124.3 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 62 | 93 | 124 |
| ${ }^{48}$ | Regional Employment** | 80.4 | 10 | 15 | 20 | ${ }^{40}$ | ${ }^{60}$ | 80 |
| 49 | Neighbortood Center | 5.1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 50 | Conseration Neighborhood | 5.0 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | , | 5 | 15 |
| 51 | Conseration Neighborhood | 238.9 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | ${ }^{23}$ | 238 | 716 |
| 52 | Conseration Neighborhood | 342.9 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | ${ }^{34}$ | 342 | 1.028 |
| 53 | Conseration Neighborhood | 38.3 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | ${ }^{38}$ | 114 |
| 54 <br> 55 | Conseration Neieibhorhood | $\underline{2.7}$ | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 5 | 3 | ${ }^{0}$ | $\stackrel{2}{211}$ | 8 |
| 5 | Suburban Garden | ${ }^{42.4}$ | 3 | 5 | ${ }^{10}$ | ${ }^{127}$ | ${ }^{211}$ | ${ }_{623}^{423}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r}56 \\ \hline 57\end{array}$ | Suburban Garden | 64.7 <br> 78 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 193 | ${ }^{323}$ | 646 |
| 57 | Suburban Garden | 77.8 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 233 | 388 | 777 |
| 58 | Traditional Garden | 61.2 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 305 | 428 | 917 |
| 59 | Traditional Garden | 21.2 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 105 | 148 | 317 |
| 60 | Town Center** | 190.2 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 760 | 1,141 | 1,901 |
| 61 | Traditional Garden | 13.6 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 67 | 95 | 203 |
| 62 | Town Center** | 32.0 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 128 | 192 | 320 |
| ${ }_{6}^{63}$ | Town Center** | 77.8 <br> 7.2 | 10 | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{25}$ | ${ }^{311}$ | ${ }^{466}$ | ${ }_{5}^{778}$ |
| 64 | Traditional Village | 27.2 | 7 | 12 | ${ }^{20}$ | 190 | ${ }^{326}$ | 543 |
| 65 | Traditional Village | 28.5 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 199 | ${ }^{342}$ | 570 |
| 66 | Suburban Garden | 75.3 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 225 | 376 | ${ }^{753}$ |
| 67 | Conseration Neighborhood | 147.4 | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 1 | 3 | 14 | 147 | ${ }^{442}$ |
| ${ }^{68}$ | Suburban Garden | 71.0 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 212 | 354 | 709 |
| 69 | Suburban Garden | 19.8 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 59 | 99 | 198 |
| 70 | Traditional Village | 11.5 | 7 | 12 | 20 | ${ }^{80}$ | ${ }^{137}$ | 229 |
| ${ }^{71}$ | Traditional Village | 10.1 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 70 | 120 | 201 |
| 72 | Traditional Garden | 65.7 | 5 | 7 | 15 | ${ }^{328}$ | 459 | 984 |
| 73 74 7 | Suburan Garden | $\begin{array}{r}84.0 \\ \hline 105 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5 | 5 | 10 | ${ }^{252}$ | ${ }^{420}$ | 840 <br> 1592 |
| 74 | Traditional Garden | 105.5 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 527 | ${ }^{738}$ | ${ }^{1,582}$ |
| 75 | Traditional Garden | 58.9 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 294 | ${ }^{412}$ | ${ }_{883}$ |
| 76 77 77 | Traditional Carden | 10.6 <br> 28 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 7 | 15 10 | 52 <br> 86 | 74 149 | 158 |
| 78 | Traditional Garden | 44.1 | 5 | 7 | 15 | ${ }_{280}^{820}$ | (144 | ${ }_{661}^{288}$ |
| 79 | Traditional Village | 39.0 | 7 | 12 | 20 | 272 | 467 | 779 |
| 80 | Village Center** | 8.5 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 17 | 38 | 63 |
| ${ }^{81}$ | Village Center* | 35.6 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 74 | 160 | 267 |
| ${ }^{82}$ | Traditional Vilage | 32.4 <br> 33 | 3 | ${ }_{5}^{12}$ | 20 | ${ }^{226}$ | ${ }^{388}$ | ${ }_{647}^{633}$ |
| 83 84 88 | Suburban Garden Suburban Garden | 33.3 <br> 3.5 | 3 | 5 | 10 10 | 99 | ${ }_{1}^{166}$ | 333 <br> 34 |
| ${ }_{85}$ | Conservation Neighbormood | ${ }_{180.3}^{3.5}$ | ${ }_{0} 0.1$ | 1 | ${ }^{10}$ | ${ }_{18}^{10}$ | 17 <br> 180 | 34 <br> 540 |
| ${ }^{86}$ | Utility | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 87 | Suburban Garden | 20.9 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 62 | 104 | 208 |
| \%88 | Suburban Garden | 18.6 | 3 | 5 | 10 | ${ }_{5} 5$ | ${ }^{93}$ | 186 |
| 89 <br> 90 | $\frac{\text { Conservation Neibhborhood }}{\text { Conseration Neighoriood }}$ | 7.5 <br> 214.2 | ${ }_{0}^{0.1}$ | 1 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | ${ }_{21}^{0}$ | $\stackrel{7}{214}$ | 22 <br> 642 |
| 91 | Suburban Garden | 82.8 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 248 | 414 | 828 |
| ${ }^{92}$ | Traditional Garden | 30.5 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 152 | ${ }^{213}$ | 457 |
| 93 | Neieghorthod Center | 5.0 <br> 2.0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{25}$ | ${ }^{25}$ | ${ }^{25}$ |
| ${ }_{9}^{95}$ | $\frac{\text { Conseration Neiehborhood }}{\text { Conseration Neibhborbood }}$ | 26.3 | ${ }_{0}^{0.1}$ | 1 | 3 | 2 | ${ }^{26}$ | ${ }_{28} 78$ |
| 95 96 | $\frac{\text { Conservation Neieghborhood }}{\text { Conseration Neighorhood }}$ | 95.1 60.8 | ${ }_{0.1}^{0.1}$ | 1 | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 6 | 95 <br> 60 | 285 <br> 182 <br> 1 |
| ${ }_{97}$ | Conseravation Neighborroood | 10.6.0 | ${ }_{0}^{0.1}$ | 1 | 3 | 10 | 104 | 182 <br> 314 |
| 98 | Regional Emplomment*** | 44.8 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 22 | ${ }^{33}$ | 44 |
| 99 | Regional Employment** | 265.3 | 10 | 15 | 20 | ${ }^{132}$ | 198 | 265 |
| 100 101 | $\frac{\text { Regional Employment*** }}{\text { Regional } \text { mployment** }}$ | 28.6 <br> 19.1 | ${ }_{10}^{10}$ | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | ${ }^{14}$ | ${ }_{14}^{21}$ | ${ }_{19}^{28}$ |
| 102 | Regional Employment** | 33.3 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 16 | 24 | 33 |
| ${ }^{103}$ | Neishborhood Center | 5.0 <br> 80 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{24}$ |
| 104 <br> 105 |  | ${ }_{8}^{8.0}$ | 10 | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | 3 | 5 | 7 |
| 105 <br> 106 |  | 48.2 33.1 | 10 10 | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | 20 20 | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{36}$ | 48 <br> 38 <br> 1 |
| 107 | Resional mploloyment*** | ${ }_{71.7}$ | 10 | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | 20 | ${ }_{35}^{16}$ | ${ }_{53}^{24}$ | ${ }_{71}{ }^{33}$ |
| 108 | Regional Employment*** | 5.6 | 10 | 15 | ${ }^{20}$ | 2 | 4 | 5 |
| 109 110 | Regional Employment*** | 93.6 | 10 | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }_{4}^{46}$ | 70 | 93 |
| 110 111 | Regional Employment*** | 4.8 <br> 13.8 <br> 1 | 10 10 | 15 15 | $\stackrel{20}{20}$ | 2 | 3 10 | ${ }_{13}^{4}$ |
| 112 | Regional Employment** | 187.8 | 10 | 15 | 20 | ${ }_{9}$ | ${ }_{10} 10$ | 187 |
| ${ }^{1113}$ |  | $\stackrel{23.3}{235}$ | 10 | ${ }_{15}^{15}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{11}$ | 17 | ${ }^{23}$ |
| ${ }^{114}$ | Regional Employment** | 83.5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | ${ }_{4}^{41}$ | 62 | ${ }^{83}$ |
| $\frac{115}{116}$ | $\frac{\text { Suburan Garden }}{\text { Regional mployment** }}$ | $\frac{13.9}{1.5}$ | 3 10 | ${ }_{5}^{5}$ | 10 20 | ${ }_{41}^{41}$ | $\stackrel{69}{1}$ | ${ }^{138}$ |
| 117 | Regional Employment*********) | $\stackrel{1298}{ }$ | 10 | 15 | 20 | 64 | 97 | 129 |
| ${ }^{118}$ | Regional Employment** | 35.4 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 17 | 26 | 35 |
| 119 <br> 112 | Regional Employment*** | 311.8 | 10 | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{155}$ | 233 | 311 |
| 120 121 |  | 10.5 1.8 | 10 10 | 15 15 | ${ }_{20}^{20}$ | 5 | 1 | 10 |
| 122 | Conservation Neighborhood | 46.1 | ${ }_{0} 0.1$ | 15 | 20 | 4 | 46 | ${ }_{1}^{138}$ |
| ${ }^{123}$ | Conservation Neieghborhood | 17.2 <br> 3.2 | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 51 |
| 122 125 125 | $\frac{\text { Conservation Neieibhorhood }}{\text { Conseration Neighornood }}$ | 33.7 <br> 35.2 | 0.1 0.1 | 1 | 3 | $3_{3}^{3}$ | 33 <br> 35 | 101 <br> 105 <br> 1 |
| ${ }_{126}^{126}$ | $\frac{\text { Conseveratoon Neieghornood }}{\text { Conseration Neighbormod }}$ | 35.2 <br> 73 | ${ }_{0}^{0.1}$ | 1 | ${ }_{3}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 35 <br> 73 | 105 219 |
| ${ }^{127}$ | Conseration Neighborhood | 247.8 | ${ }^{0.1}$ | 1 | ${ }^{3}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | ${ }^{247}$ | ${ }_{7} 73$ |
| 128 <br> 129 | Suburban Garden | 72.5 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 217 | 362 | 124 |
| 129 130 | $\frac{\text { Suburban Garden }}{\text { Suburban Garden }}$ | $\frac{16.3}{8.3}$ | 3 | 5 | 10 10 | ${ }^{48}$ | ${ }_{81}^{81}$ | ${ }_{82}^{162}$ |
| 131 | Suburran Garden | ${ }_{1} 17.6$ | 3 | 5 | 10 | ${ }_{52}^{24}$ | ${ }_{8}^{41}$ | ${ }_{175}^{82}$ |
|  |  | $\frac{79.2}{8,611.7}$ | 0.1 | 1 | 3 | $\frac{7}{12,685}$ | $\stackrel{79}{23,372}$ | $\stackrel{237}{48,560}$ |

* Density assumptions in the Venter Centers are applied to $30 \%$ of developable acreage
$* *$ Density assumptions in the Town Centers are applied to $40 \%$ of develoopable acreage
** Density assumptions in the Town Centers are applied to $40 \%$ of develoopable acreage
$* * *$ Density assumptions in the Regional Employment Districts are applied to $5 \%$ of developable acreage

ESCAMBIA COUNTY - DSAP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM CALCULATIONS

| PARCEL NUMBER | NON-RESIDENTIAL LAND USE | DEV. ACRES | MAX. <br> FAR PER SITE | MAX. <br> NON-RES. SQ. FT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | Neighborhood Center | 5.0 | 0.25 | 15,000 |
| 27 | Village Center | 40.0 | 0.50 | 200,000 |
| 45 | Regional Employment | 6.5 | 0.50 | 57,478 |
| 46 | Regional Employment | 71.9 | 0.50 | 634,999 |
| 47 | Regional Employment | 124.3 | 0.50 | 1,097,740 |
| 48 | Regional Employment | 80.4 | 0.50 | 709,783 |
| 49 | Neighborhood Center | 5.1 | 0.25 | 15,000 |
| 60 | Town Center | 190.2 | 1.00 | 760,578 |
| 62 | Town Center | 32.0 | 1.00 | 128,143 |
| 63 | Town Center | 77.8 | 1.00 | 311,279 |
| 80 | Village Center | 8.5 | 0.50 | 38,587 |
| 81 | Village Center | 35.6 | 0.50 | 161,413 |
| 93 | Neighborhood Center | 5.0 | 0.25 | 15,000 |
| 98 | Regional Employment | 37.8 | 0.50 | 208,569 |
| 99 | Regional Employment | 265.3 | 0.50 | 1,465,786 |
| 100 | Regional Employment | 28.6 | 0.50 | 158,181 |
| 101 | Regional Employment | 19.1 | 0.50 | 105,252 |
| 102 | Regional Employment | 33.3 | 0.50 | 183,762 |
| 103 | Neighborhood Center | 5.0 | 0.50 | 15,000 |
| 104 | Regional Employment | 8.0 | 0.25 | 44,090 |
| 105 | Regional Employment | 48.2 | 0.50 | 266,140 |
| 106 | Regional Employment | 33.1 | 0.50 | 182,712 |
| 107 | Regional Employment | 71.7 | 0.50 | 396,088 |
| 108 | Regional Employment | 5.6 | 0.50 | 30,940 |
| 109 | Regional Employment | 93.6 | 0.50 | 516,865 |
| 110 | Regional Employment | 4.8 | 0.50 | 26,631 |
| 111 | Regional Employment | 13.8 | 0.50 | 76,245 |
| 112 | Regional Employment | 187.8 | 0.50 | 1,037,542 |
| 113 | Regional Employment | 23.3 | 0.50 | 128,622 |
| 114 | Regional Employment | 83.5 | 0.50 | 461,394 |
| 116 | Regional Employment | 1.5 | 0.50 | 8,343 |
| 117 | Regional Employment | 129.8 | 0.50 | 717,257 |
| 118 | Regional Employment | 35.4 | 0.50 | 195,586 |
| 119 | Regional Employment | 311.8 | 0.50 | 1,722,535 |
| 120 | Regional Employment | 10.5 | 0.50 | 57,736 |
| 121 | Regional Employment | 1.8 | 0.50 | 9,724 |
|  | TOTALS: | 2,135.5 | Sas | 12,160,000 |


| TAZ No. | Parcel No. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 350 | 1-3,19 |
| 351 | 11-18 |
| 352 | 4-10,20 |
| 353 | 21-25 |
| 354 | 28 |
| 355 | 26-27,41 |
| 356 | 42 |
| 357 | 40 |
| 358 | 43 |
| 359 | 48-49 |
| 360 | 38-39 |
| 361 | 44-46 |
| 362 | 47 |
| 363 | 50-52 |
| 364 | 53-56 |
| 365 | 60 |
| 366 | 33-34 |
| 367 | 35-37 |
| 368 | 63 |
| 369 | 31 |
| 370 | 29 |
| 371 | 30 |
| 372 | 32 |
| 373 | 132 |
| 374 | 128-131 |
| 375 | 125-127 |
| 376 | 123-124 |
| 377 | 118 |
| 378 | 116-117 |
| 379 | 114 |
| 380 | 68-71,115 |
| 381 | 66-67 |
| 382 | 62,64-65 |
| 383 | 72-75 |
| 384 | 59,61 |
| 385 | 57-58,76-78 |
| 386 | 119-121 |
| 387 | 122 |
| 388 | 79-80,112-113 |
| 389 | 107-111 |
| 390 | 81,87-89 |
| 391 | 82-86 |
| 392 | 90-95 |
| 393 | 99 |
| 394 | 96-97 |
| 395 | 98,100,102-104 |
| 396 | 101,105-106 |

## APPENDIX E

NWFRPM Trip Generation


| Q \& |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| すুల0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 M్ల 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| N్లు 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| J-j00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| প্লী00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| ®® <br>  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ¢్ల్ల00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| \& |  |  |
| Щ్ల |  |  |
| ষ్ల్ల 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| ®్ల్ల00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| ※्ల 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| ت్ల00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| প্లిల00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| ¢000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| N |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| N్ల 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 H0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| R P00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 \& |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |
| ఫ్ల్ర0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| ஜ్లంల0000000000000000 |  |  |
| ※్ల 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| ট্ల్లా0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |  |  |
| \& |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{0}{0} 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000$ <br>  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  <br>  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |





|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{\sim}^{\circ} \stackrel{\text { i }}{\text { ¢ }}$ ¢ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $00000000000000000000000000000000000000000{ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
|  |  |
| 00 |  |
|  |  |
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 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000001 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 0000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 U 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 d 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000

 | 381 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0 |  |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 |

 n00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 岗花 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 బ $00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000{ }_{\infty}^{\mathscr{M}}$ 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 ê 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 0 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 Ŵ 200000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 $00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000{ }_{\text {® }}$

$00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 \mathscr{囚}^{\omega}$

$\qquad$

 $\begin{array}{llllllll}52.85 & 23.2 & 22.8 & 29.87 & 38.56 & 6.35 & 84.59 & 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}354 & 2.92 & 3.86 & 6.35 & 6.37 & 1.91 & 29.73 & 0.9\end{array}$ |  | 6.37 | 1.91 | 29.73 | 0.9 | 2.92 | 2.21 | 42.9 | 1.8 | 20.2 | 376 | 12 | 5.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 42.8 | 55.2 | 84.59 | 91.53 | 29.7 | 313.5 | 18 | 1.6 | 0.9 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrr}5 & 42.8 & 55.2 & 84.59 & 91.53 & 29.7 & 313.5 & 18 & 38.06 & 41.1 & 485 & 24 & 358 & 551 \\ 56 & 1.65 & 3.84 & 3.03 & 6.49 & 0.93 & 17.77 & 1 & 1.6 & 2.78 & 48.4 & 1 & 30.1 & 55.1 \\ 1.9 & 0.8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrr}357 & 4.37 & 5.83 & 8.77 & 9.31 & 2.92 & 38.06 & 1 . \\ 358 & 3.77 & 7.19 & 7.1 & 11.68 & 2.21 & 41.1 & 2.8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}359 & 99.4 & 186 \\ 360 & 2.93 & 3.97\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}660 & 2.93 & 3.97 & 5.65 & 6.24 & 1.84 & 23.97 \\ 361 & 92.5 & 129 & 152.6 & 208.5 & 43.2 & 358.2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}362 & 129 & 230 & 152.6 & 208.5 & 43.2 & 358.2 & 30 \\ 3676.2 & 56.9 & 551.4 & 55\end{array}$



 $\begin{array}{lllll}655 & 46.2 & 61.4 & 77.13\end{array}$ |  | 6.34 | 8.44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 67 | 4.12 | 5.58 | 36822.7

369 $\begin{array}{lllllllll}3.77 & 5.68 & 45.64 & 8.17 & 78.86 & 4.9 \\ 2.61 & 1.19 & 16.04 & 0.6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}1 & 2.61 & 3.22 & 8.27 & 5 & 1.9 & 18.35 & 0.8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}372 & 3.67 & 3.36 & 7.27 & 4.64 & 1.61 & 16.72 & 0.7 \\ 372 & 2.48 & 3.15 & 4.61 & 4.89 & 0.97 & 13.24 & 0.5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}73 & 0.58 & 0.77\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}4 & 4.24 & 5.63\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}575 & 2.22 & 2.95 \\ & 0.24 & 0.33\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}377 & 8.99 & 10.9\end{array}$ \begin{tabular}{lllll}
0.42 \& 4.48 \& 0.8 \& 11.3 \& 0.5 <br>
\hline 14 \& 0.48 \& 0.09 \& 1.16 \& 0.1

 $\begin{array}{llllllll}12.12 .1 & 17.1 & 2.88 & 24.44 & 1.8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllll} & 18.1 & 22 & 28.26 & 34.67 & 5.85 & 60.4 & 6.4 & 16.01 & 14.9\end{array}$ 5.1 $3821_{3.1} 16.8$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}8.55 & 11.7 & 14.9 & 17.57 & 3.5 & 52.13 & 2.3\end{array}$ 

1.02 \& 1.51 \& 14.92 \& 17.57 \& 3.5 \& 52.13 \& 2.3 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

 \begin{tabular}{llllllll}
387 \& 0.17 \& 0.22 \& 0.28 \& 151.9 \& 25.5 \& 217.5 <br>
\hline 0.33 \& 0.06 \& 0.75

 $\begin{array}{llllllrr}88 & 48.3 & 58.8 & 75.29 & 92.35 & 15.6 & 136.9 & 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}389 & 34 & 41.5 & 51.85 & 64.79 & 12.1 & 103.3 & 7.9 & 33.02 & 31.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}301 & 7.3 & 9.2 & 11.73 & 14.51 & 2.83 & 27.02 & 1.9 \\ 391 & 2.22 & 3.05 & 3.66 & 4.46 & 0.86 & 12.84 & 0.6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}391 & 2.22 & 3.25 \\ 3 & 2.93 & 3.91\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}393 & 38.4 & 47.3 \\ 394 & 0.42 & 0.57\end{array}$ 

\& 101.7 \& 7.6 \& 20.36 \& 1.39 <br>
\hline 9.42 \& 0.42 \& 0.57 \& 0.65 \& 0.83 \& 0.14 \& 1.99 \& 01 \& 0.24 \& 0.22
\end{tabular} $\begin{array}{lllllllll}19.7 & 24 & 30.11 & 37.51 & 6.22 & 52.85 & 3.9\end{array}$

 358
3.77
7.19
7.1
11.7
2.21
41.1
2.78
5.03
7.34
132
4.03
158
200
4.72
3.38
62.8
5.68
4.68
16.4
1.15
1.9
1.75
1.19
0.29
2.14
1.09
0.12
3.99
14.9
11.1
2.1
1.67
9.61
8.1
1.68
6

 62
6.61
63.348
3.
 2
3.4
4
4
1
13
13
.8
2.4
3.4
80
2.2
102
10
4.2
8.1
74
2.4
3
21
2
1.2
1.4
1.6
0.4
2.9
1.5
0.2
6.9
27
21
5.1
3.1
13
12
2.6
18
66
6


 | 368 | 369 | 370 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 22.72 | 3 | 2.6 |
| 28.3 | 3.8 | 3.2 |
| 38.7 | 5.7 | 8.3 |
| 45.64 | 5.9 | 5 |
| 8.17 | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| 78.86 | 16 | 18 |
| 4.89 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| 17.72 | 1.7 | 2.3 |
| 16.41 | 1.5 | 1.9 |
| 125.76 | 39 | 40 |
| 16.38 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 158.71 | 49 | 40 |
| 228.63 | 72 | 55 |
| 14.34 | 1.3 | 1.5 |
| 20.72 | 2 | 1.2 |
| 186.23 | 39 | 24 |
| 19.51 | 3.1 | 4.8 |
| 22.63 | 1.9 | 2.2 |
| 114.84 | 26 | 15 |
| 25.79 | 4.5 | 3.3 |
| 15.03 | 3.3 | 2.9 |
| 17.26 | 3.9 | 3.3 |
| 20.52 | 5.5 | 2.5 |
| 4.69 | 1 | 0.5 |
| 35.85 | 7.6 | 3.9 |
| 15.72 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| 1.58 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| 14.4 | 8.1 | 5.3 |
| 51.29 | 27 | 18 |
| 36.62 | 18 | 9.9 |
| 30.9 | 3.5 | 2.1 |
| 29.59 | 3.2 | 1.8 |
| 134.31 | 17 | 9.3 |
| 101.03 | 11 | 6.2 |
| 13.51 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| 59.74 | 5.4 | 3.2 |
| 123.31 | 65 | 43 |
| 0.96 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 105.19 | 39 | 26 |
| 69.89 | 27 | 18 |
| 27.22 | 6.7 | 4.3 |
| 21.07 | 2.3 | 1.4 |
| 20.2 | 2.6 | 1.7 |
| 51.23 | 27 | 19 |
| 2.47 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| 28.29 | 15 | 10 |
|  | 10 | 9.5 |













 | 182 |
| :---: |
| 13 |
| 17 |
| 23 |
| 27 |
| 27 |
| 4.9 |
| 55 |
| 2.9 |
| 10 |
| 9.6 |
| 135 |
| 9.8 |
| 172 |
| 252 |
| 8.5 |
| 13 |
| 171 |
| 11 |
| 13 |
| 134 |
| 17 |
| 9.3 |
| 11 |
| 13 |
| 3 |
| 3 |
| 23 |
| 10 |
| 1 |
| 16 |
| 59 |
| 48 |
| 24 |
| 24 |
| 24 |
| 68 |
| 81 |
| 8.6 |
| 37 |
| 144 |
| 0 |










 0.4
0.6
0.7
0.8
0.8
0.1
2
0.1
0.2
0.2
5.6
0.2
0.7
10
10
0.2
0.5
5
0.3
0.3
2.5
0.4
0.2
0.3
0.3
0.3
0.1
0.6
0.5
0.1
2.5
9.8
5.9
1
1
0.5
1.5
2
 396
18.19
22.14
27.95 22.14
27.85
34.66 $\begin{array}{r}5.75 \\ 48.64 \\ 3.59 \\ \hline 9.68 \\ \hline\end{array}$ 3.59
9.68
9.35 9.35
25.63

8.45 | 6 | 25.63 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 8.4 |
|  | 29.65 |
| 7 | 43.05 | 29.65

43.05
8.96 8.96
16.26 16.26
49.49
13.09 13.09
11.74
25.74 11.74
25.74
13.87 9.51
10.88
10.63 20.93
15.17 $\begin{array}{r}72.81 \\ 6.75 \\ \hline\end{array}$ 45.95
137.1

HBW
$\begin{array}{r}350 \\ 0 \\ 0.72 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 6.09 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 22.8 \\ 0 \\ 22 \\ 31.8 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 16.1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 7.27 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 3\end{array}$













 | 381 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 3 |
| 0.2 | 4.1 |
| 0 | 4.5 |
| 0 | 6 |
| 0 | 1 |
| 2.6 | 13 |
| 0 | 0.7 |
| 0 | 2.1 |
| 0 | 2.2 |
| 12 | 26 |
| 0 | 1.8 |
| 14 | 30 |
| 21 | 45 |
| 0 | 1.9 |
| 0 | 2.2 |
| 13 | 33 |
| 0 | 2.4 |
| 0 | 2.4 |
| 6.4 | 17 |
| 0 | 2.1 |
| 0 | 1.5 |
| 0 | 1.6 |
| 0 | 1.7 |
| 0 | 0.4 |
| 0 | 3.1 |
| 0 | 1.6 |
| 0 | 0.2 |
| 3.2 | 5.2 |
| 12 | 19 |
| 8.9 | 14 |
| 0 | 3.4 |
| 0 | 2.8 |
| 2.8 | 9.7 |
| 0 | 10 |
| 0 | 1.2 |
| 0 | 5.9 |
| 30 | 46 |
| 0 | 0.1 |
| 23 | 37 |
| 18 | 28 |
| 3.3 | 6.4 |
| 0 | 2.8 |
| 0.3 | 3.2 |
| 16 | 25 |
| 0 | 0.4 |
| 8.1 | 12 |
| 7.5 | 11 | OO. ब.










 $\begin{array}{r}396 \\ 7.84 \\ 9.89 \\ 11.95 \\ 15.78 \\ 2.63 \\ 20.12 \\ 1.75 \\ 4.78 \\ 4.98 \\ 1.61 \\ 4.06 \\ 1.67 \\ 2.36 \\ 4.56 \\ 6.75 \\ 14.64 \\ 6.06 \\ 5.52 \\ 6.37 \\ 5.29 \\ 3.81 \\ 4.24 \\ 4.43 \\ 1.11 \\ 8.08 \\ 5.43 \\ 0.81 \\ 0.84 \\ 3.14 \\ 1.91 \\ 11.39 \\ 7.46 \\ 11.46 \\ 28.24 \\ 3.09 \\ 18.39 \\ 7.68 \\ 0.82 \\ 12.62 \\ 6.07 \\ 6.22 \\ 10.47 \\ 21.97 \\ 8.4 \\ 3.79 \\ 5.12 \\ 3.31 \\ \hline\end{array}$

## 

 $\begin{array}{rr} & \\ 0 & 364 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 50 & 26 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rr}368 & 369 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
N00000000
\#00000000

$\begin{array}{ll}2.2 & 7.9 \\ 2.3 & 8.6 \\ 3.2 & 12 \\ 3.4 & 13 \\ 0.4 & 2.2 \\ 0.1 & 16 \\ 4.3 & 16 \\ 0.3 & 1.2 \\ 0.7 & 2.7\end{array}$
o
$\begin{array}{rrr} & 383 & 384 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 36 & 70 & 12\end{array}$$387 \quad 388$毋ం00000000 No6.53
9.52

HBSR

 $\begin{array}{r}352 \\ 6.77 \\ 6.35 \\ 8.85 \\ 11.52 \\ 1.9 \\ 19.98 \\ 0.93 \\ 2.7 \\ 2.25 \\ 13.55 \\ 1.76 \\ 12.77 \\ 17.27 \\ 1.86 \\ 1.11 \\ 12.79 \\ 4.2 \\ 2.47 \\ 6.42 \\ 1.75 \\ 2.48 \\ 2.19 \\ 1.42 \\ 0.33 \\ 2.42 \\ 1.23 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.97 \\ 3.54 \\ 1.81 \\ 1.68 \\ 1.4 \\ 4.89 \\ 4.76 \\ 0.59 \\ 2.86 \\ 8.57 \\ 0.1 \\ 5.77 \\ 3.38 \\ 1.93 \\ 1.19 \\ 1.37 \\ 3.97 \\ 0.22 \\ 2.15 \\ 1.93 \\ \hline\end{array}$


 356
0.5
1.1
0.9
2
0.3
4.3
0.3
0.5
0.9
4.7
0.3
2.7
5.2
0.6
0.3
2.4
0.7
0.5
0.8
0.2
0.3
0.2
0.2
0
0.3
0.2
0
0.1
0.4
0.2
0.2
0.2
0.6
0.7
0.1
0.5
1
0

 | 358 |
| :--- |
| 1.19 |
| 2.11 |
| 2.25 |
| 3.72 |
| 0.93 |
| 0 |
| 0.89 |
| 1.61 |
| 2.39 |
| 13 |
| 16 |
| 16 |
| 20.8 |
| 1.5 |
| 11 |
| 11 |
| 1.8 |
| 1.5 |






 $\begin{array}{ll}2.63 & 0.97 \\ 2.28 & 0.87\end{array}$

## 

 $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}1.3 & 1.2 & 0.8 & 0.9 & 1 & 0.2 & 1.8 & 1.4 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 1 & 0.6 & 2.9 & 1.7 & 2.2 & 6.2 & 0.5 & 3.9 & 2.4 \\ 1.13 & 1 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 1.6 & 1.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.8 & 0.5 & 2.6 & 1.5 & 2 & 5.5 & 0.5 & 3.5 & 2\end{array} 0.2$

## 389 2.15 2.58 3.38 4.17 0.78 6.06 0.5 1.74 1.61 0.8 1.57 0.99 1.43 1.56 3.45 6.56 2. 2.2 2.94 1.85 1.24 1.42 1.56 0.38 2.79 1.71 0.28 0.3 1.18 0.86 7.07 4.45 5.59 16.3 1.37 10.32 2.83 0.32 7.66 4.62 5.38 6.65 10.26 3



 \begin{tabular}{ll}
1.9 \& 2.6 <br>
1.1 \& 3.2 <br>
1.4 \& 4 <br>
1.7 \& 5.1 <br>
0.3 \& 0.8 <br>
3.2 \& 6.2 <br>
0.2 \& 0.5 <br>
0.7 \& 1.4 <br>
0.7 \& 1.3 <br>
3.9 \& 0.5 <br>
0.7 \& 1.2 <br>
4.4 \& 0.6 <br>
7.2 \& 0.9 <br>
0.8 \& 1.3 <br>
1.6 \& 2.4 <br>
7.9 \& 4.5 <br>
0.8 \& 1.8 <br>
0.9 \& 1.7 <br>
3.3 \& 2.2 <br>
0.8 \& 2 <br>
0.5 \& 1.4 <br>
0.6 \& 1.6 <br>
0.7 \& 1.7 <br>
0.1 \& 0.4 <br>
1.2 \& 3 <br>
0.9 \& 2.3 <br>
0.2 \& 0.4 <br>
1 \& 0.3 <br>
4 \& 1.3 <br>
2.5 \& 0.7 <br>
3.1 \& 4.6 <br>
1.8 \& 2.8 <br>
3.2 \& 3.7 <br>
6.7 \& 10 <br>
0.6 \& 0.9 <br>
4.4 \& 6.6 <br>
9.6 \& 3.1 <br>
0.2 \& 0.4 <br>
\hline 8.8 \& 5.2 <br>
10 \& 3.1 <br>
5.6 \& 2.7 <br>
6.9 \& 4.2 <br>
14 \& 12 <br>
12 \& 10 <br>
2.6 \& 2.9 <br>
12 \& 3.4 <br>
8 \& 2.4 <br>
8 \& 2.7 <br>
\hline

 

393 \& 394 <br>
2.6 \& 0.2 <br>
3.2 \& 0.2 <br>
4 \& 0.2 <br>
5.1 \& 0.3 <br>
0.8 \& 0.1 <br>
6.2 \& 0.5 <br>
0.5 \& 0 <br>
1.4 \& 0.1 <br>
1.3 \& 0.1 <br>
0.5 \& 0.4 <br>
1.2 \& 0.1 <br>
0.6 \& 0.5 <br>
0.9 \& 0.8 <br>
1.3 \& 0.1 <br>
2.4 \& 0.2 <br>
4.5 \& 0.8 <br>
1.8 \& 0.1 <br>
1.7 \& 0.1 <br>
2.2 \& 0.4 <br>
2 \& 0.1 <br>
1.4 \& 0.1 <br>
1.6 \& 0.1 <br>
1.7 \& 0.1 <br>
0.4 \& 0 <br>
3 \& 0.2 <br>
2.3 \& 0.2 <br>
0.4 \& 0 <br>
0.3 \& 0 <br>
1.3 \& 0.2 <br>
0.7 \& 0.7 <br>
4.6 <br>
\hline 2.4 <br>
2.3 \& 0.2 <br>
3.7 \& 0.3 <br>
10 \& 0.7 <br>
0.9 \& 0.1 <br>
6.6 \& 0.4 <br>
3.1 \& 1.8 <br>
0.4 \& 0 <br>
5.2 \& 1.4 <br>
3.1 \& 1.9 <br>
2.7 \& 0.5 <br>
4.2 \& 0.6 <br>
12 \& 2.6 <br>
10 \& 2.9 <br>
2.9 \& 0.4 <br>
3.4 \& 2.3 <br>
2.7 \& 1.6
\end{tabular}



$\qquad$

 | 355 |
| :---: |
| 12.35 |
| 15.51 |
| 25.4 |
| 26.4 |
| 9.43 |
| 99.84 |
| 5.38 |
| 1.38 |
| 12.73 |
| 79.58 |
| 7.37 |
| 57.08 |
| 9.029 |
| 7.94 |
| 3.7 |
| 54.68 |
| 18.03 |
| 10.4 |
| 19.5 |
| 4.62 |
| 5.37 |
| 4.82 |
| 3.78 |
| 0.88 |
| 6.72 |
| 3.16 |
| 0.33 |
| 3.18 |
| 11.74 |
| 5.92 |
| 4.52 |
| 4.02 |
| 14.86 |
| 14.78 |
| 2.21 |
| 10.29 |
| 28.38 |
| 0.21 |
| 18.27 |
| 13.34 |
| 6.94 |
| 3.43 |
| 3.85 |
| 15.05 |
| 0.54 |
| 7.52 |
| 6.78 |





 ©্ল゙ন





 353
3.25 $\begin{array}{ll}53 & 354 \\ 25 & 0.32\end{array}$ 355356
5.37
7.35
7.35
 357
0.42
0.58
0.82
0.84
0.27
4.24
0.13
0.56
0.37
10.77
0.54
12.4
15.06
0.25
0.18
4.62
0.68
0.59
1.79
0.15
0.21
0.19
0.12
0.03
0.21
0.1
0.01
0.29
1.02
0.79
0.17
0.15
0.98
0.72
0.1
0.48
2.49
0.01
2.24
1.75
0.53
0.17
0.19
1.14
0.02
0.59
0 358
0.33
0.65
0.6
0.96
0.18
4.23
0.21
0.37
0.49
15.4
0.29
17.7
21.1
0.3
0.23
5.4
0.46
0.35
1.49
0.11
0.15
0.15
0.9
0.02
0.17
0.08
0.01
0.23
0.84
0.68
0.15
0.13
0.81
0.6
0.11
0.5
2.08
2.08
0.01
1.94
1.53
0.45
0.15
0.17
1.02
0.01
0.52
0

 | 361 |
| ---: |
| 8.5 |
| 14.2 |
| 14.83 |
| 20.23 |
| 4.23 |
| 70.41 |
| 3 |
| 12.4 |
| 17.72 |
| 561.05 |
| 9.76 |
| 603.36 |
| 1053.2 |
| 11.7 |
| 11.21 |
| 280.29 |
| 10.88 |
| 11.66 |
| 70.46 |
| 4.82 |
| 3.95 |
| 3.68 |
| 3.97 |
| 0.96 |
| 6.95 |
| 3.29 |
| 0.32 |
| 9.74 |
| 36.63 |
| 30.09 |
| 6.42 |
| 5.6 |
| 38.47 |
| 27.94 |
| 5.82 |
| 23.65 |
| 89.67 |
| 0.2 |
| 05 |
| 66.6 |
| 20.56 |
| 20.22 |
| 6.45 |
| 7 |
| 7 | $\begin{array}{llll}361 & 362 & 363 & 364 \\ 8.5 & 11.3 & 0.3 & 0.2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}351 & 4.68 & 5.76 \\ 352 & 2.68 & 2.68\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lrr}352 & 2.68 & 2.68 \\ 53 & 3.25 & 8.7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}54 & 0.32 & 0.43 \\ 55 & 5.37 & 7.35\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}55 & 5.37 & 7.35 \\ 565 & 0.17 & 0.41\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}57 & 0.42 & 0.58 \\ 58 & 0.33 & 0.65\end{array}$ 358

359 $\begin{array}{rrr}359 & 10 & 23.5 \\ 660 & 0.28 & 0.38\end{array}$ \begin{tabular}{llll}
\& 0.28 \& 0.38 \& 0.42 <br>
\& 8.5 \& 14.2 \& 14. <br>
\hline \& 1.5 \& \& <br>
\hline

 $\begin{array}{ccc}32 & 11.3 & 25.3 \\ 63 & 0.31 & 0.7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}64 & 0.18 & 0.32\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}65 & 4.12 & 6.13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}366 & 0.69 & 0.94\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}367 & 0.41 & 0.56 \\ 368 & 2.47 & 3.38\end{array}$ 

688 \& 2.47 \& 3.38 <br>
\hline 69 \& 0.32 \& 0.41

 $\begin{array}{lll} & 0.29 & 0.36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}71 & 0.41 & 0.38\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}372 & 0.26 & 0.34 \\ 373 & 0.06 & 0.08\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}5 & 0.21 & 0.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}376 & 0.02 & 0.03\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}377 & 0.63 & 0.87 \\ 378 & 219 & 3.04\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}378 & 2.19 & 3.04 \\ 79 & 1.26 & 1.75\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}30 & 0.28 & 0.39\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}31 & 0.25 & 0.35 \\ 32 & 1.37 & 1.89\end{array}$ 

38 \& 1.37 \& 1.89 <br>
\hline 83 \& 0.83 \& 1.15

 

\& 1.87 <br>
34 \& 0.99 \& 0.13 <br>
\hline 85 \& 0.48 \& 0.67

 $\begin{array}{lll}385 & 0.48 & 0.67 \\ 38 & 5.38 & 7.49\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}387 & 0.01 & 0.02\end{array}$ 

387 \& 3.01 \& 4.49 <br>
\hline 88 \& 3.39 \& 4.7
\end{tabular} $\begin{array}{lll}388 & 3.39 & 4.7 \\ 389 & 2.34 & 3.27 \\ 390 & 0.64 & 0.89\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lrr}390 & 0.64 & 0.89 \\ 91 & 0.21 & 0.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}992 & 0.26 & 0.36\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrr}3.36 & 0.48 & 3.5 \\ 394 & 0.03 & 0.05\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}394 & 0.03 & 0.05 \\ 395 & 1.24 & 1.73\end{array}$ 3961.131 .58




 \begin{tabular}{rrrr}
1.2 \& 25.3 \& 0.7 \& 0.3 <br>
4.83 \& 19.1 \& 0.5 \& 0. <br>
\hline .23 \& 36.5 \& 1 \& 0.4

 $\begin{array}{llll}14.23 & 36.5 & 1 & 0.4 \\ 20.4 & 0.4 \\ 4025 & 5.26 & 0.1 & 01\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}4.23 & 5.26 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 70.41 & 106 & 3 & 1.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}3.54 & 5.2 & 1.1 \\ 12.4 & 15.1 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\ 17.2 & 0.2 & & \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrrr}12.4 & 15.1 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\ 17.72 & 21.1 & 0.3 & 0.2\end{array}$ 

561.05114919 <br>
9.76 <br>
11.8 <br>
0.2 <br>
0.1 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular} $\begin{array}{lll}603.36 & 1053 & 12 \\ 11 \\ 1053.2 & 1429 & 22 \\ 16\end{array}$




$$
\begin{array}{r}
24.03 \\
5.92
\end{array}
$$

 웅은
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}368 & 369 & 370 & 371 & 372 & 373 & 374 & 375 & 376 & 377 & 378 & 379 & 380 & 381 & 382 & 383 & 384 & 385 & 386 & 387 & 388 \\ 2.47 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.6 & 2.2 & 1.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 1.4 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 5.4 & 0 & 3.39\end{array}$

 | 4.77 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0 | 1.2 | 4.2 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 8.7 | 0 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 10 | 0 |


 $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}1.49 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.8 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 2.1 & 0 \\ 56.61 & 4 & 4.2 & 3.9 & 3.3 & 0.8 & 5.8 & 2.8 & 0.3 & 8.4 & 31 & 25 & 5.4 & 4.7 & 31 & 23 & 4.4 & 19 & 77 & 0.2\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}70.46 & 4.8 & 4 & 3.7 & 4 & 1 & 7 & 3.3 & 0.3 & 9.7 & 37 & 30 & 6.4 & 5.6 & 38 & 28 & 5.8 & 24 & 90 & 0.2 & \\ 99.59 & 6.8 & 5.1 & 5.1 & 5.7 & 1.4 & 10 & 4.8 & 0.5 & 14 & 53 & 43 & 9.2 & 8.1 & 55 & 40 & 7.9 & 34 & 130 & 0.3 & 1\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 60.17 | 3.9 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.8 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.2 |
| 1.4 | 5.2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.06 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 23 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 34 | 24 | 5.9 | 0.2 | 1.1 |

 $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}42.48 & 3.4 & 1.9 & 2.2 & 2.7 & 0.6 & 4.6 & 1.9 & 0.2 & 5.2 & 18 & 13 & 3.7 & 3.8 & 33 & 12 & 1.5 & 6.9 & 44 & 0.1 & 34.12 \\ 3.37 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.7 & 2.2 & 1.3 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 2 & 1 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 5.3 & 0 & 3.2 \\ 1.85 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.5 & 1.4 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 1 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 3.3 & 0 & 2.0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}1.85 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.5 & 1.4 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 1 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 3.3 & 0 \\ 2.17 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.5 & 1.6 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 1.3 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 3.9 & 0 \\ 2.67 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rllllllllllllllllllll}2.67 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.6 & 1.8 & 1 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 1.5 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 4.4 & 0 \\ 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.1 & 1.5 & 0\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccc}1.93 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 1.4 & 3.6 & 1.6 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 1.1 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 9.2 & 0 \\ 0.18 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.3 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 1.7 & 0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}0.15 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 1.7 & 1.4 & 0.3 & 9.6 & 29 & 12 & 1.6 & 0.8 & 2.9 & 2.9 & 0.2 & 1.6 & 74 & 0.2 & \\ 18.05 & 2.2 & 1.4 & 1.6 & 1.8 & 0.6 & 4.3 & 3.6 & 0.7 & 29 & 94 & 49 & 6.4 & 3.2 & 10 & 12 & 0.8 & 6.2 & 293 & 0.5 & 1 \\ 13.2 & 1.3 & 0.8 & 0.9 & 1 & 0.3 & 1.9 & 1.6 & 0.3 & 12 & 49 & 35 & 6.3 & 3 & 9 & 11 & 0.7 & 5.6 & 115 & 0.2 & 1\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}3.75 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.8 & 3.2 & 3 & 0.9 & 0.8 & 2.8 & 3.3 & 0.1 & 0.8 & 7.8 \\ 33.35 & 2 & 1 & 1.3 & 1.5 & 0.4 & 2.6 & 1.1 & 0.1 & 2.9 & 10 & 9 & 2.6 & 2.8 & 12 & 9 & 0.8 & 3.8 & 25 \\ 0.1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}12.17 & 1 & 0.6 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 1.4 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 2.9 & 12 & 11 & 2.9 & 3.3 & 9 & 9.4 & 0.8 & 6.5 & 28 & 0.1 & 27.21 \\ 1.49 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.8 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.8 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 1.9 & 0 & \\ 6.85 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0 & 1.6 & 6.2 & 5.6 & 1.2 & 0.8 & 3.8 & 6.5 & 0.5 & 3.6 & 15 & 0 & 15 .\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}6.85 & 0.5 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0 & 1.6 & 6.2 & 5.6 & 1.2 & 0.8 & 3.8 & 6.5 & 0.5 & 3.6 & 15 & 0 \\ 43.55 & 5.3 & 3.3 & 3.9 & 4.4 & 1.5 & 11 & 9.2 & 1.7 & 74 & 29 & 115 & 15 & 7.8 & 25 & 28 & 1.9 & 15 & 659 & 1.9\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}34.43 & 3.3 & 2.1 & 2.4 & 2.7 & 0.7 & 5 & 4.1 & 0.7 & 30 & 126 & 132 & 16 & 7.6 & 23 & 27 & 1.9 & 16 & 297 \\ 24.88 & 2.6 & 1.4 & 1.6 & 1.8 & 0.4 & 3.1 & 2 & 0.3 & 13 & 50 & 37 & 8.1 & 5.2 & 16 & 18 & 1.4 & 11 & 121 \\ 0.4\end{array}$

 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.46 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 15 | 14 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 34 | 0.1 | 53.04 | 34.33 | 8.8 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 14 | 0.3 | 9.48 | 8.65 | $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}2.56 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0 & 1.5 & 5.6 & 3.7 & 0.8 & 0.5 & 1.6 & 1.8 & 0.1 & 1.1 & 14 & 0 & 10.44 & 15.5 & 3.7 & 2 & 4.5 & 16 & 0.7 & 14.83 & 10.21 \\ 16.79 & 1.9 & 1.3 & 1.5 & 1.6 & 0.4 & 2.9 & 2.3 & 0.4 & 14 & 53 & 31 & 4.7 & 2.8 & 9.4 & 9.8 & 0.8 & 6 & 130 & 0.4 & 82.32 & 140 & 14 & 4.2 & 16 & 488 & 3.2 & 125.4 & 116.1 \\ 0.25 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.1 & 21 & 0 & 1.35 & 2.5 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0 . & 3 . & 0.1 & 2.55 & 171\end{array}$

 | 1.82 |
| :--- | :--- |



$\qquad$ | 355 | 356 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9.43 | 0.5 |
| 1.8 |  |
| 1.1 |  |
| 9.59 | 0.9 |
| 0.62 | 2 |
| 7.03 | 0.3 |
| 7.24 | 4.2 |
| 4.23 | 0.3 |
| 8.8 | 0.5 |
| 9.5 | 0.8 |
| 46 | 4.2 |
| 5.4 | 0.3 |
| 0.83 | 2.2 |
| 7.05 | 4.2 |
| 6.43 | 0.6 |
| 2.75 | 0.2 |
| 9.29 | 1.9 |
| 3.61 | 0.7 |
| 7.65 | 0.4 |
| 2.06 | 0.7 |
| 3.52 | 0.2 |
| 4.18 | 0.2 |
| 3.75 | 0.2 |
| 2.91 | 0.2 |
| 0.68 | 0 |
| 5.07 | 0.3 |
| 2.4 | 0.1 |
| 0.23 | 0 |
| 2.74 | 0.2 |
| 9.94 | 0.6 |
| 5.69 | 0.3 |
| 3.11 | 0.2 |
| 2.84 | 0.2 |
| 9.64 | 0.5 |
| 10.54 | 0.6 |
| 1.52 | 0.1 |
| 7.33 | 0.4 |
| 24.2 | 1.4 |
| 0.14 | 0 |
| 6.12 | 0.9 |
| 1.28 | 0.7 |
| 3.41 | 0.2 |
| 2.52 | 0.2 |
| 2.65 | 0.2 |
| 10.48 | 0.6 |
| 0.37 | 0 |
| 5.45 | 0.3 |
| 5 | 0.3 | $\begin{array}{r}357 \\ 1.31 \\ 1.62 \\ 2.63 \\ 2.77 \\ 0.87 \\ 8.8 \\ 0.48 \\ 2.04 \\ 1.45 \\ 8.19 \\ 1.97 \\ 9.53 \\ 11.75 \\ 0.93 \\ 0.65 \\ 7.14 \\ 2.25 \\ 2.1 \\ 2.48 \\ 0.49 \\ 0.69 \\ 0.62 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.34 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.39 \\ 1.41 \\ 1.08 \\ 0.57 \\ 0.49 \\ 1.94 \\ 2.44 \\ 0.36 \\ 1.7 \\ 3.44 \\ 0.02 \\ 3.26 \\ 2.42 \\ 0.78 \\ 0.56 \\ 0.57 \\ 1.6 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.83 \\ 0.76 \\ \hline\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 357 & 358 & 359 & 360 & 361 & 362 & 363 \\ 364 \\ 31 & 1.1 & 6.4 & 0.9 & 5.5 & 7.5 & 1 & 0.6\end{array}$

[^1]396
1.34

 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}5.28 & 1.7 & 2.5 & 2.2 & 1.4 & 0.3 & 2.3 & 1.1 & 0.1 & 1.2 & 4.3 & 2.5 & 1.4 & 1.2 & 4.2 & 4.2 & 0.5 & 2.5 & 11 & 0.1 & 7.02 & 4.43 & 1.3 & 1 & 1.1 & 4.6 & 0.2 & 2.38 & 2.18 \\ 5.99 & 1.7 & 1.5 & 1.4 & 1.4 & 0.4 & 2.5 & 1.2 & 0.1 & 1.5 & 5.2 & 3 & 1.6 & 1.4 & 4.8 & 4.9 & 0.6 & 2.9 & 13 & 0.1 & 8.42 & 5.48 & 1.6 & 1.2 & 1.3 & 5.8 & 0.2 & 2.92 & 2.68\end{array}$



 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2.4 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.3 & 1.2 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 1.9 & 2.4 & 0.4 & 1.7 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 2.22 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 1.4 & 0.1 & 0.73 & 0.67\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2.07 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.4 & 1.3 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 1.6 & 2.1 & 0.4 & 1.8 & 3.2 & 0 & 3.08 & 2.36 & 0.7 & 0.8 & 0.6 & 1.7 & 0.1 & 0.81 & 0.74 \\ 3.25 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.8 & 2.9 & 2.5 & 1.3 & 0.8 & 2.5 & 3.2 & 0.7 & 5 & 7.1 & 0 & 7.42 & 5.31 & 1.9 & 1.3 & 1.2 & 3.1 & 0.1 & 1.7 & 1.56\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}3.3 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.4 & 0 & 0.5 & 1.7 & 1.4 & 0.7 & 0.6 & 2.6 & 3.2 & 0.5 & 2.3 & 4.1 & 0 & 4.16 & 3.09 & 1 & 0.7 & 0.7 & 1.9 & 0.1 & 1.02 & 0.4\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2.29 & 1 & 0.9 & 1 & 0.8 & 0.2 & 1.1 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.6 & 1.7 & 1 & 0.6 & 0.5 & 1.8 & 1.8 & 0.2 & 0.9 & 4.1 & 0 & 2.71 & 1.73 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.4 & 1.7 & 0.1 & 0.89 & 0.82\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}2.68 & 1.2 & 1 & 1.1 & 0.9 & 0.2 & 1.4 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.6 & 2 & 1.1 & 0.7 & 0.6 & 2.2 & 2.1 & 0.2 & 1.1 & 4.8 & 0 & 3.15 & 2 & 0.6 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 1.9 & 0.1 & 1.04 & 0.95 \\ 3.3 & 1.7 & 0.8 & 0.9 & 1.1 & 0.2 & 1.8 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 0.7 & 2.3 & 1.3 & 0.8 & 0.7 & 2.8 & 2.5 & 0.3 & 1.2 & 5.5 & 0 & 3.64 & 2.2 & 0.7 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 2.1 & 0.1 & 1.17 & 1.07\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.76 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.8 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.3 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 1.9 & 0 & 0.91 & 0.55 & 0.2 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0\end{array} 0.29 \quad 0.27$
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}0.24 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.4 & 0.9 & 0.4 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.1 & 2.3 & 0 & 0.99 & 0.42 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.29 & 0.26 \\ 2.69 & 0.9 & 0.6 & 0.6 & 0.7 & 0.3 & 2.2 & 1.8 & 0.4 & 4.9 & 15 & 6 & 2 & 1 & 2.2 & 3.7 & 0.3 & 2.1 & 38 & 0.3 & 16.45 & 6.78 & 2 & 1.4 & 1.7 & 7.5 & 0.3 & 4.64 & 4.26\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}9.54 & 2.8 & 1.7 & 2 & 2.3 & 0.7 & 5.4 & 4.6 & 0.9 & 15 & 50 & 26 & 8.2 & 4.1 & 7.6 & 15 & 1.1 & 8.4 & 154 & 0.7 & 70 & 26.52 & 8.1 & 5.5 & 6.4 & 28 & 1.1 & 18.64 & 17.13\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}15.77 & 1.8 & 2.1 & 2.5 & 0.6 & 4.3 & 2 & 0.2 & 3.7 & 15 & 14 & 9.2 & 10 & 17 & 30 & 2.7 & 21 & 36 & 0.2 & 37.02 & 23.86 & 9.3 & 6 & 5.1 & 13 & 0.5 & 7.46 & 6.85 \\ 2.13 & 0.3 & 0.2 & 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.1 & 0.5 & 0.2 & 0 & 0.3 & 1.1 & 1 & 0.5 & 0.4 & 1.7 & 2.7 & 0.3 & 1.9 & 2.7 & 0 & 2.85 & 2.02 & 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 1.1 & 0 & 0.63 & 0.58\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}9.19 & 1.5 & 0.9 & 1.1 & 1.2 & 0.3 & 2.1 & 1 & 0.1 & 2.1 & 8.4 & 7.4 & 4 & 2.5 & 7.3 & 21 & 1.9 & 12 & 20 & 0.1 & 22.28 & 15.28 & 5.9 & 3.8 & 3.3 & 8.2 & 0.3 & 4.7 & 4.32 \\ 22.94 & 6.6 & 4.1 & 4.8 & 5.5 & 1.9 & 14 & 12 & 2.3 & 38 & 154 & 60 & 20 & 9.8 & 19 & 36 & 2.7 & 20 & 346 & 2.5 & 164.1 & 63.65 & 19 & 13 & 16 & 69 & 2.8 & 44.69 & 41.03\end{array}$
 $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}19.13 & 4.4 & 2.7 & 3.2 & 3.6 & 0.9 & 6.7 & 5.5 & 1 & 16 & 70 & 73 & 21 & 10 & 18 & 37 & 2.9 & 22 & 164 & 0.8 & 177.4 & 72.92 & 31 & 15 & 13 & 46 & 1.8 & 29.56 \\ 27.14 \\ 13.13 & 2.7 & 1.7 & 2 & 2.3 & 0.6 & 4 & 2.6 & 0.4 & 6.8 & 27 & 20 & 10 & 6.5 & 12 & 24 & 2 & 15 & 64 & 0.5 & 72.92 & 14.6 & 19 & 10 & 18 & 74 & 3.2 & 57.67 \\ 53.11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr}4.47 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 0.6 & 0.7 & 0.2 & 1.3 & 0.7 & 0.1 & 2 & 8.1 & 7.5 & 4.3 & 2.5 & 4.4 & 9.3 & 0.8 & 5.9 & 19 & 0.1 & 31.07 & 19.11 & 5.2 & 5.4 & 4.5 & 8.1 & 0.4 & 5.3 & 4.87 \\ 3.09 & 0.6 & 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.9 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 1.4 & 5.5 & 4.9 & 2.7 & 1.6 & 2.9 & 6 & 0.5 & 3.8 & 13 & 0.1 & 14.72 & 10.07 & 5.4 & 3.5 & 5.4 & 5.3 & 0.4 & 3.8 & 2.8\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}4.96 & 1.4 & 0.9 & 1 & 1.2 & 0.3 & 2.1 & 1.7 & 0.3 & 4.6 & 19 & 11 & 3.7 & 2 & 4 & 7.5 & 0.6 & 4.7 & 45 & 0.4 & 29.56 & 57.67 & 5.3 & 3.8 & 17 & 67 & 3.3 & 41.83 \\ 68.78 \\ 4.54 & 1.3 & 0.8 & 1 & 1.1 & 0.3 & 1.9 & 1.6 & 0.3 & 4.3 & 17 & 9.8 & 3.4 & 1.9 & 3.7 & 6.9 & 0.6 & 4.3 & 41 & 0.3 & 27.14 & 53.11 & 4.9 & 2.8 & 12 & 62 & 2.2 & 68.78 \\ 31.01\end{array}$
 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 H 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 h 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 N M్ల్ల 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 u 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 N $00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 \mathrm{\omega}$ 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 苞 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 N 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 山్山
 $00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000^{\text {w．}}$
 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000001 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 W 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 V 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 W 00000000000000000001000000000000000000000000 $\begin{array}{ll}380 & 381 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ & \end{array}$ $00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{w}}$ N00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 $00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 \stackrel{(\underset{\sim}{\omega}}{\underset{\sim}{~}}$ R00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000

 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 ê 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 O． 00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000
 $00000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 \not 0$

## APPENDIX F

2016 \& 2035 Model Plots

NWFRPM v1.1-2016 Roadway Volu
TPD No. 4249 - Escambia DSAP


NWFRPM v1.1-2035 Roadway Volu
TPD No. 4249 - Escambia DSAP


## APPENDIX G

Beeline Corridor Arterial Alternative

RoADWAY CAPACITY ANALYSIS (2035 ALT B B

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Los } \\ \text { Std } \end{array}$ | Capacity | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { Background } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { DSAP } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { Tolume } \end{gathered}$ | $2035 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meets } \\ & \text { Std? } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 10 | Alabama SL to Beeline Corridor | 4 | C | 59,800 | 54,149 | 5,890 | 60,039 | 1.00 | D | N |
| Interstate 10 | Beeline Corridor to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | c | 59,800 | 52,659 | 18,303 | 70,962 | 1.19 | D | N |
| Interstate 10 | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | 4 | c | 59,800 | 59,551 | 18,540 | 78,091 | 1.31 | E | N |
| Interstate 10 | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 4 | C | 59,800 | 62,384 | 14,980 | 77,364 | 1.29 | E | N |
| Interstate 10 | Pensacola Blvd (US 29) to --110/Davis Hwy | 6 | c | 90,500 | 79,639 | 16,968 | 96,607 | 1.07 | D | N |
| Interstate 10 | I-110/Davis Hwy to US 90 | 6 | c | 90,500 | 75,908 | 2,369 | 78,277 | 0.86 | C | Y |
| Interstate 110 | 1-10 to Airport Blvd | 10 | c | 151,700 | 126,685 | 12,439 | 139,124 | 0.92 | c | Y |
| Interstate 110 | Airport Blvd to Fairfield Dr | 8 | c | 120,100 | 88,875 | 6,162 | 95,037 | 0.79 | C | Y |
| Interstate 110 | Fairifild Dr to Chase St | 6 | C | 90,500 | 74,601 | 5,102 | 79,703 | 0.88 | C | Y |
| US 29 | CR 4 to SR 97 | 4 | c | 41,100 | 12,956 | 6,989 | 19,945 | 0.49 | B | Y |
| US 29 | SR 97 to Molino Rd (CR 182) | 4 | c | 45,400 | 16,874 | 8,817 | 25,691 | 0.57 | B | Y |
| US 29 | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | 4 | c | 45,400 | 19,503 | 12,079 | 31,582 | 0.70 | C | Y |
| US 29 | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) | 4 | c | 45,400 | 19,358 | 15,923 | 35,281 | 0.78 | C | Y |
| US 29 | Quintette Rd (CR 184) to Well Line Rd | 4 | c | 45,400 | 19,784 | 31,853 | 51,637 | 1.14 | D | N |
| US 29 | Well Line Rd to Muscogee Rd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 19,707 | 37,545 | 57,252 | 1.56 | F | N |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 31,845 | 29,627 | 61,472 | 1.67 | F | N |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 42,550 | 21,281 | 63,831 | 1.74 | F | N |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | 6 | D | 55,300 | 51,464 | 14,368 | 65,832 | 1.19 | F | N |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | l-10 to W St | 4 | D | 36,700 | 43,676 | 8,608 | 52,284 | 1.42 | F | N |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | W St to Massachusetts/Pace Blvd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 43,483 | 3,839 | 47,322 | 1.29 | F | N |
| Molino Rd (CR 182) | CR 99 to US 29 | 2 | D | 13,800 | 1,438 | 586 | 2,024 | 0.15 | B | Y |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | CR 97 to Schitko Rd | 2 | D | 13,800 | 38 | 115 | 153 | 0.01 | B | Y |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | Schitko Rd to US 29 | 2 | D | 13,800 | 74 | 151 | 225 | 0.02 | B | Y |
| Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | US 29 to CR 95A | 2 | D | 13,800 | 342 | 1,029 | 1,371 | 0.10 | , | Y |
| CR 297A | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to CR 97 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,423 | 12,301 | 17,724 | 1.19 | F | N |
| CR 297A | CR 97 to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 3,586 | 1,427 | 5,013 | 0.34 | B | $Y$ |
| CR 297A | Kingssield Rd to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,741 | 1,606 | 7,347 | 0.49 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | CR 297A to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 429 | 6,405 | 6,834 | 0.46 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Kingstield Rd to Muscogee Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 240 | 6,622 | 6,862 | 0.46 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Muscogee Rd to Power Blvd Ext. | 2 | D | 13,680 | 244 | 9,053 | 9,297 | 0.68 | C | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Power Blvd Ext. to River Annex Rd | 2 | D | 13,680 | 288 | 14,429 | 14,717 | 1.08 | F | N |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | River Annex Rd to Quintette Rd Ext. | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2,006 | 5,259 | 7,265 | 0.53 | B | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Quintette Rd. Ext. to Schifko Rd | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2,796 | 5,553 | 8,349 | 0.61 | C | Y |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Schifko Rd to Barrineau Park Rd (CR196) | 2 | D | 13,680 | 2,048 | 4,442 | 6,490 | 0.47 | B | Y |
| CR 97/CR196 | Barrineau Park Rd (CR196) to CR 99 | 2 | D | 13,680 | 1,155 | 1,746 | 2,901 | 0.21 | B | Y |
| SR 97 | US 29 TO CR 99 | 2 | D | 13,800 | 5,956 | 1,828 | 7,784 | 0.56 | C | Y |
| CR 99 | CR 97 to CR 182 | 2 | D | 13,800 | 1,155 | 1,746 | 2,901 | 0.21 | B | Y |
| CR 99 | CR 182 to CR 97A | 2 | D | 22,200 | 1,017 | 1,521 | 2,538 | 0.11 | B | Y |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to Beeline Corridor | 2 | E | 14,850 | 789 | 4,761 | 5,550 | 0.37 | B | Y |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | Beeline Corridor to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 567 | 8,419 | 8,986 | 0.61 | C | Y |
| Quintette Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 589 | 12,937 | 13,526 | 0.91 | C | Y |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | US 29 to CR 95A | , | D | 14,850 | 4,262 | 12,602 | 16,864 | 1.14 | F | N |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | CR 95A to County Line | 2 | D | 14,850 | 5,743 | 7,229 | 12,972 | 0.87 | C | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Alabama St. Line to River Annex Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,365 | 4,695 | 6,060 | 0.41 | B | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | River Annex Rd to Beeline Corridor | 2 | E | 13,680 | 938 | 25,526 | 26,464 | 1.93 | F | N |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Beeline Corridor to Jack's Branch Rd | 2 | E | 13,680 | 1,025 | 22,016 | 23,041 | 1.68 | F | N |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd ( N ) to N -S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,270 | 16,813 | 18,083 | 1.22 | F | N |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | N -S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (S) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,232 | 17,488 | 18,720 | 1.26 | F | N |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd (S) to CR 297A | 2 | F | 14,850 | 991 | 13,153 | 14,144 | 0.95 | D | Y |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | CR 297A to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 6,520 | 9,169 | 15,689 | 1.06 | F | N |
| Pine Forest Rd | Roberts Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | , | 13,680 | 7,366 | 1,944 | 9,310 | 0.68 | c | Y |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | 3 | D | 17,325 | 20,548 | 9,036 | 29,584 | 1.71 | F | N |
| Pine Forest Rd | 1-10 to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 25,407 | 9,529 | 34,936 | 0.95 | C | Y |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | Beulah (CR 99) to N -S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,131 | 6,791 | 7,922 | 0.53 | B | Y |
| Old Kingsfield Rd | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 663 | 451 | 1,114 | 0.08 | B | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | Beulah (CR 99) to N -S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 2,378 | 1,743 | 4,121 | 0.28 | B | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 646 | 8,703 | 9,349 | 0.63 | C | $Y$ |
| Kingsfield Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 297A | 2 | , | 14,850 | 1,121 | 6,753 | 7,874 | 0.53 | B | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd | CR 297A to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 4,665 | 7,206 | 11,871 | 0.80 | C | Y |
| Kingsfield Rd | US 29 to SR 292/Chemstrand Rd | 2 | - | 14,850 | 5,242 | 2,267 | 7,509 | 0.51 | B | Y |
| River Annex Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,476 | 11,246 | 12,722 | 0.86 | C | Y |


| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \# \text { \#f } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Capacity | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { Background } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { DSAP } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { volume } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $2035 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Meets } \\ & \text { Std?? } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,867 | 11,112 | 12,979 | 0.87 | C | Y |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to $\mathrm{l}-10$ | 2 | E | 14,850 | 4,246 | 12,483 | 16,729 | 1.13 | F | N |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 1-10 to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 9,384 | 11,594 | 20,978 | 1.41 | F | N |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Nine Mile Rd to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 14,850 | 5,169 | 9,041 | 14,210 | 0.96 | D | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Mobile Hwy (90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 7,566 | 838 | 8,404 | 0.51 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to l-10 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 17,378 | 14,445 | 31,823 | 1.93 | F | N |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | $1-10$ to Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 15,693 | 1,137 | 16,830 | 0.46 | B | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Pine Forest Rd (CR 297) to US 29 | 4 | D | 36,700 | 26,111 | 5,098 | 31,209 | 0.85 | c | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 27,243 | 8,113 | 35,356 | 0.96 | C | Y |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | 36,700 | 34,859 | 5,425 | 40,284 | 1.10 | F | N |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | University Pkwy to Davis Hwy | 4 | D | 36,700 | 23,469 | 1,937 | 25,406 | 0.69 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Alabama St. Line to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | D | 22,200 | 7,671 | 838 | 8,509 | 0.38 | C | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Beulah Rd (CR 99) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 1,598 | 0 | 1,598 | 0.10 | B | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 14,878 | 6,083 | 20,961 | 1.27 | F | N |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Klondike Rd to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 11,416 | 2,154 | 13,570 | 0.82 | C | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Michigan Ave (SR 290) | 4 | D | 36,700 | 36,771 | 4,862 | 41,633 | 1.13 | F | N |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Michigan Ave (SR 290) to Edison Dr | 4 | D | 36,700 | 29,041 | 3,960 | 33,001 | 0.90 | C | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Edison Dr to Fairfield Dr | 6 | D | 50,300 | 39,578 | 2,729 | 42,307 | 0.84 | D | Y |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | 4 | D | 33,200 | 34,289 | 137 | 34,426 | 1.04 | E | N |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 16,500 | 15,226 | 2,221 | 17,447 | 1.06 | F | N |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Saufley Field Rd | 2 | D | 16,500 | 13,401 | 4,568 | 17,969 | 1.09 | F | N |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | 2 | D | 16,500 | 17,258 | 3,602 | 20,860 | 1.26 |  | N |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | 14,850 | 15,746 | 221 | 15,967 | 1.08 | F | N |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 | 4 | D | 36,700 | 35,456 | 36,348 | 71,804 | 1.96 | F | N |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Old Chemstrand Rd to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 6,188 | 99 | 6,287 | 0.42 | B | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 8,702 | 1,487 | 10,189 | 0.69 | c | Y |
| Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 14,283 | 322 | 14,605 | 0.98 | D | Y |
| Old Chemstrad Rd (CR 297) | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 6,929 | 2,783 | 9,712 | 0.65 | c | Y |
| Palatox Hwy (CR 95A) | US 29 (Molino) to Molino Rd (CR 182) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 1,930 | 0 | 1,930 | 0.13 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Molino Rd (CR 182) to Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 3,937 | 4 | 3,941 | 0.27 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Quintette Rd (CR 184) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 3,935 | 154 | 4,089 | 0.28 | B | Y |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Quintette Rd to US 29 (Cantonment) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 11,635 | 3,605 | 15,240 | 1.03 | F | N |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | US 29 (Cantonment) to Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,857 | 4,059 | 11,916 | 0.80 | C | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Old Chemstrand Rd (CR 297) to Kingsfield Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 5,471 | 3,453 | 8,924 | 0.60 | c | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Kingsfield Rd to Ten Mile Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,436 | 5,710 | 13,146 | 0.89 | C | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Ten Mile Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 8,767 | 3,699 | 12,466 | 0.84 | c | Y |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to l-10 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 14,951 | 2,000 | 16,951 | 1.14 | F | N |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | 1-10 to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 11,614 | 805 | 12,419 | 0.84 | c | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | Stefani Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 3,072 | 592 | 3,664 | 0.25 | B | Y |
| Ten Mile Rd | US 29 to Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) | 2 | E | 14,850 | 7,757 | 963 | 8,720 | 0.59 | C | Y |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | Jack's Branch Rd to N -S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 43 | 11,307 | 11,350 | 0.76 | C | Y |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Santa Rosa Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 16,051 | 16,051 | 1.08 | F | N |
| Well Line Rd | Santa Rosa Rd to US 29 | 2 | D | 14,850 | 308 | 10,465 | 10,773 | 0.73 | C | Y |
| Santa Rosa Rd | Muscogee Rd to Well Line Rd | 2 | D | 14,850 | 307 | 5,389 | 5,696 | 0.38 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | US 29 to N -S Rd | 6 | D | 55,300 | 3,850 | 12,141 | 15,991 | 0.29 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | N-S Rd to Quintette Rd Ext. | 6 | D | 55,300 | 3,764 | 12,000 | 15,764 | 0.29 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Quintette Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) | 6 | D | 55,300 | 3,542 | 17,140 | 20,682 | 0.37 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | 6 | D | 55,300 | 3,794 | 12,593 | 16,387 | 0.30 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Muscogee Rd (CR 184) to Kingsfield Rd Ext. | 6 | D | 55,300 | 5,216 | 26,377 | 31,593 | 0.57 | B | Y |
| Beeline Corridor | Kingsfield Rd Ext. to l-10 | 6 | D | 55,300 | 7,928 | 24,194 | 32,122 | 0.58 | B | Y |
| N-SRd | Barrineau Park Rd (CR 196) to Mathison Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 36,700 | 0 | 4,480 | 4,480 | 0.12 | B | Y |
| N-SRd | Mathison Rd Ext. to Quintette Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 36,700 | 0 | 9,014 | 9,014 | 0.25 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Quintette Rd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 4 | D | 36,700 | 109 | 18,056 | 18,165 | 0.49 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Well Line Rd Ext. to Jack's Branch Rd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 153 | 22,915 | 23,068 | 0.63 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to Kingssield Rd | 4 | D | 36,700 | 116 | 14,632 | 14,748 | 0.40 | B | Y |
| N-S Rd | Kingsfield Rd to Jack's Branch Rd/Divine Farm | 4 | D | 36,700 | 352 | 11,291 | 11,643 | 0.32 | B | Y |
| Success Rd Ext. | Power Blvd Ext. to Well Line Rd Ext. | 4 | E | 33,030 | 0 | 18,250 | 18,250 | 0.55 | B | Y |
| Power Blvd Ext. | US 29 to N -S Rd | 4 | E | 33,030 | 0 | 19,235 | 19,235 | 0.58 | B | Y |
| Schitko Rd | Jack's Branch Rd (CR 97) to CR 196 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 37 | 102 | 139 | 0.01 | B | Y |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | Schifko Rd to N-S Rd | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 306 | 306 | 0.02 | B | Y |
| Mathison Rd Ext. | N -S Rd to US 29 | 2 | E | 14,850 | 0 | 1,495 | 1,495 | 0.10 | B | Y |

DEFICIENCIES

| Roadway | Segment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# of } \\ & \text { Lns } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { Std } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2035 \\ & \text { LOS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { V/C } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate 10 | Alabama SL to Beeline Corridor | 4 | C | D | 1.00 |
| Interstate 10 | Beeline Corridor to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | C | D | 1.19 |
| Interstate 10 | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) | 4 | C | E | 1.31 |
| Interstate 10 | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Pensacola Blvd (US 29) | 4 | C | E | 1.29 |
| Interstate 10 | Pensacola Blvd (US 29) to I-110/Davis Hwy | 6 | C | D | 1.07 |
| US 29 | Quintette Rd (CR 184) to Well Line Rd | 4 | C | D | 1.14 |
| US 29 | Well Line Rd to Muscogee Rd | 4 | D | F | 1.56 |
| US 29 | Muscogee Rd (CR 184W) to Kingsfield Rd | 4 | D | F | 1.67 |
| US 29 | Kingsfield Rd to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 4 | D | F | 1.74 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 6 | D | F | 1.19 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | I-10 to W St | 4 | D | F | 1.42 |
| US 29/Pensacola Blvd | W St to Massachusetts/Pace Blvd | 4 | D | F | 1.29 |
| CR 297A | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to CR 97 | 2 | E | F | 1.19 |
| Jack's Branch Rd (CR97) | Power Blvd Ext. to River Annex Rd | 2 | D | F | 1.08 |
| Quintette Rd (CR 184) | US 29 to CR 95A | 2 | D | F | 1.14 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | River Annex Rd to Beeline Corridor | 2 | E | F | 1.93 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Beeline Corridor to Jack's Branch Rd | 2 | E | F | 1.68 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | Jack's Branch Rd (N) to N-S Rd | 2 | E | F | 1.22 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | N-S Rd to Jack's Branch Rd (S) | 2 | E | F | 1.26 |
| Muscogee Rd (CR 184) | CR 297A to US 29 | 2 | E | F | 1.06 |
| Pine Forest Rd | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 3 | D | F | 1.71 |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | Kingsfield Rd to l-10 | 2 | E | F | 1.13 |
| Beulah Rd (CR 99) | I-10 to Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | 2 | E | F | 1.41 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to l-10 | 2 | D | F | 1.93 |
| Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) | Chemstrand Rd (CR 749) to University Pkwy | 4 | D | F | 1.10 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Beulah Rd (CR 99) to Klondike Rd | 2 | D | F | 1.27 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Michigan Ave (SR 290) | 4 | D | F | 1.13 |
| Mobile Hwy (US 90) | Fairfield Dr to Pace Rd | 4 | D | E | 1.04 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Pine Forest Rd (SR 297) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | F | 1.06 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to Saufley Field Rd | 2 | D | F | 1.09 |
| Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) | Saufley Field Rd to US 98 | 2 | D | F | 1.26 |
| Saufley Field Rd (CR 296) | Blue Angel Pkwy (SR 173) to Mobile Hwy (US 90) | 2 | D | F | 1.08 |
| Michigan Ave (SR 296) | Mobile Hwy (US 90) to US 29 | 4 | D | F | 1.96 |
| Palafox Hwy (CR 95A) | Quintette Rd to US 29 (Cantonment) | 2 | E | F | 1.03 |
| Palafox St (CR 95A) | Nine Mile Rd (Alt 90) to I-10 | 2 | E | F | 1.14 |
| Well Line Rd Ext. | N-S Rd to Santa Rosa Rd | 2 | E | F | 1.08 |

NWFRPM v1.1-2035 Internal Roadway Volumes (Alternative 1)


NWFRPM v1.1-2035 Internal Roadway Volumes (Alternative 2)
TPD No. 4249 - Escambia DSAP


## EXHIBIT 3-B

## UTILITIES ANALYSIS

## Detailed Public Facilities Plan - Utilities

## Potable Water

A conceptual potable water plan was prepared based upon the projected Detailed Specific Area Plan (DSAP) land use program. The resulting utility infrastructure map is shown as Figure A, Water Infrastructure Map. Potable Water is supplied to the DSAP by four different utility providers. These providers are: Cottage Hill Waterworks, Emerald Coast Utilities Authority, Farm Hill Utilities, and Molino Utilities.

Potable water demand for the DSAP was calculated utilizing Escambia County's adopted level of service (LOS) for new development. The LOS for potable water service within Escambia County is 250 gallons per residential connection per day. For non-residential uses, the LOS requirements are based upon and Equivalent Residential Connection (ERC) to be calculated by the service provider, at the time of application. For the purposes of this study, an average value ERC was used nonresidential development.

The proposed water distribution system, shown in Figure A, will connect to the existing potable water mains currently owned by the four existing potable water providers. Tables A. 1 and A.2, provide build-out potable water demand and supply by provider.

Table A.1: Potable Water Demands (GPD)

| Total DSAP | Dwelling <br> Units | Square Feet | ERC Factor | LOS <br> (GPD/household) | Total Demand <br> (GPD) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 23,373 |  | 1 | 250 | $5,843,250$ |
| Non-residential |  | $12,160,000$ | 0.17 | 250 | 516,800 |

TOTAL $\mathbf{6 , 3 6 0 , 0 5 0}$

| Cottage Hill <br>  <br> Units | Square Feet | ERC Factor | LOS <br> (GPD/household) | Total Demand <br> (GPD) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 1,394 |  | 1 | 250 | 348,500 |
| Non-residential |  | $2,515,000$ | 0.17 | 250 | 106,888 |

TOTAL
455,388

| Molino | Dwelling <br> Units | Square Feet | ERC Factor | LOS <br> (GPD/household) | Total Demand <br> (GPD) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 8,442 |  | 1 | 250 | $2,110,500$ |
| Non-residential |  | 215,000 | 0.17 | 250 | 9,138 |

TOTAL
2,119,638

| Farm Hill | Dwelling Units | Square Feet | ERC Factor | LOS <br> (GPD/household) | Total Demand (GPD) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 13,535 |  | 1 | 250 | 3,383,750 |
| Non-residential |  | 9,430,000 | 0.17 | 250 | 400,775 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  | 3,784,525 |
| ECUA | Dwelling Units | Square Feet | ERC Factor | LOS <br> (GPD/household) | Total Demand (GPD) |
| Residential | 2 |  | 1 | 250 | 500 |
| Non-residential |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  | 500 |
| Table A.2: Potable Water Supply (GPD) |  |  |  |  |  |


| Provider | Capacity* <br> Pre-Condition | DSAP <br> Impact | Capacity <br> Post Condition |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cottage Hill | $1,816,000$ | 455,388 | $1,360,613$ |
| Farm Hill | $2,300,000$ | $3,784,525$ | $-1,484,525$ |
| Molino | $2,601,400$ | 9,138 | $2,592,263$ |
| ECUA | $51,930,000$ | 500 | $51,929,500$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{5 8 , 6 4 7 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 4 9 , 5 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 , 3 9 7 , 8 5 0}$ |

*Available Facility Capacity as reported in Comprehensive Plan Implementation Annual Report FY 2009/2010.
Each potable water provider currently has available facility capacity in the existing, or predevelopment, condition. Without redefining the current service area boundaries for the four potable water providers, the impact of proposed growth within the DSAP is shown as an impact to the current capacity for each of the providers.

The resulting capacity at ultimate build-out, reported as Capacity Post Condition in the above table, indicates a need for plant expansion for Farm Hill Utilities, in the order of an additional 1.5 million gallons per day. This shortfall can be resolved through plant expansion, or through establishing a "wholesale potable water service agreement" with Molino Utilities or Emerald Coast Utilities Authority, to provide the required amount of potable water. It should be noted that the reported capacity for the potable water providers is by total service area. This analysis does not take into account future potable water demand outside of the defined DSAP; therefore, it may be assumed that the actual post condition capacity would be less than reported in Table A.2.

Needed demand corresponding with the proposed 5 -year plan is approximately $1 / 10^{\text {th }}$ of the total non-residential development and approximately $1 / 7^{\text {th }}$ the total number of residential units within the Farm Hill Utility service boundary. The resulting demand is less than 500,000 gallons per day, which
is well within the capacity of Farm Hill Utilities. The remainder of the proposed 5-year plan for the DSAP is 1,800 residential units, falling within the service boundary of Molino Utilities. These 1,800 units are only $1 / 5^{\text {th }}$ of the total residential units, well within the available capacity for Molino Utilities.

In both the 5 -year plan and build-out scenario, extensive potable water distribution main construction is needed, particularly with the Farm Hill Utility service boundary. At final build-out, it is likely that Farm Hill Utility will need to construct a fourth water tower to meet the needed water demand, particularly during times of peak water demand.

The final design of the conceptual potable water infrastructure must comply with, and be permitted through, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection Agency. The infrastructure design must be able to deliver Average Day and Peak Day demands, meet fire flow requirements, and maintain a constant residual pressure no less than 20 psi. Potable water systems must be designed with proper control valves, air release valves, and fire hydrants. All components of the potable water distribution system must comply with the standards established by the respective water authority.

Funding for any expansion or improvements to the potable distribution and water treatment systems within a service area are typically generated by the respective Utility Authority. These funds can be generated through user fees, impact fees, bond issues, or developer contributions, as noted in the Escambia County Comprehensive Plan Implementation Annual Report FY 2009/2010.

## Wastewater

A conceptual wastewater plan was prepared based upon the projected DSAP land use program. The resulting utility infrastructure map is shown as Figure B, Wastewater Infrastructure Map. Wastewater is supplied to the region by a single provider, Emerald Coast Utilities Authority (ECUA).

Wastewater demand for the DSAP was calculated utilizing Escambia County's adopted level of service (LOS) for new development. The level of service standards for wastewater service within Escambia County is 210 gallons per residential connection per day. For non-residential uses, the level of service requirements are based upon and Equivalent Residential Connection (ERC) to be calculated by the service provider, at the time of application. For the purposes of this study, an average value ERC was used non-residential development.

The proposed wastewater distribution system, shown in Figure B, will connect to the existing sanitary sewer systems currently owned by ECUA. At the DSAP level, it is difficult to accurately estimate the sizing of wastewater gravity systems. As an alternative, collection service areas are shown to represent the extent of infrastructure construction needed to meet the build-out demand.

Table A.3: Wastewater Demands as Average Day and Peak Day (GPD)

| Total DSAP | Dwelling <br> Units | Square <br> Feet | ERC <br> Factor | LOS <br> (GPD/HH) | Total <br> Demand <br> (GPD) | PEAK LOS <br> (GPD/HH) | Total <br> Demand <br> PEAK (GPD) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 23,373 |  | 1 | 210 | $4,908,330$ | 350 | $8,180,550$ |
| Non- <br> residential |  | $12,160,000$ | 0.17 | 210 | 434,112 | 350 | 723,520 |

Table A.4: Wastewater Supply (GPD)

| Provider | Capacity* <br> Pre-Condition | DSAP <br> Impact | Capacity <br> Post Condition |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ECUA | $7,613,000$ | $5,342,442$ | $2,270,558$ |

*Available Facility Capacity as reported in Comprehensive Plan Implementation Annual Report FY 2009/2010.
Tables A. 3 and A.4, calculate wastewater demand and supply at build-out. It appears that ECUA currently has capacity to accommodate the projected DSAP build-out condition. As with potable water, it should be noted that the reported capacity for the potable water providers is by total service area. This analysis does not take into account future wastewater demand outside of the defined DSAP; therefore, it may be assumed that the actual post condition capacity would be less than reported in Table A.4. It should also be noted that, with the data currently available, a Peak Day Demand comparison cannot be accurately estimated for the DSAP.

The 5 -yr wastewater demand is similar in magnitude to that of potable water. The existing wastewater treatment plant has the capacity available to handle the increased demand due to expected growth within the 5 -year plan; however, there is no wastewater collection system constructed within the DSAP area, with the exception being a small portion of gravity sewer within the residential neighborhood along the southeast DSAP border.

Figure B, Wastewater Infrastructure Map, shows an estimated thirty-seven (37) gravity sewer service area boundaries, represented by a circle (Radius $=2,000 \mathrm{ft}$ ). Due to the isolated nature of many of the proposed development parcels, it is likely that sewage collection systems will not be connected through large gravity main networks. Limited by topography and geometry, small service areas will be most probable. Central to the service area boundary is a lift station/pump station. If development timing allows, manifold force main systems can be replaced with "daisy-chained" sewer systems, allowing for less expensive pumping designs.

The final design of the conceptual wastewater Infrastructure must conform with, and be permitted through, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection Agency. The infrastructure design must be able to handle Average Day and Peak Day design flows. Gravity sewer systems must be design to operate within the range of allowable flow velocities. Pump stations with manifolding
force mains must operate in the "all-on" condition and be able to perform a complete "pump-out." All components of the wastewater collection system must comply with the standards established by ECUA.

Funding for any expansion or improvements to the wastewater collection and treatment systems will be generated by ECUA. These funds can be generated through user fees, impact fees, bond issues, developer contributions, or state and federal grants or appropriations, as noted in the Escambia County Comprehensive Plan Implementation Annual Report FY 2009/2010.

## Solid Waste

Solid Waste service is provided to the region by Escambia County. Escambia County has an adopted solid waste LOS of 6 pounds per capita per day. Table A. 5 provides an estimate of solid waste creation (demand) based upon the number of residential units and projected persons per household within the DSAP.

Table A.5: Solid Waste Demand (lbs/capita/day)

| Total DSAP | Number of <br> Units | Persons Per <br> Household <br> (PPH) | Projected <br> Population | LOS <br> (Lbs/capita <br> per day) | Total <br> Demand <br> (Tons per year) | Total <br> Demand <br> (Lbs per day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | 23,373 | 2.45 | 57,264 | 6 | 62,704 | 343,583 |

Table A. 6 estimates the impact of the DSAP development program on the existing capacity of the Perdido Landfill. The resulting additional annual tonnage reduces the estimated lifespan of the landfill from 70 years to 58 years.

Table A.6: Solid Waste Capacity

| Provider | Current* <br> Annual Tonnage | Estimated* <br> Lifespan (yrs) | DSAP <br> Annual Tonnage | New <br> Lifespan (yrs) |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Escambia County | 296,000 | 70 | 62,868 | 58 |

*Available Facility Capacity as reported in Comprehensive Plan Implementation Annual Report FY 2009/2010.

## Stormwater

Stormwater management system improvements for this region of Escambia County should be developed as regional systems accounting, where possible, for multiple areas of improved development. Attempts should be made to design stormwater treatment and attenuation systems,
(i.e. wet and dry ponds, swales, underground chambers, exfiltration trenches, etc.) and supporting conveyance pipes and swales as systems.

In such areas as the Regional Employment District, Town Center, Village Center, and Neighborhood Center, joint-use systems should be required for development, contributing to the overall aesthetic benefit of these "centers." All developments are required to meet or exceed the standards established by the Northwest Florida Water Management District as well as meet the performance measures specified in the current Comprehensive Plan.

County storm water capital improvements are funded using the Local Option Sales Tax (LOST). Private developments are responsible constructing on-site stormwater systems, as well as infrastructure required to connect the on-site systems to the "regional" county stormwater management systems.



## EXHIBIT 4

## DETAILED NATURAL RESOURCE ANALYSIS

## Detailed Natural Resource Analysis

## Protected Wildlife Species/Potential Occurrence

The US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC) compile lists of wildlife species considered to be under some risk of extinction. These species are categorized as either endangered or threatened. The FFWCC utilizes an additional category, Species of Special Concern (SCC), for several animal species that may ultimately be listed as endangered or threatened. The list of protected animal species known to occur within Escambia County was reviewed as well as specific database occurrence records and reviews of recent literature, such as "Florida Imperiled Fish Species Investigation", and "Closing the Gaps in Florida's Wildlife Habitat Conservation System". In addition, databases [e.g. Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI), FFWCC] with protected species occurrence information were queried and information from such reports includes species that have been documented to occur, or have a potential to occur, within the vicinity of the project.

To initiate the Threatened and Endangered species review, vegetative communities occurring within the study area were mapped following the Florida Land Use, Cover and Forms Classifications System (FLUCFCS) to Level III (Florida Department of Transportation, January 1999) based on Geographical Information Systems (GIS) databases developed by the Florida Geographic Data Library from Northwest Florida Water Management District (NWFWMD) 1995 data. Due to the size of the subject parcel and the format of this report, a detailed FLUCFCS map exhibit is not included.

US Department of Agriculture soil maps of Escambia County, 1999, 2007, and 2007 Digital Ortho Quarter Quadrangles, and NWFWMD 1995 land use maps were studied to assess the apparent locations of habitats in the existing and pre-plantation landscapes that could support a protected species.

Limited field reviews of upland habitats were conducted during the wetland delineation fieldwork and groundtruthing efforts completed in $2010 \& 2011$. Areas reviewed included upland habitats that were traversed while performing the wetland delineation and groundtruthing efforts.

Detailed field reviews are forthcoming and will be utilized to verify and modify habitat assessments, and document listed species occurrence. The species and habitat/species appropriate field methodologies will be consistent with discussions with FFWCC personnel, and review of the FNAI report.

## Protected Plant Species

The USFWS and the State of Florida also compile lists of protected plant species. The USFWS classifies protected plants as either endangered or threatened, while the State of Florida categorized protected plants as endangered, threatened, or commercially exploited. The State's plant list is administered and maintained by The Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS) (581.185-187, Florida Statutes).

No federally protected plant species are listed within the project boundaries. Thirty (30) FFWCC protected plant species could potentially occur within the project boundaries. Thirteen of these species are designated as endangered, sweet shrub (Calycantbus floridus), panhandle lily (Lilium iridollae), hummingbird flower (Macranthera flammea), green adder's mouth (Malaxis unifolia), primrose flowered butterwort (Pinguicula primuliflora), yellow fringless orchid (Platanthera integra), white-topped pitcher plant (Sarracenia leucophylla), silky camellia (Stewartia malacondendron), incised groove-bur (Agrimonia incise), pondspice (Litsea aestivalis), Alabama spiny-rod (Matelea alabamensis), small flowered meadowbeauty (Rhexia parviflora), and Florida flame azalea (Rhododendron austrinum) and sixteen (16) are designated as threatened, baltzell's sedge (Carex baltzelli), spoon-leaved sundew (Drosera intermedia), heartleaf (Hexastylis arifolia), Florida anise (Illicium floridanum), mountain laurel (Kalmia latifolia), gulf coast lupine (Lupinus westianus), Chapman's butterwort (Pinuicula planifolia), large leaved jointweed (Polygonella macrophylla), sweet pitcher plant (Sarracenia rubra), hairy wild indigo (Baptisia calycosa var. villosa), bog button (Lachnacaulon digynum), panhandle meadowbeauty (Rhexia salicifolia), pineland hoary pea (Tephrose mohrii), Chapman's crownbeard (Verbesina chapmaniz), Kral's yellow eyed grass (Xyris stricta var. obscura), and Harper's yellow eyed grass (Xyris scabrifolia). These species are typically found with wet flatwood meadows, hillside seepage areas or bogs. These types of habitats are found within the project limits and botanical reviews will occur within appropriate habitats

## Protected Mammals

No federally protected mammals are listed within the project boundaries.

## Protected Reptiles

One (1) federally protected reptile is described as potentially occurring within the project boundaries; the eastern indigo snake (Drymarchon couperi), listed as threatened by state and federal agencies, is strongly associated with the xeric sandridge habitat commonly referred to as longleaf pine-scrub oak association. These areas are dominated by longleaf pine (Pinus palustris), turkey oak (Quercus laevis) and wiregrass (Aristida stricta). Regarded as fire dependent, these plant communities have an average burn frequency of 5 to 10 years. The overwhelming majority of known populations of eastern indigo snakes utilize gopher tortoise burrows as refuges and over-wintering sites.

Although gopher tortoise (Gopherus polyphemus) burrows likely exist on portions of the property, the USFWS does not require "scoping burrows" for the presence of the eastern indigo snake in Escambia County. The rational for this protocol being that no specimens of the species have been confirmed in Escambia County Florida in many decades, and they are not expected to be encountered within the project limits.

The American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis), could occur within the sloughs of Cow Devil or Jacks Branch, but has been removed from Federal protection. During our limited field reviews no other listed/protected reptiles were observed within the project boundaries. It is expected that the gopher tortoise- Fl threatened, alligator snapping turtle (Macrochelys temminckii)- Fl SSC, and Florida pine snake (Pituophis melanolencus mugitus) - Fl SSC could be potentially be found within appropriate habitat within the project boundaries.

## Protected Avian

Three (3) federally protected avian species are listed as potentially occurring within the project boundaries, red-cockaded woodpecker (Picoides borealis), peregrine falcon (Falco peregrinum), and wood stork (Mycteria americana). Five (5) FFWCC protected avian species may be present within the project boundaries. One (1) is designated as threatened, southeastern American kestrel (Falco spaverius paulus), and four (4) are designated as species of special concern, little blue heron (Egretta caerulea), snowy egret (Egretta thula), tricolored heron (Egretta tricolor), and osprey (Pandion baleaetus). Habitats within the parcel are not suitable to support the red cockaded woodpecker which requires open stands of pines with a minimum age of 80 to 120 years, depending on the site. Longleaf pines are most commonly used, but other species of southern pine are also acceptable. Dense stands (stands that are primarily hardwoods, or that have a dense hardwood understory) are avoided. Foraging habitat is provided in pine and pine hardwood stands 30 years old or older with foraging preference for pine trees 10 inches or larger in diameter. In good, well-stocked, pine habitat, sufficient foraging substrate can be provided on 80 to 125 acres.

Wood storks use a variety of freshwater and estuarine wetlands for nesting, feeding, and roosting. Freshwater colony sites must remain inundated throughout the nesting cycle to protect against predation and abandonment. Foraging sites occur in shallow, open water where prey concentrations are high enough to ensure successful feeding. Wood storks have a unique feeding technique and require higher prey concentrations than other wading birds. Optimal water regimes for the wood stork involve periods of flooding, during which prey (fish) population increases, alternating with dryer periods, during which receding water levels concentrate fish at higher densities coinciding with the stork's nesting season.

## Protected Amphibians

Flatwoods salamander (Ambystoma cingulatum) is the only listed amphibian that has the potential to occur within the project site. Flatwoods salamander is both state and federally listed as threatened. The distribution of flatwoods salamander in Florida includes two regions, a northeastern and western. The subject property is located within the western region which includes the Panhandle from southern Jefferson County west to Escambia County. Occurrence is known in thirteen counties within this region with the only exception being Escambia County. It appears this species has been extirpated from Escambia County and therefore is unlikely to occur within the project boundaries.

## Protected Fish

There are (2) two fish species that potentially occur within the project site which include, Blackmouth shiner (Netropis melanostomus) Fl-Threatened, Bluenose shiner (Pteronotropis welaka) FlSpecies of Special Concern, Crystal darter (Crystallaria asprella) Fl-Threatened.

Blackmouth shiner presently maintains viable populations in a number of tributaries of Blackwater River near Milton, Florida and Yellow River. There are no known occurrences in Escambia County, Florida. This species occupies areas of densely vegetated backwaters, and is therefore difficult to monitor and census. It is possible for populations to exist within the backwaters of Perdido River. Detailed census work in backwaters of Perdido River watershed is required to determine extent and presence.

Bluenose shiners occupy a variety of habitats and are widely distributed throughout the Panhandle of Florida. Threats to their survival are through over collection by aquarist both commercial producers and hobbyists. Our review of available literature did not reveal any known occurrence of Bluenose shiner within the Perdido River watershed.

## Ecological Communities

## Wetlands

The approximate limits of onsite jurisdictional wetlands and surface waters were determined through a comprehensive review of soil survey data, national wetland inventory map, digital ortho quarter quads, Federal Emergency Management Act floodplain maps, Escambia County GIS wetland layer data and significant groundtruthing. Groundtruthing efforts included the field analysis of plant communities, soils, and indirect hydrologic indicators. Those wetland boundary lines delineated during groundtruthing efforts were located using a Trimble GeoXT Global Positioning System. This technology is able to achieve sub-meter accuracy following post processing of the data; however several variables including canopy coverage, topography, and atmospheric conditions can degrade signal strength resulting in accuracies of 1-3 meters. The resultant data was used to generate a overall map of wetland resources within the subject parcel (see Figure A).

The delineation of wetlands during groundtruthing was accomplished using methods prescribed in the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACOE) Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain Region and The Florida Wetlands Delineation Manual.

Wetlands and or surface waters identified within the subject parcel may be subject to the regulatory jurisdiction of the USACOE under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1344) or Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act ( 33 U.S.C. 403) and Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) and NWFWMD under Chapter 62-340 F.A.C.

## Bottomland Swamp Forest (FLUCFCS 615)

The Bottomland Swamp Forest cover type is associated with Jacks Branch and Cow Devil Creek. The stream and associated tributaries are perennial, originating in the adjacent sandy uplands and fed by groundwater recharge. Flood events are rare and are associated with extreme rain events, otherwise flows are relatively consistent. This generally is a closed canopy system dominated by slash pine (Pinus elliottii), black gum (Nyssa sylvatica), and sweetbay magnolia (Magnolia virginiana) within the upper canopy, and maintaining a dense understory of black titi (Cliftonia monophylla), red maple (Acer rubrum) and large gallberry (Ilex glabra). This forested community rarely burns and is commonly found in an inundated or saturated condition.

Hydric Pine Flatwoods (FLUCFC 620)
Hydric Pine Flatwoods occupy a large portion of the properties wetlands and are dominated in the overstory by slash pine. The understory is generally comprised of dense shrubs including black titi, large leaf gallberry (Ilex coriacea), myrtle-leaved holly (I. myrtifolia), and sweetbay magnolia (Magnolia
virginiana). Ground cover is sparse. These wetlands occur on relatively flat, poorly drained terrain with sandy soils.

## Wet Prairies (FLUCFC 623)

Wet Prairies are treeless plains with ground cover ranging from sparse to dense grasses and herbaceous plants. These areas occur on low, relatively flat, poorly drained terrain and were commonly found in areas where shrub and tree cover was discouraged. Common vegetation observed included woolly sunbonnets (Chaptalia tomentosa), blunt spikerush (Eleocharis obtusa), common rush (Juncus effusus), bighead rush (J. megacephalus), bog button (Lacbnocaulon anceps), velvet panicum (Panicum scoparium), torpedo grass [(P. repens)-an invasive species], warty panic grass (Panicum verrucosum), shortbristle horned beaksedge (Rhynchospora corniculata), and Elliott's yellow-eyed grass (Xyris elliotti).

Bay and Titi Swamp (FLUCFC 611, 614;)
This community comprises the vast majority of the headwater wetlands (unnamed and Jacks Branch, Cow Devil Creek) associated with Perdido River. The Bay Swamp and Titi Swamp communities are closely associated and interlaced. For this reason they were not quantified separately. These wetland communities have developed at the base of slopes where seepage has maintained a saturated peat substrate. The titi swamp is an ecotonal area with an overstory dominated by slash pine, black titi, swamp cyrilla (Cyrilla racemiflora). The bay swamp community, found lower in elevation, is characterized by a densely forested wetland community dominated by evergreen hardwoods including sweetbay magnolia, swamp red bay (Persea borbonia), black gum, and cypress (Taxodium distichum). The subcanopy stratums are sparsely dominated by shrubs including dahoon holly, fetterbush (Lyonia lucida), and large leaf gallberry and ferns including royal (Osmunda regalis), cinnamon (O. cinnamomea), and Virginia and netted chain fern (Woodwardia virginica, and W. aerolata).

## Blackwater Streams (FLUCFC 615)

Blackwater Streams are perennial or intermittent watercourses originating in sandy lowlands where there are extensive wetlands with organic soils storing rainfall and discharging the flow through these streams. The streams are typically tea colored because of the tannins and other dissolved organic matter originating from the source wetlands. These streams are often bordered by emergent vegetation and have sandy bottoms with organic layers over the sand. These Blackwater Streams are smaller tributaries that flow to Perdido River.

## Floodplain Swamps (FLUCFC 610)

Floodplain Swamps occur on flooded soils along stream channels and in low spots and oxbows within river floodplains. Dominant trees are usually buttressed hydrophytic trees such as cypress and tupelo ( Nyssa , spp.) and the understory and ground cover are generally very sparse. The swamp land along the Perdido River is the most prevalent floodplain swamp within the property. Common wetland plants of floodplain swamps in the area include tupelo, red titi, myrtle-leaved holly, black titi, dahoon holly (I. cassine), wax myrtle (Myrica cerifera), soft rush, laurel greenbrier, leather fern (Arostichum, spp.), royal fern (Osmunda regalis), lizard's tail (Saururus cernuus), and marsh fern (Thelppteris palustris).

## Atlantic White Cedar (FLUCFC 623)

The Atlantic White Cedar (Chamaecyparis thyoides) community is a near monoculture that is geographically restricted to the immediate floodplain of the Perdido River. Atlantic white cedars grow extremely slow and usually grow on the natural levees of the Perdido River. The canopy layer is mostly comprised of only white cedars or in mixed stands which most often include red maps and black gum trees. The shrub layer, which is most developed in open cedar stands include large leaf gallberry (Ilex coriacea, gallberry, and sweet pepper bush (Clethra alnifolia). The herbaceous stratum is mostly dominated by sparse ferns including cinnamon and royal fern and often a continuous carpet of sphagnum moss that covers the ground surface.

Reservoirs (FLUCFC 530)
A number of manmade impoundments are located within the northwestern portions of the property. These open water systems have been created from impounding intermittent, and first order streams. Due to the fact that these historically were created within wetlands the USACOE and/or FDEP and NWFWMD maintain regulatory jurisdictional of these open water systems. Most impoundments located within the subject property maintain relatively consistent water levels and can support gamefish such as brim and largemouth bass.

## Uplands

## Coniferous Pine Plantations (FLUCFCS 441)

This upland community is comprised exclusively of pine forests artificially generated by planting seedling stock or seeds. These stands are characterized by high numbers of trees per acre and their uniform appearance. The Coniferous Pine Plantation habitat varies in quality with the primary distinction being canopy coverage. Vegetation within the community is comprised primarily of: slash pine (Pinus elliottii), yaupon holly (Ilex vomitoria), bracken fern (Pteridium aquilinum), reindeer moss (Cladonia sp.), gopher apple (Licania michauxit), and wiregrass. There are slight variations in subcanopy and groundcover stratums depending on the age of the each plantation and canopy coverage.

## Disturbed Lands (FLUCFCS 740)

The Disturbed Land covertype has been subject to intense timber harvesting activities during the past few years. The canopy and subcanopy were largely clear cut and devoid of any vegetation. Successional species such as dog fennel (Eupatorium capillifolium), blackberry (Rubus spp.), golden rod (Solidago spp.) and slim bluestem (Andropogon virginicus) dominated the groundcover.

## Upland Pine Forests (FLUCFCS 410)

The Upland Pine Forest community is characterized by a canopy that is at least 66 percent dominated by coniferous species. Vegetation within the Upland Pine Forest community is primarily dominated by longleaf pine and slash pine with live oak (Quercus virginiana), large flowering magnolia (Magnolia grandiflora), yaupon holly, gallberry, fetterbush, saw palmetto (Serenoa repens), runner oak (Quercus pumila), bracken fern (Pteridium aquilinum), grapevine (Vitis rotundifolia), catbrier (Smilax bonanox), and wiregrass.

Pine Mesic Oak (FLUCFCS 414)
This community is characterized by an open canopy forest of slash pine and mesic oak species. Other typical plants include: fetterbush, wax myrtle, common persimmon (Diospyros virginiana), gallberry , American holly (Ilex opaca), bracken fern (Pteridium aquilinum), and wiregrass.

Roads and Highways (Primitive/Trails) (FLUCFCS 8146)
There are several dirt roads, which provide access to the various upland areas located throughout the property. These roads were constructed from native soils and are approximately 12 to 15 feet in width. Fill material was used for roads which crossed wetland habitats. Most of these roads were used for silvicultural activities. They are devoid of vegetation.


## EXHIBIT 5

## HOUSING ANALYSIS

## Housing Demand Analysis

Consistent with Future Land Use Element Policy 5.6.1(III)(2)(c) regarding the Mid-West Optional Sector Plan's (OSP) required preliminary Detailed Specific Area Plan (DSAP) elements, this analysis has been prepared to address the need for affordable and workforce housing. It has been based on the maximum DSAP development program and addresses its potential impact on existing neighborhoods and infill opportunities throughout the County. The following sections and tables demonstrate the existing/projected housing and housing-related conditions in the County, and form the basis of the ultimate housing demand conclusions. The methodology used for this analysis has been based on an accepted statewide program developed by the East Central Florida Regional Planning Council (ECFRPC).

## Development Program and Employment Generation

The Escambia County Detailed Specific Area Plan (DSAP) will generate a variety of employment opportunities, with the majority of jobs coming from the proposed Regional Employment District and mixed-use Centers. The job type and corresponding average annual wages associated with the project's land use mix is outlined in Table A. 1 below

TABLE A.1: Annual Wage Estimates for DSAP (2010 ES 202 Data)

| Land Use Type | NAICS Title | NAICS Code | 2010 Q3 ES 202 <br> Quarterly Wage | Effective <br> Annual Wage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | Professional \& Business <br> Services | 1024 | $\$ 9,556$ | $\$ 38,224$ |
| Industrial |  <br> Warehousing | $48-49$ | $\$ 11,142$ | $\$ 44,568$ |
| Retail | Retail | $44-45$ | $\$ 6,248$ | $\$ 24,992$ |

Source: NAICS Title \& Code and wages from the 2010 Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages for Escambia Co.
Total employment to be generated by the DSAP is based on the land use mix and development program for this site. The projected total employment by industry is presented in Tables A. 2 and A. 3 below.

TABLE A.2: Development Program by Industry Type

| Land Use Type | Unit | Phase I <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 2 0 1 7 ~}$ | Total Development Program |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | Sq. Ft. | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $3,577,500$ |
| Retail | Sq. Ft. | 500,000 | $1,628,750$ |
| Industrial | Sq. Ft. | 500,000 | $6,300,000$ |

TABLE A.3: Employment Generation by Phase

| Land Use Type | Unit | Total Development <br> Program | Units per <br> Employee* | Phase I <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 2 0 1 7}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | Sq. Ft. | $3,577,500$ | 350 | N/A | 10,221 |
| Retail | Sq. Ft. | $1,628,750$ | 675 | 741 | 2,413 |
| Industrial | Sq. Ft. | $6,300,000$ | 500 | 1,000 | 12,600 |

*Nelson, A.C. (2004). Planners Estimating Guide, Projecting Land-use and Facility Needs

## Determining Demand and Affordability

Upon establishing the development program and base employment generation ratios, the affordable housing assessment involved determining the number and distribution of wages of full-time equivalent (FTE) non-construction workers generated by the project. The study utilized the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) FY 2011 Income Limits Data Set for Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, FL Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). The assumptions provided by HUD are as follows:

Income Model Assumptions for Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent MSA:

- Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent MSA Median Income for FY $2011=\$ 58,400$
- Very Low Income: (less than $50 \%$ of Median) $=$ Less than $\$ 29,200$
- Low Income: $(50 \%$ to $80 \%$ of Median) $=\$ 29,200$ to $\$ 46,720$
- Moderate Income: $(80 \%$ to $120 \%$ of Median $)=\$ 46,720$ to $\$ 70,080$

Escambia County Headship Rates and Percent of Single and Multi-Worker Households by Income:

- Headship Rate, Very Low Income $=35.9 \%$
- Headship Rate, Low Income $=60.1 \%$
- Headship Rate, Moderate Income $=72.9 \%$

Single/Multi- Worker Household Ratios:

- Very Low Income $=80.5 \% / 19.5 \%$
- Low Income $=63.0 \% / 37.0 \%$
- Moderate Income $=45.4 \% / 54.6 \%$

Employee numbers were distributed around the industry average wage for each industry title. From this distribution, the ECFRPC spreadsheet model then calculates the corresponding headship rates and number of single and multi-worker households by income level. Demand models for Phase I as well as the entire Escambia County DSAP development program are presented in Tables B. 1 - B. 7 below.

## TABLE B.1: Demand Model for Phase I Retail Employment

| MODEL: ESCAMBIA COUNTY 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | MEDIAN INCOME: \$58,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Low: Less than \$29,200 |  |  |  | Low: \$29,200 to \$46,720 |  |  |  | Moderate: \$46,720 to \$70,080 |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use: | Retail | NAICS Code |  | 44-45 | Avg. Wage: | \$24,992 | Quarter | 3rd 2010 | (SEE NOTE BELOW) |  |  |  |
| Income Group | Wage Ranges |  | Midpoint | Number of Employees | Total Wages | Heads of Household | Single Worker HHs | HH Income | 2- <br> Worker HHs | HH <br> Income | 3+ <br> Worker HHs | HH <br> Income |
|  | Low | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Low | \$13,932 | \$17,263 | \$15,598 | 104 | \$1,622,140 | 37 | 19 | \$15,598 | 15 | \$27,280 | 3 | \$34,533 |
|  | \$17,264 $\$ 19,730$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 19,729 \\ & \$ 22,195 \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 18,497$ $\$ 20,963$ | 45 245 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 832,343 \\ \$ 5,135,813 \end{array}$ | 16 88 | 8 45 | \$18,497 $\$ 20,963$ | 6 35 | $\$ 32,350$ $\$ 36,663$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 40,951 \\ & \$ 46,411 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | \$19,730 | \$22,195 | \$20,963 | 245 | \$5,135,813 | 88 | 45 | \$20,963 | 35 | \$36,663 | 8 | \$46,411 |
|  | \$22,196 | \$24,661 | \$23,429 | 111 | \$2,600,564 | 40 | 21 | \$23,429 | 16 | \$40,976 | 3 | \$51,871 |
|  | \$24,662 | \$27,127 | \$25,895 | 21 | \$543,785 | 8 | 4 | \$25,895 | 3 | \$45,289 | 1 | \$57,330 |
|  | \$27,128 | \$29,199 | \$28,164 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$28,164 | 0 | \$49,258 | 0 | \$62,354 |
| Low | \$29,200 | \$32,060 | \$30,630 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | \$30,630 | 0 | \$53,572 | 0 | \$67,815 |
|  | \$32,061 | \$34,526 | \$33,294 | 82 | \$2,730,067 | 49 | 19 | \$33,294 | 24 | \$58,230 | 6 | \$73,712 |
|  | \$34,527 | \$36,992 | \$35,760 | 37 | \$1,323,102 | 22 | 9 | \$35,760 | 11 | \$62,543 | 3 | $\$ 79,172$ |
|  | \$36,993 | \$39,458 | \$38,226 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$38,226 | 0 | \$66,856 | 0 | \$84,631 |
|  | \$39,459 | \$41,925 | \$40,692 | 96 | \$3,906,432 | 58 | 23 | \$40,692 | 28 | \$71,170 | 7 | \$90,092 |
|  | \$41,926 | \$44,391 | \$43,159 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$43,159 | 0 | \$75,484 | 0 | \$95,553 |
|  | \$44,392 | \$46,719 | \$45,556 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$45,556 | 0 | \$79,677 | 0 | \$100,860 |
| Moderate | \$46,720 | \$49,323 | \$48,022 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$48,022 | 0 | \$83,990 | 0 | \$106,320 |
|  | \$49,324 | \$51,790 | \$50,557 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$50,557 | 0 | \$88,424 | 0 | \$111,933 |
|  | \$51,791 | \$54,256 | \$53,024 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$53,024 | 0 | \$92,738 | 0 | \$117,394 |
|  | \$54,257 | \$56,722 | \$55,490 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$55,490 | 0 | \$97,051 | 0 | \$122,854 |
|  | \$56,723 | \$59,188 | \$57,956 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$57,956 | 0 | \$101,364 | 0 | \$128,313 |
|  | \$59,189 | \$61,654 | \$60,422 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$60,422 | 0 | \$105,677 | 0 | \$133,773 |
|  | \$61,655 | \$64,121 | \$62,888 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$62,888 | 0 | \$109,991 | 0 | \$139,234 |
|  | \$64,122 | \$66,587 | \$65,355 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$65,355 | 0 | \$114,305 | 0 | \$144,695 |
|  | \$66,588 | \$70,079 | \$68,334 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$68,334 | 0 | \$119,515 | 0 | \$151,290 |
|  | \$71,080 | \$71,520 | \$71,300 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$71,300 | 0 | \$124,704 | 0 | \$157,858 |
| (A) Total em | yees and | ges of this | odel: | 741 | \$18,694,244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (B) Total wag | of 741 em | oyees at \$ | ,992 | 741 | \$18,519,072 |  | Total wa | es plus 10\%: | \$20,37 | 979 |  |  |

TABLE B.2: Demand Model for Phase I Industrial Employment

| MODEL: ESCAMBIA COUNTY 2010 | MEDIAN INCOME: \$58,400 |
| :---: | :---: |


|  | ry Low: | ss than \$ | 9,200 |  | Low: \$ | ,200 to \$46,7 |  |  | Mode | te: \$46,720 | to \$70, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use: | Industria | NAIC | Code | 48-49 | Avg. Wage: | \$49,484 | Quarter | 4th 2010 |  | (SEE NO | BELOV |  |
| Income Group | Wage | anges | Midpoint | Number of Employees | Total Wages | Heads of Household | Single Worker | HH Income | 2Worker | HH Income | 3+ Worker | HH Income |
|  | Low | High |  |  |  |  | HHs |  | HHs |  | HHs |  |
| Very Low | \$13,932 | \$17,263 | \$15,598 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$15,598 | 0 | \$27,280 | 0 | \$34,533 |
|  | \$17,264 | \$19,729 | \$18,497 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$18,497 | 0 | \$32,350 | 0 | \$40,951 |
|  | \$19,730 | \$22,195 | \$20,963 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$20,963 | 0 | \$36,663 | 0 | \$46,411 |
|  | \$22,196 | \$24,661 | \$23,429 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$23,429 | 0 | \$40,976 | 0 | \$51,871 |
|  | \$24,662 | \$27,127 | \$25,895 | 18 | \$466,101 | 6 | 3 | \$25,895 | 3 | \$45,289 | 1 | \$57,330 |
|  | \$27,128 | \$29,199 | \$28,164 | 5 | \$140,818 | 2 | 1 | \$28,164 | 1 | \$49,258 | 0 | \$62,354 |
| Low | \$29,200 | \$32,060 | \$30,630 | 30 | \$918,900 | 18 | 7 | \$30,630 | 9 | \$53,572 | 2 | \$67,815 |
|  | \$32,061 | \$34,526 | \$33,294 | 55 | \$1,831,143 | 33 | 13 | \$33,294 | 16 | \$58,230 | 4 | \$73,712 |
|  | \$34,527 | \$36,992 | \$35,760 | 0 | \$0 |  | 0 | \$35,760 | 0 | \$62,543 | 0 | \$79,172 |
|  | \$36,993 | \$39,458 | \$38,226 | 13 | \$496,932 | 8 | 3 | \$38,226 | 4 | \$66,856 | 1 | \$84,631 |
|  | \$39,459 | \$41,925 | \$40,692 | 25 | \$1,017,300 | 15 | 6 | \$40,692 | 7 | \$71,170 | 2 | \$90,092 |
|  | \$41,926 | \$44,391 | \$43,159 | 150 | \$6,473,775 | 90 | 35 | \$43,159 | 43 | \$75,484 | 12 | \$95,553 |
|  | \$44,392 | \$46,719 | \$45,556 | 150 | \$6,833,325 | 90 | 35 | \$45,556 | 43 | \$79,677 | 12 | \$100,860 |
| Moderate | \$46,720 | \$49,323 | \$48,022 | 30 | \$1,440,645 | 22 | 9 | \$48,022 | 10 | \$83,990 | 3 | \$106,320 |
|  | \$49,324 | \$51,790 | \$50,557 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$50,557 | 0 | \$88,424 | 0 | \$111,933 |
|  | \$51,791 | \$54,256 | \$53,024 | 416 | \$22,057,776 | 303 | 119 | \$53,024 | 141 | \$92,738 | 44 | \$117,394 |
|  | \$54,257 | \$56,722 | \$55,490 | 8 | \$443,916 | 6 | 2 | \$55,490 | 3 | \$97,051 | 1 | \$122,854 |
|  | \$56,723 | \$59,188 | \$57,956 | 40 | \$2,318,220 | 29 | 11 | \$57,956 | 14 | \$101,364 | 4 | \$128,313 |
|  | \$59,189 | \$61,654 | \$60,422 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$60,422 | 0 | \$105,677 | 0 | \$133,773 |
|  | \$61,655 | \$64,121 | \$62,888 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$62,888 | 0 | \$109,991 | 0 | \$139,234 |
|  | \$64,122 | \$66,587 | \$65,355 | 60 | \$3,921,270 | 44 | 17 | \$65,355 | 20 | \$114,305 | 6 | \$144,695 |
|  | \$66,588 | \$70,079 | \$68,334 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$68,334 | 0 | \$119,515 | 0 | \$151,290 |
| $\$ 71,080$ $\$ 71,520$ $\$ 71,300$ 0 <br> (A) Total employees and wages of this model: 1,000   |  |  |  |  | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$71,300 | 0 | \$124,704 | 0 | \$157,858 |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$48,360,120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1,000 | \$49,484,000 |  | Total wages plus 10\%: |  | \$54,432,400 |  |  |  |

TABLE B.3: Summary Demand Model for Phase I Employment

| MODEL: ESCAMBIA COUNTY 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | MEDIAN INCOME: \$58,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Low: Less than \$29,200 |  |  |  |  | Low: \$29,200 to \$46,720 |  |  |  | Moderate: \$46,720 to \$70,080 |  |  |  |
| Land Use: | Retail/Ind. NAICS Code: | NAICS Code: |  | N/A | Avg. Wage: | \$37,238 | Quarter | 4th 2010 | (SEE NOTE BELOW) |  |  |  |
| Income Group | Wage Ranges |  | Midpoint | Number of Employees | Total Wages | Heads of Household | Single Worker HHs | HH Income | 2Worker HHs | HH Income | $3+$Worker HHs | $\underset{\text { Income }}{\mathrm{HH}}$ |
|  | Low | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Low | \$13,932 | \$17,263 | \$15,598 | 104 | \$1,622,140 | 37 | 19 | \$15,598 | 15 | \$27,280 | 3 | \$34,533 |
|  | \$17,264 | \$19,729 | \$18,497 | 45 | \$832,343 | 16 | 8 | \$18,497 | 6 | \$32,350 | 1 | \$40,951 |
|  | \$19,730 | \$22,195 | \$20,963 | 245 | \$5,135,813 | 88 | 45 | \$20,963 | 35 | \$36,663 | 8 | \$46,411 |
|  | \$22,196 | \$24,661 | \$23,429 | 111 | \$2,600,564 | 40 | 21 | \$23,429 | 16 | \$40,976 | 3 | \$51,871 |
|  | \$24,662 | \$27,127 | \$25,895 | 39 | \$1,009,886 | 14 | 7 | \$25,895 | 6 | \$45,289 | 1 | \$57,330 |
|  | \$27,128 | \$29,199 | \$28,164 | 5 | \$140,818 | 2 | 1 | \$28,164 | 1 | \$49,258 | 0 | \$62,354 |
| Low | \$29,200 | \$32,060 | \$30,630 | 30 | \$918,900 | 18 | 7 | \$30,630 | 9 | \$53,572 | 2 | \$67,815 |
|  | \$32,061 | \$34,526 | \$33,294 | 137 | \$4,561,210 | 82 | 32 | \$33,294 | 40 | \$58,230 | 11 | \$73,712 |
|  | \$34,527 | \$36,992 | \$35,760 | 37 | \$1,323,102 |  | 9 | \$35,760 | 11 | \$62,543 | 3 | \$79,172 |
|  | \$36,993 | \$39,458 | \$38,226 | 13 | \$496,932 | 8 | 3 | \$38,226 | 4 | \$66,856 | 1 | \$84,631 |
|  | \$39,459 | \$41,925 | \$40,692 | 121 | \$4,923,732 | 73 | 28 | \$40,692 | 35 | \$71,170 | 9 | \$90,092 |
|  | \$41,926 | \$44,391 | \$43,159 | 150 | \$6,473,775 | 90 | 35 | \$43,159 | 43 | \$75,484 | 12 | \$95,553 |
|  | \$44,392 | \$46,719 | \$45,556 | 150 | \$6,833,325 | 90 | 35 | \$45,556 | 43 | \$79,677 | 12 | \$100,860 |
| Moderate | \$46,720 | \$49,323 | \$48,022 | 30 | \$1,440,645 | 22 | 9 | \$48,022 | 10 | \$83,990 | 3 | \$106,320 |
|  | \$49,324 | \$51,790 | \$50,557 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$50,557 | 0 | \$88,424 | 0 | \$111,933 |
|  | \$51,791 | \$54,256 | \$53,024 | 416 | \$22,057,776 | 303 | 119 | \$53,024 | 141 | \$92,738 | 44 | \$117,394 |
|  | \$54,257 | \$56,722 | \$55,490 | 8 | \$443,916 | 6 | 2 | \$55,490 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 97,051 \\ & \$ 101,36 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | \$122,854 |
|  | \$56,723 | \$59,188 | \$57,956 | 40 | \$2,318,220 | 29 | 11 | \$57,956 | 13 | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ \$ 105,67 \end{array}$ | 4 | \$128,313 |
|  | \$59,189 | \$61,654 | \$60,422 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$60,422 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ \$ 109,99 \end{array}$ | 0 | \$133,773 |
|  | $\$ 61,655$ | $\$ 64,121$ | \$62,888 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$62,888 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \$ 114,30 \end{array}$ | 0 | \$139,234 |
|  | \$64,122 | \$66,587 | \$65,355 | 60 | \$3,921,270 | 44 | 17 | \$65,355 | 20 | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ \$ 119,51 \end{array}$ | 6 | \$144,695 |
|  | \$66,588 | \$70,079 | \$68,334 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$68,334 | 0 | +119,51 | 0 | \$151,290 |
|  | \$71,080 | \$71,520 | \$71,300 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$71,300 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 124,70 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 0 | \$157,858 |
| (A) Total empl | yees and wa | ges of this | odel: | 1,741 | \$67,054,363 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (B) Total wage | of 12,600 | mployees at | \$37,238 | 1,741 | \$64,831,358 |  | Total wag | s plus 10\%: | \$71,31 | ,494 |  |  |

Table B.4: Demand Model for Total Development Retail Employment


## TABLE B.5: Demand Model for Total Development Office Employment

| MODEL: ESCAMBIA COUNTY 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | MEDIAN INCOME: \$58,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Low: Less than \$29,200 |  |  |  |  | Low: \$29,200 to \$46,720 |  |  | Moderate: \$46,720 to \$70,080 |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use: | Office | NAICS Code: |  | 1024 | Avg. Wage: | \$44,608 | Quarter: | 3rd 2010 | (SEE NOTE BELOW) |  |  |  |
| Income Group | Wage Ranges |  | Midpoint | Number of Employees | Total Wages | Heads of Household | Single Worker HHs | HH Income | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2- } \\ \text { Worker } \\ \text { HHs } \end{gathered}$ | HH Income | $3+$Worker HHs | HH Income |
|  | Low | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Low | \$13,932 | \$17,263 | \$15,598 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$15,598 | 0 | \$27,280 | 0 | \$34,533 |
|  | \$17,264 | \$19,729 | \$18,497 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$18,497 | 0 | \$32,350 | 0 | \$40,951 |
|  | \$19,730 | \$22,195 | \$20,963 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$20,963 | 0 | \$36,663 | 0 | \$46,411 |
|  | \$22,196 | \$24,661 | \$23,429 | 1,761 | \$41,257,589 | 632 | 327 | \$23,429 | 250 | \$40,976 | 55 | \$51,871 |
|  | \$24,662 | \$27,127 | \$25,895 | 1,046 | \$27,085,647 | 376 | 194 | \$25,895 | 149 | \$45,289 | 33 | \$57,330 |
|  | \$27,128 | \$29,199 | \$28,164 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$28,164 | 0 | \$49,258 | 0 | \$62,354 |
| Low | \$29,200 | \$32,060 | \$30,630 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$30,630 | 0 | \$53,572 | 0 | \$67,815 |
|  | \$32,061 | \$34,526 | \$33,294 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$33,294 | 0 | \$58,230 | 0 | \$73,712 |
|  | \$34,527 | \$36,992 | \$35,760 | 527 | \$18,845,257 | 317 | 124 | \$35,760 | 153 | \$62,543 | 41 | \$79,172 |
|  | \$36,993 | \$39,458 | \$38,226 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$38,226 | 0 | \$66,856 | 0 | \$84,631 |
|  | \$39,459 | \$41,925 | \$40,692 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$40,692 | 0 | \$71,170 | 0 | \$90,092 |
|  | \$41,926 | \$44,391 | \$43,159 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$43,159 | 0 | \$75,484 | 0 | \$95,553 |
|  | \$44,392 | \$46,719 | \$45,556 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$45,556 | 0 | \$79,677 | 0 | \$100,860 |
| Moderate | \$46,720 | \$49,323 | \$48,022 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$48,022 | 0 | \$83,990 | 0 | \$106,320 |
|  | \$49,324 | \$51,790 | \$50,557 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$50,557 | 0 | \$88,424 | 0 | \$111,933 |
|  | \$51,791 | \$54,256 | \$53,024 | 5,932 | \$314,535,402 | 4324 | 1691 | \$53,024 | 2011 | \$92,738 | 623 | \$117,394 |
|  | \$54,257 | \$56,722 | \$55,490 | 955 | \$52,992,473 | 696 | 272 | \$55,490 | 324 | \$97,051 | 100 | \$122,854 |
|  | \$56,723 | \$59,188 | \$57,956 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$57,956 | 0 | \$101,364 | 0 | \$128,313 |
|  | \$59,189 | \$61,654 | \$60,422 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$60,422 | 0 | \$105,677 | 0 | \$133,773 |
|  | \$61,655 | \$64,121 | \$62,888 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$62,888 | 0 | \$109,991 | 0 | \$139,234 |
|  | \$64,122 | \$66,587 | \$65,355 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$65,355 | 0 | \$114,305 | 0 | \$144,695 |
|  | \$66,588 | \$70,079 | \$68,334 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$68,334 | 0 | \$119,515 | 0 | \$151,290 |
|  | \$71,080 | \$71,520 | \$71,300 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$71,300 | 0 | \$124,704 | 0 | \$157,858 |
| (A) Total employees and wages of this model: <br> (B) Total wages of 2413 employees at $\$ 44,608$ |  |  |  | 10,221 | $\$ 454,716,367$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 10,221 | \$455,938,368 |  | Total wages plus 10\%: |  | \$501,532,205 |  |  |  |

TABLE B.6: Demand Model for Total Development Industrial Employment


TABLE B.7: Summary Demand Model for Total Development

| MODEL: ESCAMBIA COUNTY 2010 |  |  |  |  |  | MEDIAN INCOME: \$58,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Low: Less than \$29,200 |  |  |  | Low: \$29,200 to \$46,720 |  |  |  |  | Moderate: \$46,720 to \$70,080 |  |  |  |
| Land Use: | N/A | NAICS Code: |  | N/A | Avg. Wage: | \$39,695 | Quarter: |  | (SEE NOTE BELOW) |  |  |  |
| Income Group | Wage Ranges |  | Midpoint | Number of Employees | Total Wages | Heads of Household | Single <br> Worker HHs | HH Income | 2- <br> Worker HHs | HH <br> Income | $3+$ <br> Worker HHs | HH Income |
|  | Low | High |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Low | \$13,932 | \$17,263 | \$15,598 | 338 | \$5,271,955 | 121 | 63 | \$15,598 | 48 | \$27,280 | 11 | \$34,533 |
|  | \$17,264 | \$19,729 | \$18,497 | 145 | \$2,681,993 | 52 | 27 | \$18,497 | 21 | \$32,350 | 5 | \$40,951 |
|  | \$19,730 | \$22,195 | \$20,963 | 798 | \$16,728,075 | 286 | 148 | \$20,963 | 113 | \$36,663 | 25 | $\$ 46,411$ |
|  | \$22,196 | \$24,661 | $\$ 23,429$ | 2,123 | \$49,738,706 | 762 | 394 | \$23,429 | 302 | \$40,976 | 66 | \$51,871 |
|  | \$24,662 | \$27,127 | \$25,895 | 1,336 | \$34,595,052 | 480 | 248 | \$25,895 | 190 | \$45,289 | 42 | \$57,330 |
|  | \$27,128 | \$29,199 | \$28,164 | 61 | \$1,717,974 | 22 | 11 | \$28,164 | 9 | \$49,258 | 2 | \$62,354 |
| Low | \$29,200 | \$32,060 | \$30,630 | 367 | \$11,241,210 | 220 | 86 | \$30,630 | 106 | \$53,572 | 28 | \$67,815 |
|  | \$32,061 | \$34,526 | $\$ 33,294$ | 964 | \$32,094,934 | 578 | 226 | \$33,294 | 279 | \$58,230 | 74 | $\$ 73,712$ |
|  | \$34,527 | \$36,992 | $\$ 35,760$ | 648 | \$23,172,156 | 389 | 152 | $\$ 35,760$ | 187 | $\$ 62,543$ | 50 | $\$ 79,172$ |
|  | \$36,993 | \$39,458 | \$38,226 | 164 | \$6,268,982 | 98 | 38 | $\$ 38,226$ | 47 | $\$ 66,856$ | 13 | \$84,631 |
|  | \$39,459 | \$41,925 | \$40,692 | 630 | \$25,635,960 | 378 | 147 | \$40,692 | 182 | \$71,170 | 48 | \$90,092 |
|  | \$41,926 | \$44,391 | \$43,159 | 1,850 | \$79,843,225 | 1110 | 433 | \$43,159 | 535 | \$75,484 | 142 | \$95,553 |
|  | \$44,392 | \$46,719 | \$45,556 | 1,920 | \$87,466,560 | 1152 | 449 | \$45,556 | 555 | \$79,677 | 147 | \$100,860 |
| Moderate | $\$ 46,720$ | $\$ 49,323$ | $\$ 48,022$ | 387 | \$18,584,321 | 282 | 110 | $\$ 48,022$ | 131 | $\$ 83,990$ | 41 | \$106,320 |
|  | $\$ 49,324$ | $\$ 51,790$ | $\$ 50,557$ | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | $\$ 50,557$ | 0 | $\$ 88,424$ | 0 | \$111,933 |
|  | \$51,791 | \$54,256 | \$53,024 | 11,230 | \$595,453,905 | 8187 | 3201 | \$53,024 | 3807 | \$92,738 | 1179 | \$117,394 |
|  | \$54,257 | \$56,722 | $\$ 55,490$ | 1,054 | $\$ 58,485,933$ | 768 | 300 | $\$ 55,490$ | 357 | $\$ 97,051$ | 111 | $\$ 122,854$ |
|  | \$56,723 | \$59,188 | \$57,956 | 486 | $\$ 28,166,373$ | 354 | 139 | \$57,956 | 165 | $\$ 101,364$ | 51 | \$128,313 |
|  | \$59,189 | \$61,654 | \$60,422 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$60,422 | 0 | \$105,677 | 0 | \$133,773 |
|  | \$61,655 | \$64,121 | \$62,888 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$62,888 | 0 | \$109,991 | 0 | \$139,234 |
|  | \$64,122 | \$66,587 | \$65,355 | 733 | \$47,904,849 | 534 | 209 | \$65,355 | 248 | \$114,305 | 77 | \$144,695 |
|  | \$66,588 | \$70,079 | \$68,334 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$68,334 | 0 | \$119,515 | 0 | \$151,290 |
|  | \$71,080 | \$71,520 | \$71,300 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | \$71,300 | 0 | \$124,704 | 0 | \$157,858 |
| (A) Total employees and wages of this model: <br> (B) Total wages of 12,600 employees at $\$ 39,695$ |  |  |  | 25,234 | \$1,125,052,161 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 25,234 | \$1,001,663,630 |  | Total wages plus 10\%: |  | \$1,101,829,993 |  |  |  |

A summary of the total estimated number of jobs and households for the Total Development program is presented in Table B .8 below.

Table B.8: Jobs and Households from Demand Models

| Category | Total Development Program |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Employees | 25,234 |
| Total Households | 15,775 |
| Households at or below Moderate Income Level | 7,862 |

The number of households and their estimated incomes from the demand models are use to calculate affordable rent, monthly payment, and corresponding mortgage amounts and home prices.

The affordability tables associated with the Phase I and Total Development Programs are presented in Tables B. 9 and B. 10 on the following pages.

TABLE B.9: Phase I Development Program Affordability


TABLE B.10: Total Development Program Affordability


The affordability tables presented above calculate the various statistics related to the demand for affordable housing by income range. Demand for affordable housing units come from households of "Very Low", "Low", and "Moderate Income", while those households that exceed the Escambia County moderate range are excluded from the affordable demand calculation.


## EXHIBIT 6

## ENERGY EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS

## Energy Efficiency Analysis

## Introduction

In 2009, House Bill 697 amended Chapter 163, Florida Statutes to require "strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, promote energy efficient land use patterns, and promote energy conservation" within the Goals, Objectives, and Policies of the local government Comprehensive Plan. Although recent 2011 legislation has further modified the Florida Statutes, requirements for energy efficiency land use patterns and discouragement of urban sprawl remain in the Section 163.3177(6), F.S.

Energy efficient land use patterns can result in cost savings for residents, businesses and property owners through the reduction of Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT. In addition, energy efficiency and conservation within buildings can result in decreased operating and utility costs.

## Energy Efficiency in the Mid-west Escambia County Sector Plan

Future Land Use Element Policy 5.1.2 Part III.2.e of the Escambia County Comprehensive Plan requires "An energy efficiency analysis addressing the ability to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve energy efficiency within the DSAP (Detailed Specific Area Plan)."

Because no structures within the Mid-west Escambia County Sector Plan have been built, it is not possible to perform an energy use audit to determine actual greenhouse gas emission reductions at this time. Actual building energy efficiency at the build-out year (2035) may depend upon new technologies for building envelope and insulation, lighting, and Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) available during construction.

However, the physical layout of DSAP Land Use Plan is designed to be energy efficient and reduce greenhouse gas emissions through the following sustainability best planning practices:

- Mixed Use Centers: The DSAP Land Use Plan includes a series of mixed-use Neighborhood, Village, and Town Centers. Mixed-use centers integrate residential and non-residential uses (commercial, office, services, etc) into a compact and walkable development form, and can typically meet daily needs of residents, reducing the need to travel to external locations.
- Jobs-to-housing proximity: The DSAP Land Use Plan provides for housing and employment opportunities within close proximity to one another. This potentially reduces Vehicles Miles Traveled (VMT) between home and workplaces. The new regional employment centers also potentially reduce the need to commute into Pensacola or other existing urban areas, further reducing VMT and congestion across the County and region.
- Compact Urban Form: The target residential density of urban land uses within the DSAP ranges from 5 units per acre (Suburban Garden) to 15 units per acre (Town

Center and Regional Employment). This high density range results in an energy efficient land use pattern that is supportive of public transit service, potentially reducing greenhouse gas emissions produced by automobile traffic. The compact nature of these neighborhoods reduces the overall development footprint and reduces the potential for urban sprawl. In addition, residential neighborhoods are strategically located within a 0.5 mile radius from the mixed use Centers in order to encourage walking and other non-vehicular trips.

- Connectivity: The DSAP land use plan provides multiple connections between the different neighborhoods and centers. These connections provide route choices and may reduce congestion, which wastes fossil fuels and results in increased greenhouse gas emissions.
- Alternative Modes of Transportation: The DSAP land use plan identifies alternative modes of transportation, including bicycle circulation routes and potential Bus Rapid Transit routes. The configuration of the mixed use centers results in potential transitready or transit-oriented development, when service available. Encouraging alternative modes of transportation will reduce VMT and greenhouse gas emissions.


## Summary

The DSAP Land Use Plan is designed to be energy efficient, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and is consistent with Policy 5.1.2 Part III.2.e of the Escambia County Comprehensive Plan.

As demonstrated above, the Preliminary DSAP Land Use Plan is also consistent with Policies FLU 5.1.2.d and 5.4.5 of the Escambia County Comprehensive Plan.

FLU 5.1.2 Development within the OSP area shall support and further the following general principles: Environment
a. Establish a "green infrastructure" network of interconnected recreation areas and open space
b. Identify, protect and when impacted by development restore key ecosystems
c. Identify, protect and when impacted by development restore wildlife habitat and corridors
d. Reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions

FLU 5.4.5 Measures shall be implemented to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions consistent with the intent of Chapter 2008-191, Laws of Florida. The implementation of this policy shall include but not be limited to the following measures:
a. Reduction of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) by encouraging the design of compact, walkable, mixed-use, transit-oriented neigbborboods.
b. Creation of a bighly interconnected, multi-modal transportation that incorporates facilities for current and future transit systems.
c. Promotion of alternative (non-fossil fuel) energy sources.

Finally, the DSAP Land Use Plan is consistent with applicable Future Land Use, Transportation, and Conservation energy efficiency provisions of Chapter 163.3177, Florida Statutes.

## Recommendations

The following recommendations for energy efficiency are provided as supplemental to the DSAP Design Guidelines. Escambia County should encourage each applicant and/or developer to include these recommendations in future projects:

1. Within the Midwest Escambia County Sector Plan, the County should develop an incentive program to encourage construction that meets the energy efficiency criteria of the United States Green Building Council (USGBC) Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) rating systems, Florida Green Building Coalition (FGBC) certification, US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Energy Star program, or a similar third-party green building certification. The incentive program may include educational materials, density or intensity bonuses, expedited permitting, and/or public recognition.
2. Within the Midwest Escambia County Sector Plan, homeowner associations and business owner associations should not prohibit the use of energy-efficient or alternative-energy practices, such as photovoltaic panels, passive solar orientation of buildings, or strategic placement of landscaping materials to reduce energy consumption.
3. Each neighborhood and center should provide a hierarchical, interconnected street network designed to reduce automotive trips and trip lengths.
4. Each neighborhood and center should provide a connected network of pedestrian and bicycle facilities to promote biking and walking mode-split within each new development area.
5. Conduct an Energy Consumption Audit for a completed "pilot neighborhood" to establish a typical baseline of energy use. This baseline can be used to determine if future development is more or less energy efficient and can help future residents select energy and cost-efficient homes.
6. All street lighting fixtures should use Light Emitting Diode (LED) or other energy efficient lighting technologies available at the time of construction.
7. Public right-of-ways should include shade-providing landscaping to increase pedestrian comfort.
8. Encourage the use of renewable energy sources, such as wind turbines or photovoltaic solar panels, in Regional Employment Centers, open space, and the landfill, where appropriate.
9. Encourage the use of the following energy efficiency tools in all new buildings within the Midwest Escambia County Sector Plan:
o Programmable thermostats
o Insulation
o Boiler system
o Ventilation
o Indoor lighting fixtures
o Computer power management
10. Encourage non-residential buildings (including office, retail, commercial, services, civic, institutional) to provide preferred parking for hybrid, biodiesel, or other alternative fuel vehicles.


## EXHIBIT 7

## LAND USE NEED ANALYSIS

## Land Use Need Analysis

Consistent with the preliminary DSAP provisions outlined in Policy FLU 5.6.1(III)(2)(f) this analysis has been prepared to demonstrate that the proposed mix of land uses within the overall DSAP boundary is sufficient to accommodate the projected population and their associated employment demands. Moreover, the analysis reflects Escambia County's ability to alter past development trends while promoting a more sustainable development pattern. Reaching this goal is largely dependent upon providing and designating an ample amount of land for economic growth, which in turn results in a strong jobs-to-housing balance. A secondary benefit from having a strong jobs-to-housing balance is the result of reducing the County's Vehicular Miles Traveled (VMT) average and future Green House Gas (GHG) emissions by directing nonresidential development to strategically planned employment centers, town centers and village centers.

## Jobs-to-Housing Balance

A jobs-to-housing balance ratio expresses quantitatively the relationship between where people work (the "jobs" side) and where they live (the "housing" side). The terminology "jobs-tohousing balance" implies a direct correlation between an area's supply of jobs and housing units; however, it is recognized as more of a metric of economic sustainability that measures the relationship between the number of working opportunities (jobs) and employment seekers. When an area has a low job-to-housing balance, it indicates that on average, the majority of employed residents travel to other area's for work. It is also creates longer commute times and a higher Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) average which, from an environmental perspective, contributes to greater Green-House Gas (GHG) emissions.

Although there is no single, universally accepted process for measuring a jobs-to-housing balance, commonly used measures involve the analysis of the following elements:

- Jobs-to-housing units ratio
- Jobs-to-occupied bousing units ratio
- Percentage of workers who reside locally
- Employment-to-population ratio
- Jobs-to-employed residents (labor force) ratio

Relying solely on the supply of existing housing units to represent demand for working opportunities, often inaccurately represents the actual number of employed residents in a community ${ }^{1}$. For example, one housing unit may consist of any number of workers - including no workers at all.

Given the inaccuracy of an analysis that relies too heavily on the supply of housing units, this analysis emphasizes the ratio of "jobs-to-employed residents". It is generally superior to the other options described above, and is easier to understand because parity can be expressed as a one-to-one ratio, i.e. one local job to one local worker.

[^2]In terms of the subject DSAPs, the jobs-to-housing balance ratio calculations outlined in this analysis have been based on the maximum development program allowed within the sector planning area at buildout. Comprehensive Plan Policy FLU 5.1.3 limits overall development to 23,000 residential units and $12,175,000$ sq. ft of non-residential uses and such non-residential uses have been restricted to specific mixed-use centers. These centers were analyzed to determine the amount of employment that may be generated in each and was divided into four primary employment categories: industrial, retail, office and public/government. Assumptions, based upon the stated purpose and standards for each center, were made regarding the allocation of available non-residential square footage to each of the aforementioned categories. For instance, the Regional Employment Districts were weighted heavily towards industrial and office while the Neighborhood Centers were designated as primarily retail.

Utilizing data published in Arthur Nelson’s "Planner's Estimating Guide, Projecting Land-use and Facility Needs", an average gross square feet per employee has been assigned to each of the primary employment categories. This includes 675 gross square feet per employee for retail use; 500 gross square feet per employee for industrial use; and 350 gross square feet per employee for office or public/government use. The projected overall gross square footage for each land use type has then divided by the average square feet per employee, resulting in a yield of projected jobs for each. This projected employment data has been demonstrated in Exhibit A below.

Assuming a maximum theoretical build-out under the stated mixture of uses, the proposed DSAP area would produce approximately 27,145 jobs. When compared to the maximum residential build-out of 23,000 dwelling units, the result is a jobs-to-employed residents ratio of 1.0 to 1.18. It should be noted, that although there is no single perfect ratio, an area is generally considered to be "balanced if it has a jobs-to-housing ratio of roughly 0.8 to 1.2. ${ }^{2}$

Actual employment figures for the sector planning area will be subject to many variables, including availability of developable land, actual mixture of uses and final site, building and employer specifications. For example, although an average of 500 gross square feet per employee has been assumed for industrial land use, the range of potential square feet per employee varies from 275 (transportation, communications and utilities) to 700 (wholesale trade). Given the significant role that industrial employment will plays in the sector planning area, variations in the exact type of industrial end user may affect the overall employment figures by as much as $38 \%$.

## Conclusion

The primary goal of the Mid-West Escambia County Optional Sector Plan is to encourage a more cohesive and sustainable development pattern, by emphasizing urban form and the protection of regional resources and facilities. By proactively directing growth to the most appropriate areas, the County will be able to discourage patterns of urban sprawl and better protect important ecosystems. As demonstrated through this land use need analysis, the designated land uses within the sector planning area will also strengthen the County's existing jobs-to-housing balance ratio by providing housing opportunities near places of employment.

[^3]Table A: PROPOSED DSAP AREA EMPLOYMENT CALCULATIONS
Part 1-Regional Employment Districts

| Land Use | Development <br> Assumption | Square <br> Footage | Sq. Ft per <br> Employee* | Potential <br> Jobs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | $30 \%$ | $3,150,000$ | 350 | 9,000 |
| Retail | $5 \%$ | 525,000 | 675 | 778 |
| Industrial | $60 \%$ | $6,300,000$ | 500 | 12,600 |
| Public/Government | $5 \%$ | 525,000 | 350 | 1,500 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 3 , 8 7 8}$ |

Part 2: Regional Town Center

| Land Uses | Development <br> Assumption | Square <br> Footage | Sq. Ft per <br> Employee* | Potential <br> Jobs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | $30 \%$ | 360,000 | 350 | 1,029 |
| Retail | $60 \%$ | 720,000 | 675 | 1,067 |
| Industrial | NOT PERMITTED |  |  |  |
| Public/Government | $10 \%$ | 120,000 | 350 | 343 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 , 4 3 8}$ |

Part 3: Village Centers

| Land Uses | Development <br> Assumption | Square <br> Footage | Sq. Ft per <br> Employee $^{*}$ | Potential <br> Jobs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | $15 \%$ | 60,000 | 350 | 171 |
| Retail | $80 \%$ | 320,000 | 675 | 474 |
| Industrial | NOT PERMITTED |  |  |  |
| Public/Government | $5 \%$ | 20,000 | 350 | 57 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 0 3}$ |

Part 4: Neighborhood Centers

| Land Uses | Development <br> Assumption | Square <br> Footage | Sq. Ft per <br> Employee* | Potential <br> Jobs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Office | $10 \%$ | 7,500 | 350 | 21 |
| Retail | $85 \%$ | 63,750 | 675 | 94 |
| Industrial | NOT PERMITTED |  |  |  |
| Public/Government | $5 \%$ | 3,750 | 350 | 11 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ |

TOTAL POTENTIAL JOB CREATION:
*Nelson, A. C. (2004). Planners Estimating Guide, Projecting Land-use and Facility Needs
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